

Problem of energy and energy-momentum complex for gravitational field in General Relativity and generalized theories of gravity

- "perpetual" question - why?
- from variational considerations à la preceding parts
- directly from field equations - Landau-Lifshitz complex → seminar, Monday 15th afternoon
- Komar approach

(i) Why a problem?

Principle of equivalence! conserved energy should represent an integral of motion in electromagnetism $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (\vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2)$ is a part of energy-momentum tensor $T^{\alpha\beta}$ which is conserved, $T^{\alpha\beta}_{;\beta} = 0$. If it is $\neq 0$ at given spacetime point, it cannot be made 0 by going to some other frame. In addition

$\int_{\Sigma} T_{00} d^3x = \text{const}$ is "integral of motion" because T_{00} involves \vec{E} and \vec{B} but not their derivatives whereas Maxwell's equations do contain $\partial\vec{E}$, $\partial\vec{B}$

In GR Einstein's eqs. involve $\partial\partial g_{..}$ so energy-momentum should contain only $g_{..}$ and $\partial g_{..}$.

But there is no quantity which is a tensor and contains only $g_{..}$ and $\partial g_{..}$, or equivalently, $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}$.

As a consequence of the principle of equivalence in a local inertial frame $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{..} = 0$ ("force vanishes") and $g = \eta$ (special relativity).



thanks, or a consequence of, universal character of gravity

So in order to get "integrals of motion" we must accept also non-tensorial quantities so-called "complexes".

There are many other approaches - "superenergy Bell-Robinson tensor" from $R_{..} \times R_{..}$ - quadratic in Riemann t

- energy with respect to a background $g_{..} = \bar{g} + \delta g$
KBL superpotential

↓
may be large

- quasilocal mass-energy of Penrose

- ...

Conservation of energy of sources $T_{\mu\nu}$ for stationary systems

In general from rules of covariant diff.:

$$T_{\alpha}^{\beta}{}_{;\beta} = T_{\alpha,\beta}^{\beta} + \Gamma_{\beta\beta}^{\beta} T_{\alpha}^{\beta} - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\beta} T_{\beta}^{\beta}$$

Note:

Recall that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (\sqrt{-g})_{,\beta} = \Gamma_{\beta\sigma}^{\sigma}$ (*)

how to remember this?

for A^{μ} : $A^{\mu}{}_{;\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (\sqrt{-g} A^{\mu})_{,\mu}$

$$\Rightarrow \cancel{A^{\mu}{}_{,\mu}} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\mu} A^{\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (\sqrt{-g})_{,\mu} A^{\mu} + \cancel{A^{\mu}{}_{,\mu}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\mu} A^{\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (\sqrt{-g})_{,\mu} A^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (\sqrt{-g})_{,\nu} A^{\nu}$$

this is true for any $A^{\nu} \Rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (\sqrt{-g})_{,\nu}$
which is (*)

using (*)

$$\text{So, } T_{\alpha}^{\beta}{}_{;\beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (\sqrt{-g} T_{\alpha}^{\beta})_{,\beta} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (\sqrt{-g})_{,\beta} T_{\alpha}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (\sqrt{-g})_{,\beta} T_{\alpha}^{\beta} - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\beta} T_{\beta}^{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (\sqrt{-g} T_{\alpha}^{\beta})_{,\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\sigma\sigma} (g_{\alpha\sigma,\beta} + g_{\beta\sigma,\alpha} - g_{\alpha\beta,\sigma}) T_{\sigma}^{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (\sqrt{-g} T_{\alpha}^{\beta})_{,\beta} - \frac{1}{2} T^{\sigma\beta} (g_{\alpha\sigma,\beta} + g_{\beta\sigma,\alpha} - g_{\alpha\beta,\sigma})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (\sqrt{-g} T_{\alpha}^{\beta})_{,\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\beta\sigma,\alpha} T^{\beta\sigma}$$

Conservation laws in GR and generalized theories

(- "variational" approach "like" for field theories previously)

Start out from action

$$S_g = \int_{\Omega} \hat{G}(g_{\alpha\beta}, g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}, g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}) d^4x$$

$\hat{\Lambda}$ " denotes scalar density

in GR " $\hat{G} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \sqrt{-g} R$ " $\frac{1}{16\pi}$ " = $\frac{c^3}{16\pi G}$ but $c=1=G$

but we may assume more general \hat{G}

Variational derivative of S_g reads

$$\frac{\delta S_g}{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}} = \frac{\partial \hat{G}}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}} - \partial_\gamma \frac{\partial \hat{G}}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}} + \partial_\gamma \partial_\delta \frac{\partial \hat{G}}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}} \quad (*)$$

Vacuum field equations are

$$\frac{\delta S_g}{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}} \stackrel{\text{den.}}{=} \frac{1}{16\pi} \hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

in GR $\hat{G}^{\alpha\beta}$ is the density of Einstein's tensor

Since \hat{G} is a scalar density, its Lie derivative w.r.t. general infinit. vector field ξ satisfies (cp. for \mathcal{L} earlier) $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \hat{G} = (\hat{G} \xi^{\alpha})_{,\alpha}$, so the following identity is valid:

$$0 = \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \hat{G} - (\hat{G} \xi^{\alpha})_{,\alpha} \quad (xx)$$

but $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \hat{G} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial \hat{G}}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{\alpha\beta}}_{(1)} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial \hat{G}}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}}_{(2)} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial \hat{G}}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}}_{(3)}$

The term (2) is (cp. "before")

$$\frac{\partial \hat{G}}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\delta}} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{G}}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{\alpha\beta} \right)}_{\text{divergence } \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\delta}} \hat{t}^{\delta}} - \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\delta}} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{G}}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}} \right) \right] \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{\alpha\beta}$$

Similarly, with term (3) as the divergence of a vector density and the 3rd term in (x), p. (GE5), in $\partial \xi^{\alpha} / \partial g_{\alpha\beta}$

One can thus demonstrate that the identity (xx) above implies the relation

$$0 = \frac{1}{16\pi} \hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_{\alpha} \hat{t}^{\alpha} \quad (I)$$

where \hat{t}^{α} contains terms like $\frac{\partial \hat{G}}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{\alpha\beta}$
 or $-\partial_{\beta} \frac{\partial \hat{G}}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{\alpha\beta}$

Now integrate the identity (I) over 4-geom Ω ,
 substitute standard relation $\int_{\xi} g_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta} + \nabla_{\beta} \xi_{\alpha}$
 assume $\xi, \eta = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, use Gauss on $\partial_{\alpha} \hat{G}^{\alpha\beta}$
 so that because of boundary conditions this term
 after integration does not contribute, so integrated (I)
 yields

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} \hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} (\nabla_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta} + \nabla_{\beta} \xi_{\alpha}) d^4x = 2 \int_{\Omega} \hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta} d^4x$$

again, integrating by parts,

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} \hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta} d^4x = \int_{\Omega} \underbrace{(\hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} \xi_{\beta})_{;\alpha}}_{\text{covariant div of vector density}} d^4x - \int_{\Omega} \nabla_{\alpha} \hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} \xi_{\beta} d^4x$$

using $\hat{v}^{\alpha}_{;\alpha} = v^{\alpha}_{,\alpha}$
and Gauss -
for $\xi \rightarrow 0$ on $\partial\Omega$
this gives 0

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \int_{\Omega} \nabla_{\alpha} \hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} \xi_{\beta} d^4x$$

but ξ is arbitrary \Rightarrow

$$\boxed{\nabla_{\alpha} \hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} = 0} \quad (\text{or } \nabla_{\beta} \hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} = 0)$$

"generalized" contracted Bianchi identities

In GR $\hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} = \sqrt{-g} (R^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} R)$
 $\hat{G}^{\alpha\beta}_{;\beta} = 0$

Now let us go back to identity (I), p. (GE6),

where substitute $\mathcal{L} g_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha \xi_\beta + \nabla_\beta \xi_\alpha$,

use the possibility $\partial_\alpha \leftrightarrow \nabla_\alpha$ for a vector density

and that $\nabla_\alpha \hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} = 0$, and write (I) in the form

$$\nabla_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} \hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\beta + \hat{T}^{\alpha} \right) = 0 \quad (I^*)$$

note here is not 16π because \mathcal{L} drops out from $\nabla_\alpha \xi_\beta + \nabla_\beta \xi_\alpha$

Since in (I*) is vector density, we may also

write $\partial_\alpha (---) = 0$

This is strong conservation law - valid identically in g and $\partial g, \partial^2 g$

However, when we substitute from field

equations, e.g., $\hat{G}^{\alpha\beta} = 8\pi \hat{T}^{\alpha\beta}$ (i.e. Einstein)

and $\nabla_\alpha \rightarrow \partial_\alpha$ we obtain conservation law

$$\left\| \partial_\alpha (\hat{T}^{\alpha} + \hat{c}^{\alpha}) = 0, \right\| \quad (II)$$

where $\hat{T}^{\alpha} \equiv \hat{T}^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\beta$

It's evident from (I*) on previous page that this identity can be in GR easily satisfied if we choose \hat{T}^α simply so that it automatically cancels the first term, i.e., we take

$$\hat{T}^\alpha = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \sqrt{-g} (R^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} R) \xi_\beta$$

using field eqs
$$= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \sqrt{-g} 8\pi T^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\beta = -\hat{T}^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\beta = -\hat{T}^\alpha$$

and (II) on previous page is automatically satisfied since

$$\boxed{\hat{T}^\alpha + \hat{T}^\alpha = 0}$$

↑ matter ↑ gravity

Possible interpretation:
gravitational energy-momentum annihilates energy-momentum of matter so that total current of energy-momentum = 0.

View of H.A. Lorentz, W. Pauli

Note: in fact this just a "reinterpretation" of Einstein's field eqs. multiplied by $\sqrt{-g} \xi^\alpha$

Present-day viewpoint(s) different!