

# Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

(13)

- especially in quantum mechanics, we often need to find eigenvalues and eigenstates of a system, by discretization or expansion to a basis, we obtain from Schrödinger equation  $H\psi = E\psi$  a system of linear equations of the type  $Ax = \lambda x$  which we usually solve numerically and necessarily iteratively (for  $n > 4$ , there are no closed-form solutions for finding roots of polynomial of order  $n$ )

- summary from algebra:

- in  $Ax = \lambda x$  we call  $\lambda$  eigenvalue and  $x$  (right) eigenvector if  $x \neq 0$ ;  $\lambda$  is degenerated if there is more than one corresponding eigenvector (linearly independent) these form eigenspace for  $\lambda$

- all  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$  form the spectrum of  $A$

-  $\lambda$ 's satisfies  $\det(\lambda I - A) = P_A(\lambda) = 0$  where  $P_A$  is the characteristic polynomial

- even for real matrices,  $\lambda$ 's can be complex (and in general are)

- defective matrices:

algebraic multiplicity  $>$  geometric multiplicity  
" multiplicity of a root of  $P_A(\lambda)$  " number of linearly independent eigenvectors for  $\lambda$

exa-ple  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$   $B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 10 \\ 0 & 21 \\ 0 & 02 \end{pmatrix}$   $\leftarrow$  here only one non-zero eigenvector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$   
3 eigenvectors

- matrix is diagonalizable iff it is not defective

- similarity transformations  $B = X^{-1}AX$  do not change eigenvalues  
( $\det(B - \lambda I) = \det X^{-1}(A - \lambda I)X = \det(A - \lambda I)$ )

$\Rightarrow$  we can transform  $A$  to a diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$  (with  $\lambda_i$ ) using similarity transf. if  $A$  is not defective

if  $A = X\Lambda X^{-1}$  then  $X$  contains eigenvectors

- moreover, shift by a constant (of <sup>all</sup> eigenvalues) does not change eigenvectors  
i.e.  $A$  and  $A + \mu I$  have the same eigenvectors

- real symmetric ( $A=A^T$ ) and hermitian ( $A^\dagger=A$ ) matrices have real eigenvectors
- normal matrices ( $[A, A^\dagger]=0$ ), including hermitian and unitary matrices, are diagonalizable by unitary transformations i.e.  $A=Q\Lambda Q^\dagger$ , where  $Q^\dagger=Q^{-1}$ , thus eigenvectors can be chosen to be all orthogonal
- determinant and trace of a matrix are invariants under similarity transformations  $\Rightarrow \det A = \prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j$ ,  $\text{Tr} A = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j$
- unitary triangularization:  $A=QTQ^\dagger$  exists always (called Schur factorization) but  $T$  is upper triangular with  $\lambda$ 's on the diagonal

## • numerical solution of the eigenvalue problem

- as for  $Ax=b$ , also here exist quite efficient algorithms implemented in libraries such as LAPACK etc.
- how the problem is solved depends on the type of a matrix
- methods for symmetric (hermitian) matrices are much more efficient than for general matrices
- different methods were devised if we need only a few (usually smallest) eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors
- often, also generalized eigenvalue problem  $Ax=\lambda Bx$  is solved in the libraries, which is important for example in quantum chemistry ( $B$  is an overlap matrix if we have a non-orthogonal basis)
- the most efficient methods to find all eigenvalues and eigenvectors are based on two steps:
  - 1) transforming  $A$  with similarity transformations to a special form by a direct method with  $O(n^3)$  operations
    - symmetric (hermitian)  $A \rightarrow$  tridiagonal  $\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} xxx & x \\ x & xxx \\ 0 & xxx \\ 0 & 0 & xxx \end{pmatrix}$
    - general  $A \rightarrow$  Hessenberg matrix  $\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} xxx & x \\ x & xxx \\ 0 & xxx \\ 0 & 0 & xxx \end{pmatrix}$
  - 2) application of very efficient algorithms for these special types of matrices

# Jacobi method for eigenvalue problem

- simple method for real symmetric matrices

- basic idea: we zero off-diagonal elements using rotations,  
i.e. using orthogonal transformations

Examples: a matrix  $2 \times 2$  can be diagonalized exactly:

- having  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & d \\ d & b \end{pmatrix}$ , we search for  $J = \begin{pmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{pmatrix}$  with  $c = \cos \varphi$   
 $s = \sin \varphi$

such that  $J^T A J = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$

we get the condition  $d(c^2 - s^2) - cs(b - a) = 0$

thus  $\frac{2d}{b-a} = \frac{2cs}{c^2 - s^2} = \frac{\sin 2\varphi}{\cos 2\varphi} = \tan 2\varphi$

- in larger matrices, we zero elements below and above the diagonal using matrices of the form

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & c & s \\ & & -s & c \\ & & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{i,j}$$

to eliminate elements  $a_{ij}$  and  $a_{ji}$  but we can destroy other zeroes on  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th rows and columns, but it works anyway if we repeat this zeroing systematically for all off-diagonal elements

- to zero elements  $a_{pq}$  and  $a_{qp}$ , we change the following elements (rows and columns  $p, q$ ):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a'_{rp} &= a'_{pr} = c a_{rp} - s a_{rq} \\ a'_{rq} &= a'_{qr} = c a_{rq} + s a_{rp} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{for } r \neq p \text{ and } r \neq q$$

$$a'_{pp} = c^2 a_{pp} + s^2 a_{qq} - 2cs a_{pq}$$

$$a'_{qq} = s^2 a_{pp} + c^2 a_{qq} + 2cs a_{pq}$$

$$a'_{pq} = a'_{qp} = 0 = (c^2 - s^2) a_{qq} + cs(a_{pp} - a_{qq})$$

with  $\cot 2\varphi = \frac{c^2 - s^2}{2cs} = \frac{a_{qq} - a_{pp}}{2a_{pq}}$

but this basic version is not used because of instabilities due to round-off errors

- we can rewrite the method using

$$\tan \varphi = t = \frac{\sin \varphi}{\cos \varphi} = \frac{s}{c} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \cot \varphi(2\varphi) = \frac{c^2 - s^2}{2cs} = \frac{1-t^2}{2t} = \frac{a_{qq} - a_{pp}}{2a_{pq}}$$

we can express  $t$  from  $\theta$ :

$$t^2 + 2t\theta - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow t = -\theta \pm \sqrt{\theta^2 + 1}$$

and more stable choice is a smaller root

$$t = \begin{cases} -\theta + \sqrt{\theta^2 + 1} = \frac{1}{\theta + \sqrt{\theta^2 + 1}} & \text{for } \theta > 0 \\ -\theta - \sqrt{\theta^2 + 1} = \frac{-1}{-\theta + \sqrt{\theta^2 + 1}} & \text{for } \theta < 0 \end{cases} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right\} \frac{\text{sign}(\theta)}{|\theta| + \sqrt{\theta^2 + 1}}$$

to avoid subtracting two close numbers

if  $\theta$  is too large, we can avoid evaluating  $\theta^2$  simply by taking  $t = \frac{1}{2\theta}$

$s$  and  $c$  can be now expressed as

$$t^2 = \frac{1-c^2}{c^2} \Rightarrow c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2 + 1}}, \quad s = tc$$

and we finally set

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{rr} &= a_{rr} = 0 & a'_{rp} &= a_{rp} = a_{rp} - s(a_{rq} + \tau a_{rp}) \\ a'_{pp} &= a_{pp} - t a_{pq} & a'_{rq} &= a_{rq} = a_{rq} + s(a_{rp} - \tau a_{rq}) \\ a'_{qq} &= a_{qq} + t a_{pq} & & \text{with } \tau = \frac{s}{1+c} \end{aligned}$$

where all elements are rewritten as modification of the previous values

- one can show that the sum of all off-diagonal elements

$$S = \sum_{r \neq s} |a_{rs}|^2 \quad \text{is changed to} \quad S' = S - 2|a_{pq}|^2 \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{it will be} \\ \text{smaller!} \end{array} \right)$$

when  $a_{pq}$  is eliminated

thus  $S$  is decreasing and the method will be more efficient when "small"  $a_{pq}$  are skipped

- if we would choose the largest  $a_{pq} > \frac{S}{n^2}$  then

$$S' < \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) S \Rightarrow S^{(k)} < \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)^k S_0$$

and we would need  $O(n^2)$  iterations each with  $O(n)$  operations

$\Rightarrow$  estimate  $O(n^3)$  operations

- eigenvectors:  $V = \Pi \cdot P_1 \cdot P_2 \cdots P_k$  subsequent rotations

$\Rightarrow$  we modify  $\Pi_{n \times n} = V$  using

$$\begin{aligned} v'_{rs} &= v_{rs}, \quad s \neq p, \quad s \neq q \\ v'_{rp} &= c v_{rp} - s v_{rq} = v_{rp} - s(v_{rq} + \tau v_{rp}) \\ v'_{rq} &= s v_{rp} + c v_{rq} = v_{rq} + s(v_{rp} - \tau v_{rq}) \end{aligned}$$

## Methods for one or a few specific eigenvalues

- power iteration - converges to the largest eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  and the corresponding eigenvector  $q_1$  if the initial guess is not perpendicular to the eigenvector  $q_1$

algorithm:

pick  $v^{(0)} \in$  some normalized initial guess

for  $k=1, 2, \dots$

$$w = Av^{(k-1)}$$

$$v^{(k)} = w / \|w\|$$

$$\lambda^{(k)} = (v^{(k)})^T A v^{(k)}$$

- for  $q_1^T v^{(0)} \neq 0$ , convergence can be shown

by expanding  $v^{(0)} = a_1 q_1 + \dots + a_n q_n$ ,  $q_i$  being eigenvectors of  $A$

normalization

thus 
$$v^{(k)} \stackrel{!}{=} C_k A^k v^{(0)} =$$
$$= C_k (a_1 \lambda_1^k q_1 + \dots + a_n \lambda_n^k q_n) =$$
$$= C_k \lambda_1^k \left( a_1 q_1 + a_2 \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^k q_2 + \dots + a_n \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1}\right)^k q_n \right)$$

and assuming  $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq |\lambda_3| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n| \geq 0$

the rate of convergence is

$$\|v^{(k)} - (\pm q_1)\| = O\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|^k\right)$$

$$|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_1| = O\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|^{2k}\right)$$

- inverse iteration - it used to find eigenvectors if we know eigenvalues

- to find other eigenvalues and eigenvectors

for which we have a good estimate we can use

the matrix  $(A - \mu I)^{-1}$  instead of  $A$

- this matrix has the same eigenvectors and the eigenvalues are  $(\lambda_j - \mu)^{-1}$

- algorithm of inverse iteration

pick  $v^{(0)}$  and  $\mu \leftarrow \mu$  should be close to required eigenvalue

for  $k=1, 2, \dots$

$$\text{solve } (A - \mu I) w = v^{(k-1)}$$

$$v^{(k)} = w / \|w\|_2$$

$$\lambda^{(k)} = (v^{(k)})^T A v^{(k)}$$

it converges to  $\lambda_j$  and corresponding  $q_j$  to which  $\mu$  is closest ~~if~~  $(v^{(0)})^T q_j \neq 0$

- why not to replace  $\mu$  with  $\lambda^{(k)}$  in each iteration?

this idea leads to Rayleigh quotient iteration

algorithm: pick  $v^{(0)}$  and  $\lambda^{(0)}$

for  $k=1, 2, \dots$

$$\text{solve } (A - \lambda^{(k-1)} I) w = v^{(k-1)}$$

$$v^{(k)} = w / \|w\|_2$$

$$\lambda^{(k)} = (v^{(k)})^T A v^{(k)}$$

- this algorithm converges very fast (cubically) to  $\lambda_j$  and  $q_j$  if close enough

- there are specialized algorithms to find several lowest (or highest) eigenvalues and eigenvectors

- an example is Davidson method inspired by large eigenvalue problems in quantum chemistry where typically only a few lowest states are required

- it iteratively projects the matrix on suitable subspaces and diagonalizes it there

- details can be found elsewhere

# When all eigenvalues (and eigenvectors) are needed

## 1) Reduction of the matrix A to

- a) Hessenberg form for a general A
- b) or tridiagonal form for a symmetric (real) or Hermitian (complex) matrix A

a) we use Householder reflections or Givens rotations (for banded matrices) to get Hessenberg form (upper triangular with one non-zero subdiagonal)

$$\begin{matrix}
 A & \xrightarrow{Q_1^T} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix} Q_1^T A & \xrightarrow{Q_1} & Q_1^T A Q_1 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x \end{pmatrix} & \rightarrow & \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ y & y & y & y \\ 0 & y & y & y \\ 0 & y & y & y \end{pmatrix} & \rightarrow & \begin{pmatrix} x & z & z & z \\ y & z & z & z \\ 0 & z & z & z \\ 0 & z & z & z \end{pmatrix}
 \end{matrix}$$

algorithm for  $k=1, \dots, n-2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &= A_{k+1:n, k} \\
 v_k &= \text{sign}(x_1) \|x\|_2 e_1 + x \\
 v_k &= v_k / \|v_k\|_2
 \end{aligned}$$

as in QR factorization

volume  $\Rightarrow \sim 4 \cdot \frac{1}{3} n^3$  operations  $\rightarrow A_{k+1:n, k:n} = A_{k+1:n, k:n} - 2v_k (v_k^T A_{k+1:n, k:n})$

volume  $\Rightarrow \sim 4 \cdot \frac{1}{2} n^3$  operations  $\rightarrow A_{1:n, k+1:n} = A_{1:n, k+1:n} - 2(A_{1:n, k+1:n} v_k) v_k^T$

together  $\sim \frac{10}{3} n^3$  operations

- as in QR factorization, here it is also a backward stable algorithm

i.e.  $\tilde{H} \tilde{Q}^T = A + \delta A$  where  $\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} = O(\epsilon_m)$   
for some  $\delta A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$

b) for Hermitian matrices this algorithm leads to tridiagonal matrices because if A is Hermitian, then  $Q^T A Q$  is also Hermitian and any Hermitian Hessenberg matrix is tridiagonal

but we can of course skip computation of zero elements  $\Rightarrow$  applying  $Q_k^T$  needs the same number of operations as  $Q_k$  and thanks to symmetry, we finally get  $\sim \frac{4}{3} n^3$  operations

## 2) calculation of Schur factorization in a general case

or diagonalization in a hermitian (real sym.) case

a) in a general case, the standard method to get Schur factorization is QR algorithm with shifts

basic idea without shifts: algorithm with shifts in practice

$$A^{(0)} = A$$

for  $k=1, 2, \dots$

find QR factor. of  $A^{(k-1)}$

$$\rightarrow Q^{(k)} R^{(k)} = A^{(k-1)}$$

$$\text{this gives } \rightarrow A^{(k)} = R^{(k)} Q^{(k)}$$

$$A^{(k)} = Q^{(k)T} A^{(k-1)} Q^{(k)}$$

similarity transformation of  $A^{(k-1)}$

$$Q^{(0)T} A^{(0)} Q^{(0)} = A \leftarrow \text{Hessenberg or tridiagonal result in } A^{(0)}$$

for  $k=1, 2, \dots$

pick a shift  $\mu^{(k)}$

$$Q^{(k)} R^{(k)} = A^{(k-1)} - \mu^{(k)} I$$

$$A^{(k)} = R^{(k)} Q^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)} I$$

if off-diagonal  $A_{j+1,j}^{(k)}$  is close to zero, set it to 0 and continue separately with  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  in

$$j+1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & X \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix} = A^{(k)}$$

- in the algorithm with shifts

we also get similarity transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(k)} &= R^{(k)} Q^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)} I = Q^{(k)T} Q^{(k)} R^{(k)} Q^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)} Q^{(k)T} Q^{(k)} \\ &= Q^{(k)T} (Q^{(k)} R^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)} I) Q^{(k)} = Q^{(k)T} A^{(k-1)} Q^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary shift

- standard choices of shifts are

Rayleigh quotient shift

$$\mu^{(k)} = A_{n,n}^{(k)}$$

Wilkinson shift

$$\mu^{(k)} = A_{n,n}^{(k)} - \text{sign}(\delta) A_{n,n-1}^{(k)2} / \sqrt{|\delta| + \sqrt{\delta^2 + A_{n,n-1}^{(k)2}}}$$

where  $\delta = (A_{n-1,n-1}^{(k)} - A_{n,n}^{(k)}) / 2$  (if  $\delta = 0$ , sign = 1)

this method is always convergent and avoids situations

like in  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  when  $Q^{(1)} R^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

and thus  $A^{(1)} = R^{(1)} Q^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = A$  and shift by  $A_{2,2} = 0$  does not help!

b) in a hermitian case, we can use either QR alg.

or there are more efficient algorithms as Divide-and-Conquer

(see Trefethen or Demmel for details)