CLASSICAL AND QUANTUM G

PII: S0264-9381(04)68912-X

FOPICAL REVIEW

Asymptotic directional structure of radiative fields in spacetimes with a cosmological constant

Pavel Krtouš and Jiří Podolský

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Charles University in Prague, V Holešovičkách 2, 180 00 Prague 8, Czech Republic

E-mail: Pavel.Krtous@mff.cuni.cz and Jiri.Podolsky@mff.cuni.cz

Received 14 July 2004, in final form 4 October 2004 Online at stacks.iop.org/CQG/21/R233 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/21/24/R01 Published 25 November 2004

Abstract

approach a point P of \mathcal{I} . The standard peeling-off property is recovered the conformal infinity is null, such as in asymptotically flat spacetimes, the spacetimes with a non-vanishing cosmological constant the conformal infinity of each field depends substantially on the null direction along which P is The fields are evaluated in normalized tetrads which are parallelly propagated along null geodesics which and its meaning is discussed and refined. When the (local) character of dominant term which is identified with radiation is unique. However, for We analyse the directional properties of general gravitational, electromagnetic is spacelike (for $\Lambda > 0$) or timelike (for $\Lambda < 0$), and the radiative component and spin-s fields near conformal infinity \mathcal{I} . approached.

The directional dependence of asymptotic fields near such de Sitter-like or because it is necessary to distinguish outgoing and ingoing radiation. Near such corresponding directional structure of radiation has a universal character that is determined by the algebraic (Petrov) type of the field. In particular, when $\Lambda > 0$ the radiation vanishes only along directions which are opposite to principal null directions at P but also on their specific orientation with respect anti-de Sitter-like \mathcal{I} is explicitly found and described. We demonstrate that the null directions. For $\Lambda < 0$ the directional dependence is more complicated anti-de Sitter-like conformal infinity the corresponding directional structures differ, depending not only on the number and degeneracy of the principal to \mathcal{I} .

This The directional structure of radiation near (anti-)de Sitter-like infinities characterization offers a better understanding of the asymptotic behaviour of the fields near conformal infinity under the presence of a cosmological supplements the standard peeling-off property of spin-s fields. constant.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Ha, 98.80.Jk, 04.40.Nr

VITY	
GRA	

R234

ints
nte
J

1 Introd	Institut	73.4
1. 11 (uction. On studies of asymptotic behaviour of radiative fields in general relativity	735
1.2.	Outline of the present work	238
2. Confo	ormal infinity and null geodesics	239
2.1.	Conformal geometry	239
2.2.	Conformal infinity $\mathcal I$ and its character	240
2.3.	Null geodesics	242
3. Variou	as null tetrads	243
3.1.	Tetrads and their transformations	243
3.2.	The tetrad adjusted to $\mathcal I$	244
3.3.	The interpretation tetrad	245
3.4.	Asymptotic behaviour of the interpretation tetrad	245
3.5.	The reference tetrad and parametrization of null directions	247
 The fit 	elds and their asymptotic structure	248
4.1.	The field components and their transformation properties	248
4.2.	Principal null directions and algebraic classification	249
4.3.	Field components in the interpretation tetrad	250
4.4.	Asymptotic behaviour of the field components in the reference tetrad	251
4.5.	Asymptotic directional structure of radiation	252
5. Discu	ssion of the directional structure of radiation on \mathcal{I}	253
5.1.	Radiation on null $\mathcal I$	253
5.2.	On the meaning of the peeling-off behaviour	253
5.3.	Parametrization of directions by (pseudo-)spherical angles	254
5.4.	Radiation on spacelike $\mathcal I$	256
5.5.	Radiation on timelike $\mathcal I$	259
6. Concl	usions	264
Acknowle	dgments	266
Appendix	A. Asymptotic polyhomogeneous expansions	266
Appendix	. B. Tetrads and fields in spinor formalism	268
Reference	SS	269

4.

1. Introduction

and was soon followed by other papers [3, 4]. Since then numerous works on gravitational by Einstein himself-appeared immediately after the formulation of general relativity [1, 2], radiation have concentrated on specific approximate (analytic or numerical) analyses of various spatially isolated gravitating sources, most recently binary systems, collision and merger of Many studies have been devoted to theoretical investigations of gravitational waves. The firstblack holes or neutron stars, supernova explosions and other possible astrophysical sources.

Almost simultaneously, general frameworks which allow one to study asymptotic properties of In rigorous treatments within the full Einstein theory, several interesting classes of exact radiative solutions were found and investigated in the late 1950s and the early 1960s—for example [5–11]. For reviews of these contributions to the theory of gravitational radiation see, e.g., [12-19]. Although most of such spacetimes seem to be physically not very realistic, they serve as useful explicit models and test beds for numerical relativity and other approximations. radiative fields were also developed and applied in now classical works [20-29] and elsewhere (see, e.g., [30-35] for reviews and many references).

>
Re
cal
D

Despite this long-standing effort, however, there still remain open fundamental problems concerning the very concept of gravitational radiation in the context of the *full* nonlinear Einstein theory. No rigorous statements are available which would relate the properties of sufficiently *general* strong sources to the radiation fields produced. Also, the presence of a *non-vanishing cosmological constant* Λ is not mathematically compatible with asymptotic flatness that is naturally assumed in many of the existing analyses. Although important results on the existence of vacuum solutions with $\Lambda \neq 0$ have already been obtained [36], in order to fully understand the properties of gravitational and electromagnetic radiation in such 'de Sitter-type' or 'anti-de Sitter-type' spacetimes, further studies are necessary.

As a particular contribution to this task we will here describe the asymptotic directional behaviour of general fields in spacetimes which admit any value of the cosmological constant.

1.1. On studies of asymptotic behaviour of radiative fields in general relativity

First, we briefly summarize the main methods which have been developed to characterize rigorously the asymptotic properties of fields in general relativity. It is not our intention to present an exhaustive and thorough review of previous works. We only wish to set up a context in which we could place our present analysis and results.

expanding the metric functions in inverse powers of the luminosity distance r which plays 23, 37]. In the case of asymptotically flat spacetimes this framework allows one to introduce are the analogue of the radiative part of the Poynting vector in electrodynamics. Using by gravitational waves and the decrease of the Bondi mass of an isolated system. These pioneering contributions were subsequently refined and generalized [24, 38, 39], and also extended after the development of the complex null tetrad formalism and the associated spin [13, 17, 18, 30, 32] for reviews. Nevertheless, in these works the analysis of radiative fields One fundamental technique for investigating radiative properties of gravitational and electromagnetic fields at 'large distance' from a spatially bounded source is based on introducing a suitable Bondi-Sachs coordinate system adapted to null hypersurfaces, and the Bondi mass (the total mass of a system as measured at future null infinity \mathcal{I}) and momentum, and characterize the time evolution including radiation in terms of the news functions which these concepts, it is possible to formulate a balance between the amount of energy radiated assumed that spacetime is asymptotically flat. This ruled out, for instance, a non-vanishing cosmological constant A. These methods generally are based on privileged coordinate systems coefficient formalism [25, 26] which lead to great simplifications in the expressions, see, e.g., the role of an appropriate 'radial' coordinate parametrizing outgoing null geodesics [20, 21 which are not automatically possible to generalize to the cases when $\Lambda \neq 0$.

Alternatively, information about the character of radiation can be extracted from the tetrad components of fields measured along a family of null geodesics approaching \mathcal{I} . One can consider only a bundle of such geodesics, as \mathcal{I} need not exist globally. The rate of approach to zero of the Weyl or Maxwell tensor is given by the celebrated *peeling-off theorem* [22, 23, 25, 29, 33, 40]. The component of a spin-*s* zero-rest-mass field (with respect to a parallelly transported and suitably normalized interpretation tetrad) proportional to $\eta^{-(J+1)}$, where η is an affine parameter along the null geodesics, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2s$, appears to have in general 2s - j coincident principal null directions. Consequently, the part of the field that falls off as η^{-1} exhibits 2s-degeneracy of principal null directions. It is thus considered as the *radiation field* because its asymptotic algebraic 'null' structure [12, 33, 41–44] locally resembles that of standard plane waves [5]. The gravitational or electromagnetic field thus represents outgoing radiation if the dominant component of the Weyl or Φ_2 , respectively, is

R235

R236

Topical Review

non-vanishing. This component manifests itself through typical transverse effects on nearby test particles [23, 46, 47]. Such a characterization of the radiative field remains valid also in more general spacetimes because the peeling-off property holds even for a non-vanishing Λ (the precise meaning of the peeling-off behaviour of fields will be discussed below in the main text, see sections 5.2 and 6).

apply methods of local differential geometry near conformal infinity $\mathcal I$ (also referred to as 'scri') which is defined as the boundary $\Omega = 0$ of the physical spacetime manifold (\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}) in the conformally related 'unphysical' spacetime manifold ($\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{g} = \Omega^2 g$ (see section 2). Properties of radiation fields in $\mathcal M$ can thus be studied by analysing conformally (i.e., isotropically) rescaled fields on \mathcal{I} in the compactified manifold $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. For asymptotically flat property, or to characterize the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs group of asymptotic symmetries (21, 27, 37, 49, 50). In particular, one can evaluate gravitational radiation propagating along a given null geodesic which is described by the W4 component of the Weyl tensor projected on a determined uniquely by the conformal geometry, see [33]. Moreover, the Penrose covariant 28, 29, 33, 51]. This is quite remarkable, since there is no analogue of the news function in Another major step made by Penrose [27–29, 48] (see [33] for a comprehensive overview) was his coordinate-independent (geometric) approach to the definition of radiation for massless fields based on the *conformal treatment of infinity*. The Penrose technique enables one to spacetimes, \mathcal{I} is a smooth null hypersurface in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ generated by the endpoints of null geodesics. In this case it is possible to define in a geometric way the Bondi mass, to derive the peelingparallelly transported complex null tetrad. The crucial point is that such a tetrad is (essentially) approach can be naturally applied also to spacetimes which include the cosmological constant the presence of Λ [52, 53] (for a comparison of the Bondi–Sachs and Penrose approaches, see, e.g., [50, 54–59]). off

[63-69], and also [70-80], that there indeed exist large classes of exact-though not given in explicit forms-solutions to Einstein's field equations which globally satisfy the required [74, 75, 85, 86], see also [71, 80, 87-89]. More general spacetimes with polyhomogeneous well defined at polyhomogeneous \mathcal{I} , and it is a non-increasing function of retarded time as same as that for smooth metrics [23] up to the terms of order $r^{-(2+\epsilon)}$, $0 \le \epsilon < 1$, but the term The above mentioned analysis led Penrose to the elegant idea of (weakly) asymptotically simple spacetimes—those having a smooth \tilde{g} and Ω on \mathcal{I} (see, e.g., [27, 29, 33–35, 60–62] and references therein for the precise definition). Because it entails a certain fall-off behaviour of the physical metric near \mathcal{I} , this is a fruitful rigorous concept for studying asymptotic radiation properties of isolated systems in general relativity. It follows from the important recent works regularity conditions on \mathcal{I} (see [19, 36, 62, 81, 82] for a review). Let us emphasize that, until now, the only explicitly known exact metrics satisfying Penrose's asymptotic conditions (although not globally since there are at least four 'points' at $\mathcal I$ which are singular) are boostrotation symmetric spacetimes representing uniformly accelerated 'sources' or black holes [11, 13–15, 17, 18, 39, 83, 84]. Nevertheless, the original Penrose conjecture of asymptotic simplicity may appear to be too restrictive in general. More recent studies have indicated that generic Cauchy data fail to be smoothly extendable to the conformal boundary \mathcal{I} were thus studied in [57, 90, 91] and in other works, for which the metric \tilde{g} admits an asymptotic expansion in terms of $r^{-j} \log^j r$ rather than r^{-j} . This new setup naturally extends the Bondi–Sachs–Penrose approach. For example, when $\Lambda = 0$ the Bondi mass still remains in [21, 23, 92]. For the class of polyhomogeneous vacuum metrics the asymptotic symmetry group is the standard BMS group, and the peeling-off property of the curvature tensor is the $\sim r^{-3} \log r$ also appears. Further studies of polyhomogeneity for zero-rest-mass fields, such as the existence of conserved quantities (NP constants) at \mathcal{I} [33, 93–97], can be found, e.g., in [98, 99].

vie
l Re
oica.
Top

Let us now concentrate on the main *differences* between the asymptotically flat spacetimes and those with a non-vanishing cosmological constant Λ . Interestingly, specific new features appear in the case of asymptotically 'de Sitter-like' ($\Lambda > 0$) or 'anti-de Sitter-like' ($\Lambda < 0$) solutions for which the conformal infinity \mathcal{I} is, respectively, spacelike or timelike. As Penrose observed and repeatedly emphasized in his early works [28, 29, 100], the concept of radiation for massless fields turns out to be 'less invariant' in cases when \mathcal{I} does not have a null character, see section 9.7 of [33]. Namely, it emerges as necessarily *direction dependent* since the choice of the appropriate null tetrad, and thus the radiative component Ψ_4 of the field, may differ for different null goodesics reaching the same point on \mathcal{I} . This is, for example, demonstrated by the fact that with a non-vanishing Λ even fields of 'static', non-accelerated sources have a non-vanishing radiative component along a *generic* ('non-radial') direction, as was shown for test charges in [101] or for Reissner–Nordström black holes in [102, 103] in a (anti-)de Sitter universe.

phenomenon has been demonstrated explicitly [104] by analysing test electromagnetic fields of uniformly accelerated charges on a de Sitter background. On the other hand, it is well-known For $\Lambda \neq 0$, the non-null character of conformal infinity \mathcal{I} also plays a fundamental role in the formulation of the initial value problem. As mentioned above, quite surprisingly the global existence has been established of asymptotically simple vacuum solutions (with a $(\Lambda = 0)$ is still under investigation (see [19, 36, 81] for more details). Thus, many vacuum asymptotically simple spacetimes with de Sitter-like \mathcal{I} do exist. However, a spacelike \mathcal{I} as occurs in this case implies the existence of cosmological and particle horizons for geodesic observers, which results in insufficiency of purely retarded massless fields-advanced effects must necessarily be present. For example, the electromagnetic field produced by sources cannot be prescribed freely because the Gauss constraint has to be satisfied at \mathcal{I}^- (or \mathcal{I}^+). This that for a timelike \mathcal{I} , which occurs when $\Lambda < 0$, the spacetimes are not globally hyperbolic, 68, 105–107]. The data need to be given on a spacetime slice extending to \mathcal{I} and also on \mathcal{I} itself. The rigorous concept of gravitational and electromagnetic radiation is thus much less smooth \mathcal{I}) which differ on an arbitrary given Cauchy surface by a finite but sufficiently small amount from de Sitter data [36, 64], while an analogous result for data close to Minkowski and one is necessarily led to a kind of 'mixed initial boundary value problem', see, e.g., clear in situations when $\Lambda \neq 0$.

Here we will analyse mainly the *directional structure* of radiative fields. This structure is significantly different for null and spacelike/timelike conformal infinities. In the case of asymptotically flat spacetimes, the dominant radiative component of the field at any point *P* at null infinity \mathcal{I} is essentially *unique*. One can however approach a point *P* from *infinitely many different* null directions, and if \mathcal{I} has a spacelike or timelike character it is not *a priori* clear how the radiation components of the fields in the corresponding interpretation tetrads depend on a specific direction.

Such a directional dependence was explicitly found and described for the first time in the context of the *test electromagnetic field* generated by a pair of uniformly accelerated point-like charges in the de Sitter background [101, 108]. In particular, it was demonstrated that there always exist two special directions—those opposite to the direction from the sources—along which the radiation vanishes. For all other directions the radiation field is non-vanishing. This is described by an explicit formula which completely characterizes its angular dependence (see [101]).

Subsequently, we have carefully analysed the *exact* solution of the Einstein–Maxwell equations which generalizes the classic *C*-metric (see, e.g., [7, 83, 109, 110] for reviews and references) to admit a cosmological constant [111–115]. For $\Lambda > 0$ it represents a pair of uniformly accelerated possibly charged black holes in a de Sitter-like universe.

R237

R238

Topical Review

In [102] we demonstrated that the corresponding electromagnetic field exhibits exactly the same asymptotic radiative behaviour at the spacelike conformal infinity \mathcal{I} as for the test fields [101] of accelerated charges. Moreover, we found and explicitly described the specific analogous directional structure of the gravitational radiation field, and we proved that the directional pattern of radiation is adapted to the principal null directions of this Petrov type D spacetime.

Elsewhere [103] we investigated the asymptotic behaviour of fields corresponding to the *C*-metric with $\Lambda < 0$, i.e. the directional dependence of radiation generated by accelerated black holes in an anti-de Sitter universe. Some fundamental differences from the case $\Lambda > 0$ occur since the conformal infinity \mathcal{I} now has a timelike character. In fact, the whole structure of the spacetime is more complex and new phenomena also arise: \mathcal{I} is divided by Killing horizons into several domains with a different structure of principal null directions—in these domains the directional structure of radiation is thus different. The radiative field vanishes along directions which are mirror images of the principal null directions with respect to \mathcal{I} . Moreover, ingoing and outgoing radiation has to be treated separately.

These studies of particular exact radiative models with a non-vanishing Λ gave us a sufficient insight necessary to understand the asymptotic behaviour of *general* fields near spacelike or timelike conformal infinities. The directional dependence of gravitational and electromagnetic radiation is given mainly by *spacetime geometry*, namely by the character of \mathcal{I} and by the specific orientation and degeneracy of principal null directions at infinity.

In [116] we demonstrated that the directional structure of radiation close to a de Sitter-like infinity has a *universal character* that is determined by the *algebraic type* of the fields. For example, the radiation completely vanishes along spatial directions on \mathcal{I} which are antipodal to principal null directions. In the following work [117] we investigated the complementary situation when $\Lambda < 0$. Although the idea is similar to the previous case, the asymptotic behaviour of fields turns out to be more complicated because \mathcal{I} is timelike, and thus admits a 'richer structure' of possible radiative patterns.

1.2. Outline of the present work

It is the purpose of this review to present these results—concerning the asymptotic directional structure of general fields near conformal infinity \mathcal{I} of any type—in a symptotic directional and unified form. The paper is organized as follows. First, in section 2 we summarize basic concepts of conformal geometry and their relation to quantities in a physical spacetime. In particular, we introduce the conformal infinity \mathcal{I} , correlate its character with the sign of the cosmological constant Λ and investigate the correspondence between null geodesics in physical and conformal spacetimes. Section 3 is devoted to careful analysis of various orthonormal and null tetrads which are key ingredients in our subsequent study of the asymptotic behaviour of fields. We define an interpretation tetrad which is parallelly propagated along a null geodesic, and we demonstrate that—after performing a specific boost—it becomes asymptotically adjusted to \mathcal{I} (i.e., naturally normalized and adapted to normal and tangent directions at a given point of conformal infinity). This fact becomes crucial in section 4 in which we explicitly evaluate the components of general gravitational, electromagnetic or any spin-s field in the interpretation tetrad near conformal infinity. For zero-rest-mass fields the dominant component decays as η^{-1} (where η is the affine parameter of a null geodesic) and thus represents radiation.

The complete expression (4.19) fully chracterizes the asymptotic behaviour of the field near any \mathcal{I} , including the directional structure, i.e. the dependence on the direction of the geodesic along which a point $P \in \mathcal{I}$ is approached as $\eta \to \infty$. The final section 5 contains

a detailed discussion of the result. In asymptotically Minkowskian spacetimes with $\Lambda = 0$ for which \mathcal{I} has a null character, the directional dependence completely vanishes. The presence or absence of the η^{-1} component of the field can thus be used as an invariant characterization of radiation. In this context we also elucidate the precise meaning of the peeling-off behaviour. For $\Lambda \neq 0$ the asymptotic structure of fields is more complicated because the dominant component depends substantially on the direction of a null geodesic along which P is approached. We introduce a convenient parametrization of such directions near a spacelike or timelike \mathcal{I} which occur in spacetimes with $\Lambda > 0$ or $\Lambda < 0$, respectively. Finally, we describe in detail the asymptotic directional structure of radiative fields near such de Sitter-like or anti-de Sitter-like conformal infinities. It is proved to be essentially determined by the algebraic type of the field, namely by the number, degeneracy and specific orientation of the principal null directions at point $P \in \mathcal{I}$.

Two appendices are included. In appendix A we present expansions of the conformal factor Ω and the conformal affine parameter $\tilde{\eta}$ in terms of the physical affine parameter η of a null geodesic. We demonstrate their polyhomogeneous character. We also investigate the conditions under which the two main vectors of the interpretation tetrad become coplanar with the normal to \mathcal{I} . Appendix B summarizes the description of spin-s fields, tetrads and their Lorentz transformations in spinor formalism.

2. Conformal infinity and null geodesics

In this section we recall some basic concepts and properties concerning the geometry of a physical spacetime and its conformally related counterpart which will be necessary for our subsequent analysis. Many of these concepts can be found in standard literature, e.g., in [12, 33, 61]. However, we summarize them for convenience and to introduce our notation.

2.1. Conformal geometry

We wish to study spacetimes which locally admit conformal infinity. According to the general formalism [27, 29, 31, 33, 61], such an *n*-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} with physical metric **g** can be embedded into a larger *conformal manifold* $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ with *conformal metric* **\tilde{\mathbf{g}}** via a conformal transformation

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \Omega^2 \mathbf{g}.\tag{2.1}$$

Obviously, the spacetimes (\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}) and ($\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \mathbf{\tilde{g}}$) have identical local causal structure (the same light cones). The conformal factor Ω is assumed to be positive in \mathcal{M} , and vanishes on the boundary of \mathcal{M} in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Such a boundary $\Omega = 0$ is called *conformal infinity* \mathcal{I} . Let us note that in the following it is not necessary to require global existence of \mathcal{I} . However, we assume that Ω is smooth near \mathcal{I} , and we impose suitable regularity conditions for the conformal metric. Specifically, we assume that the conformal factor is sufficiently smooth along null geodesics approaching \mathcal{I} in ($\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \mathbf{\tilde{g}}$), cf equation (2.16) in this section.

To indicate explicitly which of the above metrics is used for raising indices, we introduce g^{ab} as the inverse of \tilde{g}_{ab} and \tilde{g}^{ab} as the inverse of \tilde{g}_{ab} .

The derivative operator of \tilde{g} is related to that of \tilde{g} . The relation between the derivative $\tilde{\nabla}$ associated with \tilde{g} and ∇ associated with \tilde{g} is (see, e.g., [61])

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{a} \mathbf{v}^{c} = \nabla_{a} \mathbf{v}^{c} + \gamma_{ab}^{c} \mathbf{v}^{b}, \qquad \gamma_{ab}^{c} = \Omega^{-1} (\delta_{a}^{c} \mathbf{d}_{b} \Omega + \delta_{b}^{c} \mathbf{d}_{a} \Omega - \mathbf{g}_{ab} \mathbf{g}^{cd} \mathbf{d}_{a} \Omega).$$
(2.2)

This implies relations between the curvature associated with $\tilde{\nabla}$, and the curvature associated with ∇ . By contracting the formula for the Riemann tensor we obtain relations between the

R239

R240

Topical Review

conformal and physical Ricci tensors,

$$\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mathbf{l}}c_{ab} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{i}\mathbf{c}_{ab} - (n-2)\Omega^{-1}\nabla_{a}\mathbf{d}_{b}\Omega - \Omega^{-1}\mathbf{g}_{ab}\Box\Omega + 2(n-2)\Omega^{-2}\mathbf{d}_{a}\Omega\mathbf{d}_{b}\Omega - (n-3)\Omega^{-2}\mathbf{g}_{a,b}\mathbf{g}^{cd}\mathbf{d}_{a}\Omega\mathbf{d}_{b}\Omega.$$
(2.3)

$$+ z(n - z)sz \quad \mathbf{q}_a sz \, \mathbf{q}_b sz - (n - z) sz \quad \mathbf{g}_a s\mathbf{g}^{-1} \, \mathbf{q}_c sz \, \mathbf{q}_d sz,$$
where $\Box = \mathbf{g}^{ab} \nabla_a \nabla_b$, and the scalar curvatures,

$$\tilde{R} = \Omega^{-2} R - 2(n-1)\Omega^{-3} \Box \Omega - (n-1)(n-4)\Omega^{-4} \mathbf{g}^{ab} \mathbf{d}_b \Omega \mathbf{d}_b \Omega.$$
(2.4)

The Weyl tensor is unchanged by a conformal transformation,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{abc}^{\ \ d} = \mathbf{C}_{abc}^{\ \ d}.$$
(2.5)

2.2. Conformal infinity \mathcal{I} and its character

The conformal infinity is localized by the condition $\Omega = 0$. Its character is determined by the gradient $d\Omega$ on \mathcal{I} —it can be timelike, null or spacelike. We introduce a normalized vector $\mathbf{\hat{n}}$ which is *normal to the conformal infinity* \mathcal{I} ,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{n}}^{a} = \tilde{N}\tilde{\mathbf{g}}^{ab}\mathbf{d}_{b}\Omega, \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{ab}\tilde{\mathbf{n}}^{a}\tilde{\mathbf{n}}^{b} = \sigma, \qquad \sigma = -1, 0, +1.$$
(2.6)

For $\sigma = \pm 1$ the conformal 'lapse' function $\tilde{N} > 0$ is given by $\tilde{N} = |\tilde{g}^{ab}\mathbf{d}_{a}\Omega \mathbf{d}_{b}\Omega|^{-1/2}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \sigma \tilde{N}^{2}\mathbf{d}\Omega^{2} + ^{T}\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$, where $^{T}\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ is a restriction of $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ on \mathcal{I} . For $\sigma = 0$ the 'lapse' \tilde{N} is chosen arbitrarily. The normalization factor σ determines the character of the conformal infinity, namely

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} -1: & \mathcal{I} \text{ is spacelike}, \\ 0: & \mathcal{I} \text{ is null}, \\ +1: & \mathcal{I} \text{ is timelike}. \end{cases}$$
(2.7)

This is illustrated in figure 1.

In fact, we can explicitly evaluate the 'lapse' \tilde{N} . Transforming \Box into $\tilde{\Box} = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}^{ab} \tilde{\nabla}_{a} \tilde{\nabla}_{b}$ in (2.4) using relation (2.2), we obtain

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}^{ab} \mathbf{d}_a \Omega \, \mathbf{d}_b \Omega = -\frac{R}{n(n-1)} + \Omega \left(\frac{2}{n} \widehat{\Box} \Omega + \frac{\Omega \tilde{R}}{n(n-1)} \right). \tag{2.8}$$

By contracting the Einstein field equations

$$\operatorname{Ric} - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Rg} + \operatorname{Ag} = \varkappa \mathbf{T},\tag{2.9}$$

we get $R = \frac{\pi-2}{n-2}(n\Lambda - \kappa T)$. Assuming a vanishing trace T of the energy-momentum tensor, which is valid in vacuum, pure radiation or electrovacuum (n = 4) spacetimes, equation (2.8) on T implies

$$\sigma \tilde{N}|_{\mathcal{I}}^{-2} = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}^{ab} \mathbf{d}_{a} \Omega \mathbf{d}_{b} \Omega|_{\mathcal{I}} = -\frac{2\Lambda}{(n-1)(n-2)}, \qquad \text{i.e.,} \quad \sigma = -\text{sign }\Lambda.$$
(2.10)

The character of the conformal infinity is thus correlated with the sign of the cosmological constant. For $\sigma \neq 0$ we also obtain that the '*lapse'* is constant on \mathcal{I} , $\tilde{N}|_{\mathcal{I}} = \ell > 0$, where ℓ is a typical length, which for spacetime dimension n = 4 is $\ell = \sqrt{|3/\Lambda|}$. For (anti-)de Sitter spacetime, the scale ℓ represents its characteristic radius. When $\sigma = 0$ ($\Lambda = 0$) we choose \tilde{N} to be an arbitrary constant on \mathcal{I} . The normalized timelike/null/spacelike vector $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ given by (2.6) which is normal to conformal infinity \mathcal{I} is thus set uniquely.

Analogously we introduce a vector \mathbf{n} normal to $\Omega = \text{const}$ in the physical spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ such that

$$\mathbf{g}_{ab}\mathbf{n}^{a}\mathbf{n}^{b}=\sigma,$$

(2.11)

rescaled by a proper power of Ω which is correctly defined at conformal infinity, independent of the direction along which \mathcal{I} is approached. The conformal geometry and the definition of the conformal infinity can thus be understood as a convenient way to deal with tensors at infinity—any physical quantity can be 'translated' into its conformal counterpart which is well defined at \mathcal{I} . However, it can be convenient sometimes to speak directly about physical quantities at \mathcal{I} and we will do so if the 'translation' to the conformal picture is clear. Exactly in this sense, we can speak about the physical normal vector n at \mathcal{I} , even if it is related to the well defined conformal normal n by relation (2.12) which is degenerate on \mathcal{I} . Similarly, in the next section we use a null terrad adjusted to the infinity \mathcal{I} normalized in the physical geometry. Let us note however that nor has to be careful with asymptotic expansions if these are	not isotropic, i.e., if they rescale one direction by an 'infinite amount' as compared with other directions. This will be the case of, for example, interpretation null terrads parallelly transported to \mathcal{I} as discussed in section 3.4. Various components of physical tensors with respect to the interpretation tetrad thus will not rescale in the same way and their behaviour has to be studied more carefully, cf section 4.3. 2.3. Null geodesics	Now we consider geodesics in the physical spacetime (\mathcal{M} , \mathbf{g}) and we relate them to geodesics in the conformal spacetime ($\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, $\mathbf{\tilde{g}}$). It follows from (2.2) that <i>null geodesics are conformally in the conformal spacetime</i> ($\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, $\mathbf{\tilde{g}}$). It follows from (2.2) that <i>null geodesics are conformally in the conformal spacetime</i> ($\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, $\mathbf{\tilde{g}}$).	affine parameter η for geodesics $z(\eta)$ with respect to ∇ connected with null geodesics $z(\eta)$ with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$. The affine parameter $\tilde{\eta}$ for geodesics in conformal spacetime is related to the affine parameter η for geodesics in physical spacetime by $\frac{d\tilde{\eta}}{d\eta} = \Omega^2, \text{i.e.,} \frac{Dz}{d\eta} = \Omega^2 \frac{D\tilde{z}}{d\tilde{\eta}} $ (2.13)	(we fix a trivial factor corresponding to constant rescaling of $\tilde{\eta}$ to unity). Without loss of generality we take the affine parameter $\tilde{\eta}$ such that $\tilde{\eta} = 0$ at conformal infinity \mathcal{I} . Therefore, as $\tilde{\eta} \to 0$ the null geodesic $\tilde{z}(\tilde{\eta})$ in conformal spacetime approaches a specific point $P \in \mathcal{I}$, i.e., $\tilde{z}(0) = P$. Such a geodesic can be either <i>outgoing</i> or <i>ingoing</i> with respect to physical spacetime \mathcal{M} :	$\frac{\mathrm{D}\tilde{z}^{a}}{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\eta}}\mathbf{d}_{a}\Omega\Big _{x} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\eta}}\Big _{x} = -\epsilon, \tag{2.14}$ where	$\epsilon = \begin{cases} +1: & \text{for outgoing geodesics, } \tilde{\eta} < 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{M}, \\ -1: & \text{for ingoing geodesics, } \tilde{\eta} > 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{M}. \end{cases} $ (2.15)	By this condition, the normalization of the affine parameter $\tilde{\eta}$ is fixed uniquely, including the orientation of the null geodesic $\tilde{z}(\tilde{\eta})$. As we have already mentioned, we assume smoothness of the conformal factor along $\tilde{z}(\tilde{\eta})$, hence we may expand Ω in powers of $\tilde{\eta}$ near \mathcal{I} . Taking into account that $\tilde{\eta} _{\mathcal{I}} = 0$, and equation (2.14), we have	$\Omega = -\epsilon \tilde{\eta} + \Omega_2 \tilde{\eta}^2 + \cdots, $ (2.16)	with Ω_2 constant. Substituting into equation (2.13), straightforward integration leads to the relation between the physical and conformal affine parameters	$\eta = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}}(1 - 2\epsilon\Omega_2\tilde{\eta}\ln \tilde{\eta} - \eta_0\tilde{\eta} + \cdots). $ (2.17)
$\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ $\mathcal{$	$M \qquad \qquad$	$\dot{\mathcal{M}}$ Ω $ \ddot{\mathbb{C}} $ $\sigma=-1$ $\sigma=0$ $\sigma=+1$	Figure 1. The local character of the conformal infinity \mathcal{I} (situated on the boundary $\Omega = 0$ of \mathcal{M} in \mathcal{M}) is determined by the norm σ of vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ normal to \mathcal{I} . For $\sigma = -1$, 0 or +1 the infinity \mathcal{I} is spacelike, null or timelike, respectively. When $\sigma = -1$ or $\sigma = 0$, the future conformal infinity \mathcal{I}^+ and the past conformal infinity \mathcal{I}^- can be distinguished; the corresponding diagrams are drawn in the upper and lower parts of the figure. For $\sigma = +1$ the future and past infinities of null geodesics are the same, and therefore the diagrams are identical.	which implies the relation $\mathbf{n} = \Omega \mathbf{\tilde{n}}. \tag{2.12}$	Strictly speaking, it is not possible to introduce the vector n normalized in the physical geometry $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ at \mathcal{I} directly. The conformal infinity <i>does not</i> belong to the physical spacetime, and even if we extend the manifold \mathcal{M} into the conformal manifold $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, the physical metric \mathbf{g} is not well defined on \mathcal{I} : it is related to the conformal metric $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ by the factor	Ω^{-2} , see (2.1), which becomes infinite. We could try to extend the definition of the physical metric (and other tensors related	to physical spacetime) up to the infinity \mathcal{I} using some limiting procedure, e.g., using its expansion along curves approaching \mathcal{I} . However, the 'infinite ratio' between g and $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ still poses problems. Physically defined vectors transported in a natural way to \mathcal{I} are rescaled to zero when measured in conformal geometry and vectors from the conformal tangent space at \mathcal{I} have an infinite length if measured using the physical metric. The tangent space of the	conformal manifold at \mathcal{I} is infinitely 'blown up' with respect to the tangent space of the physical	tradition defined at the contornal minuty by a surface minuting procedure. According to solve interventions, one can deal with such infinite scaling using the conformal technique: its important feature is that the conformal rescaling is <i>isotropic</i> —it rescales all directions in the same way. If the rescaling	enters expansions of physical tensor quantities only as a common factor which is some power of Ω , it is 'well controlled': we can associate with any physical quantity a conformal quantity

R242

R241

Topical Review

Topical Review

R243

Here η_0 is a constant of integration. Consequently, near \mathcal{I} we obtain in the leading order that $\tilde{\eta} \approx -\eta^{-1}$ and $\Omega \approx \epsilon \eta^{-1}$. The null geodesic $z(\eta)$ thus reaches the point $P \in \mathcal{I}$ for an *infinite* value of the affine parameter η , namely $z(\epsilon \infty) = P$.

The leading term in the expansions (2.16) and (2.17) is sufficient for all calculations throughout this paper. However, for other purposes it can be useful to express these expansions up to the next order. It is not simple to invert expansion (2.17) due to the presence of the logarithmic term. In appendix A we demonstrate that (cf equation (A.6))

$$\tilde{\eta} = -\frac{1}{\eta}(1 - 2\epsilon\Omega_2\eta^{-1}\ln|\eta| + \eta_0\eta^{-1} + \cdots),$$
(2.18)

and (cf equation (A.7))

$$\Omega = \epsilon \eta^{-1} + (-2\Omega_2 \ln|\eta| + \epsilon \eta_0 + \Omega_2) \eta^{-2} + \cdots.$$
(2.19)

Nevertheless, the logarithmic terms in these expansions disappear provided Penrose's asymptotic Einstein condition (cf equation 9.6.21 of [33]),

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \mathbf{d}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \Omega \approx \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{b}} \tilde{\Box} \Omega,$$
(2.20)

is satisfied, cf equation (A.16).

3. Various null tetrads

We now wish to investigate the behaviour of fields near conformal infinity in standard general relativity in four dimensions (n = 4). For this purpose we introduce the normalized 'interpretation' tetrad which is parallelly transported along null geodesics $z(\eta)$ approaching \mathcal{I} . To achieve this we will employ several orthonormal and null tetrads which will be distinguished by specific labels in subscripts.

3.1. Tetrads and their transformations

We denote the vectors of an *orthonormal tetrad* as \mathbf{t} , \mathbf{q} , \mathbf{r} , \mathbf{s} , where \mathbf{t} is a unit timelike vector, typically chosen to be future oriented, and the remaining three are spacelike unit vectors. With this tetrad we associate a *null tetrad* of null vectors \mathbf{k} , \mathbf{l} , \mathbf{m} , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{q}), \qquad \mathbf{l} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{q}), \\ \mathbf{m} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{is}), \qquad \mathbf{\tilde{m}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{is}), \end{aligned}$$
(3.1)

where the only non-vanishing scalar products are

$$\mathbf{g}_{ab}\mathbf{k}^{a}\mathbf{l}^{a} = -1, \qquad \mathbf{g}_{ab}\mathbf{m}^{a}\mathbf{\tilde{m}}^{b} = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly, we introduce a *conformal null tetrad* $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{m}}, \tilde{\mathbf{m}}$ in conformal spacetime $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ normalized by the conformal metric $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ as $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{ab} \tilde{\mathbf{k}}^{a} \tilde{l}^{b} = -1$, $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{ab} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}^{a} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}^{b} = 1$, which is associated with *conformal orthonormal tetrad* $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}, \tilde{\mathbf{s}}$.

Transformations between orthonormal tetrads (and corresponding null tetrads) form the Lorentz group. In the context of null tetrads it is convenient to consider four simple transformations from which any Lorentz transformation can be generated [12]: null rotation with **k** fixed, parametrized by $L \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_{0}, \quad \mathbf{l} = \mathbf{l}_{0} + \bar{L}\mathbf{m}_{0} + L\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{0} + L\bar{L}\mathbf{k}_{0}, \qquad \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_{0} + L\mathbf{k}_{0}, \tag{3.3}$$

null rotation with I *fixed,* given by $K \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0 + \bar{K} \mathbf{m}_0 + K \bar{\mathbf{m}}_0 + K \bar{K} \mathbf{l}_0, \quad \mathbf{l} = \mathbf{l}_0, \quad \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_0 + K \mathbf{l}_0, \tag{3.4}$$



Figure 2. Tetrads adjusted to conformal infinity \mathcal{I} of various characters, determined by σ , cf figure 1. If the vector \vec{k} is oriented along the tangent vector of the null geodesic $\tilde{z}(\tilde{\eta})$ it is either outgoing ($\epsilon = +1$) or ingoing ($\epsilon = -1$).

boost in the k–l plane, $B \in \mathbb{R}$, and a spatial rotation in the m–m̃ plane, $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbf{k} = B\mathbf{k}_0, \qquad \mathbf{l} = B^{-1}\mathbf{l}_0, \qquad \mathbf{m} = \exp(\mathrm{i}\phi)\mathbf{m}_0. \tag{3.5}$$

The transformations of the corresponding normalized spinor frames are listed in appendix B, relations (B.4)-(B.6).

3.2. The tetrad adjusted to \mathcal{I}

We say that a conformal null tetrad is *adjusted to conformal infinity* if the vectors $\mathbf{\tilde{k}}$ and $\mathbf{\tilde{l}}$ on \mathcal{I} are coplanar with $\mathbf{\tilde{n}}$ (the vector (2.6) normal to conformal infinity), and satisfy the relation

$$\tilde{\mathbf{n}} = \epsilon \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-\sigma \tilde{\mathbf{k}} + \tilde{\mathbf{l}}), \tag{3.6}$$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1$. As shown in figure 2, for a 'de Sitter type' spacelike infinity ($\sigma = -1$) there is $\mathbf{\tilde{n}} = \epsilon \mathbf{\tilde{l}} = \epsilon (\mathbf{\tilde{k}} + \mathbf{\tilde{l}})/\sqrt{2}$, for an 'anti-de Sitter type' timelike \mathcal{I} ($\sigma = +1$) $\mathbf{\tilde{n}} = -\epsilon \mathbf{\tilde{q}} = -\epsilon (\mathbf{\tilde{k}} - \mathbf{\tilde{l}})/\sqrt{2}$, and $\mathbf{\tilde{n}} = \epsilon \mathbf{\tilde{l}}/\sqrt{2}$ for null 'Minkowskian' \mathcal{I} ($\sigma = 0$). If the null vector $\mathbf{\tilde{k}}$ is chosen to be oriented along the tangent vector of the null geodesic $\tilde{z}(\tilde{\eta})$, the parameter ϵ then identifies whether the geodesic is outgoing ($\epsilon = +1$) or ingoing ($\epsilon = -1$), see (2.15). Note that the condition (3.6) also implies $\mathbf{\tilde{g}}_{ab}\mathbf{\tilde{m}}^{a}\mathbf{\tilde{n}}^{b}$, so that the vectors $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}$, $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}$ of the tetrad adjusted to conformal infinity are always *tangent to* \mathcal{I} .

Analogously we define a tetrad in the physical spacetime adjusted to conformal infinity by the condition

$$\mathbf{n} = \epsilon \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-\sigma \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{l}), \tag{3.7}$$

eview
Ř
cal
òpi

where the vector **n** normal to \mathcal{I} in (\mathcal{M} , **g**) is normalized by (2.11) (cf discussion at the end of section 2.2).

3.3. The interpretation tetrad

Let us introduce an *interpretation* null tetrad \mathbf{k}_i , \mathbf{l}_i , \mathbf{m}_i , \mathbf{m}_i , \mathbf{i}_i any tetrad which is *parallelly transported along a null geodesic* $z(\eta)$ *in the physical spacetime* \mathcal{M} , with \mathbf{k}_i tangent to $z(\eta)$. We thus require

$$\mathbf{k}_{i} = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{2}\ell} \frac{\mathrm{D}z}{\mathrm{d}\eta}, \qquad \gamma = \mathrm{constant}, \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\mathbf{k}_{i}^{a}\nabla_{a}\mathbf{k}_{i}^{b} = 0, \qquad \mathbf{k}_{i}^{a}\nabla_{a}\mathbf{l}_{i}^{b} = 0, \qquad \mathbf{k}_{i}^{a}\nabla_{a}\mathbf{m}_{i}^{b} = 0, \qquad \mathbf{k}_{i}^{a}\nabla_{a}\mathbf{m}_{i}^{b} = 0.$$
(3.9)

Here, ℓ is a constant scale parameter introduced below equation (2.10). For a geodesic the first equation in (3.9) is satisfied automatically. It only remains to investigate the remaining three conditions for parallel transport of the vectors $\mathbf{l}_i, \mathbf{m}_i$ and $\mathbf{\overline{m}}_i$.

The interpretation tetrad is not unique—there is a freedom in its particular initial or final specification. It is possible to scale the vector \mathbf{k}_i by fixing the constant γ in (3.8). By such a choice we fix the 'physical wavelength' of the associated null ray. The initial scale of the vector \mathbf{k}_i can be fixed somewhere in the spacetime, e.g., with respect to a Killing vector or with respect to worldlines of sources, etc. Unfortunately, on a general level, we do not know how to specify privileged initial conditions for the interpretation tetrad. However, our goal here is to *compare* the field measured in interpretation tetrads transported along *different* null geodesics approaching the same point at \mathcal{I} . It is thus natural to choose the *final* conditions for tetrads in a 'comparable' way independently of the geodesics. Observing that the normalization of the tangent vector $Dz/d\eta$ was chosen naturally with respect to the asymptotic structure of the spacetime by equations ($\mathcal{2}$.13) and ($\mathcal{2}$.14), we require that the constant γ in (3.8) is independent of the choice of the geodesics. In the following we will set

$$\gamma = 1. \tag{3.10}$$

This is equivalent to the condition that the component of the vector \mathbf{k}_i normal to the conformal infinity is the same for *all* interpretation tetrads approaching a given point on \mathcal{I} , cf equation (3.16).

There is a remaining freedom in the choice of the interpretation tetrad which corresponds to a null rotation with k_i fixed, and to a spatial m_i - \bar{m}_i rotation. However, we will find that the asymptotic characterization of the field components derived in section 4.5 does not, in fact, depend on such freedom. To demonstrate this property, we now analyse an explicit relation between the interpretation tetrad and a conformal tetrad adjusted to \mathcal{I} .

3.4. Asymptotic behaviour of the interpretation tetrad

We consider a particular null tetrad $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{a}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{a}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{a}$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{a}$ is tangent to a null geodesic $\tilde{z}(\tilde{\eta})$,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathrm{a}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\ell} \frac{D_{\mathrm{z}}}{d\tilde{\eta}},\tag{3.11}$$

 \tilde{I}_a is *coplanar* with \tilde{k}_a and \tilde{n} on \mathcal{I} , and such that the vectors of the tetrad are *parallelly transported* along $\tilde{z}(\tilde{\eta})$ in conformal geometry,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{a}^{a}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{a}\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{a}^{b}=0, \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{a}^{a}\tilde{\nabla}_{a}\tilde{\mathbf{l}}_{a}^{b}=0, \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{a}^{a}\tilde{\nabla}_{a}\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{a}^{b}=0, \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{a}^{a}\tilde{\nabla}_{a}\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{a}^{b}=0.$$
(3.12)

R246

R245

Topical Review

Using expressions (3.11), (2.14), and (2.6) we immediately derive the relation $-\sigma \tilde{k}_{a} + \tilde{l}_{a} = \epsilon \sqrt{2n}$ on conformal infinity, which demonstrates that the tetrad considered *becomes adjusted* to \mathcal{I} for $\tilde{\eta} = 0$ at the point $\tilde{z}(0) = P \in \mathcal{I}$.

On the other hand, from (3.8), (3.11), (2.13) we obtain

$$\mathbf{k}_{i} = \Omega^{2} \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{a}, \tag{3}$$

13)

so that using (2.12), $\mathbf{g}_{ab}\mathbf{k}_{1}^{a}\mathbf{n}^{b} = -\epsilon \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Omega$. The interpretation tetrad is thus *not* adjusted to \mathcal{I} since it does not satisfy equation (3.7).

To find an explicit relation between the tetrads \mathbf{k}_i , \mathbf{l}_i , \mathbf{m}_i , \mathbf{m}_i , $\mathbf{\tilde{n}}_a$, $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}_a$, we first introduce an *auxiliary null tetrad* \mathbf{k}_a , \mathbf{l}_a , \mathbf{m}_a , $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}_a$ by conformal rescaling of the tetrad $\mathbf{\tilde{k}}_a$, $\mathbf{\tilde{l}}_a$, $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}_a$, $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}_a$,

$$\mathbf{k}_{a} = \Omega \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{a}, \quad \mathbf{l}_{a} = \Omega \tilde{\mathbf{l}}_{a}, \quad \mathbf{m}_{a} = \Omega \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{a}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{a} = \Omega \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{a}.$$
 (3.14)

The auxiliary tetrad is normalized with respect to the physical metric \mathbf{g} , it is adjusted to \mathcal{I} but its vectors are no longer parellelly transported along the geodesics $z(\eta)$ in \mathcal{M} .

Secondly, we perform a specific boost of the interpretation terrad such that the boost parameter is given by the conformal factor, introducing thus the tetrad k_b , l_b , m_b , \tilde{m}_b ,

$$\mathbf{k}_{b} = \Omega^{-1} \mathbf{k}_{i}, \qquad \mathbf{l}_{b} = \Omega \mathbf{l}_{i}, \qquad \mathbf{m}_{b} = \mathbf{m}_{i}, \qquad \mathbf{\tilde{m}}_{b} = \mathbf{\tilde{m}}_{i}.$$
 (3.15)

The vector \mathbf{k}_{b} is then normalized on \mathcal{I} in the same way as \mathbf{k}_{a} , namely

$$\mathbf{g}_{ab}\mathbf{k}_{b}^{a}\mathbf{n}^{b} = -\epsilon \frac{1}{25}.$$
(3.16)

Considering (3.13), the tetrad (3.15) has to be related to the auxiliary tetrad (3.14) by a null rotation with fixed \mathbf{k} and a possible spatial rotation in the \mathbf{m} - $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}$ plane,

$$\mathbf{k}_{b} = \mathbf{k}_{a}, \qquad \mathbf{l}_{b} = \mathbf{l}_{a} + \tilde{L}\mathbf{m}_{a} + \tilde{L}\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{a} + \tilde{L}\tilde{L}\mathbf{k}_{a}, \qquad \mathbf{m}_{b} = \exp(i\phi)(\mathbf{m}_{a} + \tilde{L}\mathbf{k}_{a}), \qquad (3.17)$$

with parameters $\tilde{L} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$, cf (3.3), (3.5). For the interpretation tetrad it implies $\mathbf{k}_i = \Omega \mathbf{k}_a, \qquad \mathbf{l}_i = \Omega^{-1} \mathbf{l}_a + \tilde{L} \mathbf{m}_a + L \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{\Delta} + L \tilde{L} \Omega \mathbf{k}_a, \qquad \mathbf{m}_i = \exp(i\phi)(\mathbf{m}_a + L \Omega \mathbf{k}_a),$ (3.18)

with $L = \Omega^{-1} \tilde{L}$. Now, substituting these expressions into the conditions (3.9) for parallel transport of the interpretation tetrad, and using (2.2), (3.12) and (3.13) we obtain

$$\mathbf{k}_{a}^{a}\mathbf{d}_{a}\phi = 0, \qquad \mathbf{k}_{a}^{a}\mathbf{d}_{a}L = \Omega^{-2}\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{a}^{a}\mathbf{d}_{a}\Omega. \tag{3.19}$$

The first equation implies $\phi = \phi_0 = \text{const}$, see equation (3.11). Assuming again the regularity of conformal geometry near infinity, the term on the right-hand side of the second equation can be expanded in powers of $\tilde{\eta}$. Moreover, for $\tilde{\eta} = 0$ the vector $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_a$ is tangent to \mathcal{I} , see the text below equation (3.6), so that the expansion has the form

$$\sqrt{2\ell\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{a}}^{d}}\mathbf{d}_{a}\Omega = M_{1}\tilde{\eta} + M_{2}\tilde{\eta}^{2} + \cdots, \qquad (3.20)$$

where M_1 , M_2 are constants which depend on derivatives of Ω . Using (2.16) we thus integrate (3.19) to get

$$= M_1 \ln |\tilde{\eta}| + L_0 + \cdots, \qquad \text{i.e.,} \quad \tilde{L} = -\epsilon M_1 \tilde{\eta} \ln |\tilde{\eta}| - \epsilon L_0 \tilde{\eta} + \cdots, \qquad (3.21)$$

where L_0 is a constant of integration. Using (2.18) we obtain the expansion in physical affine parameter η , $\phi = \phi_0$,

$$= -M_1 \ln|\eta| + L_0 + \cdots, \qquad \text{i.e.,} \quad \tilde{L} = -\epsilon M_1 \eta^{-1} \ln|\eta| + \epsilon L_0 \eta^{-1} + \cdots.$$
(3.22)

Calculations to the next order in the affine parameter are presented in appendix A.

Υi€
Re
Cal
bid
Ĕ

3

We observe that \tilde{L} *approaches zero near* \mathcal{I} . Inspecting relations (3.17) we thus obtain an important result: *the tetrad* \mathbf{k}_0 , \mathbf{h}_0 , \mathbf{m}_0 , $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}_0$,

Let us note that in general the vectors \mathbf{k}_i , \mathbf{l}_i of the interpretation tetrad are not asymptotically coplanar with the normal \mathbf{n} to \mathcal{I} . From equations (3.18) with L given by (3.21) we see that the vector \mathbf{l}_i has components in the \mathbf{m}_a - $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}_a$ directions which are perpendicular to \mathbf{n} . Fortunately, these components grow only logarithmically and, as we shall see later, they do not influence the leading term of the fields evaluated with respect to the interpretation tetrad. Moreover, it is demonstrated in appendix A (see equation (A.17)) that

$$M_1 \sim \left(\tilde{\eta}^{-1} \Phi_0^{a}\right) \left| \right|_{\tilde{\eta}=0} \sim \left(\eta \Phi_0^{a} \right) \left| \right|_{\eta=\epsilon\infty}, \tag{3.23}$$

where Φ_{01}^{a} is the specific component of the energy-momentum tensor evaluated in the auxiliary tetrad (3.14). This vanishes for a vacuum spacetime. It also disappears in non-vacuum cases when the asymptotic Einstein condition (2.20) holds—it is satisfied provided that matter fields decay faster then $\sim \tilde{\eta}$ near conformal infinity. For such spacetimes, the $\ln|\tilde{\eta}|$ term in expansion (3.21) of the parameter *L* near \mathcal{I} is absent, so that

$$L \approx L_0, \qquad \text{i.e.}, \quad \tilde{L} \approx -\epsilon L_0 \tilde{\eta}, \tag{3.24}$$

and, by the proper choice $L_0 = 0$, the vectors **k**_i, **l**_i of the interpretation tetrad *can be arranged* to become asymptotically *coplanar* with the normal **n**. This coplanarity was assumed previously in [33] (see discussion concerning figure 9-20 therein), and in [116, 117].

Let us also discuss the geometrical meaning of the integration constants L_0 and ϕ_0 . In the above derivation we have represented the transformation (3.18) from the auxiliary tetrad to the interpretation tetrad as an application of null rotation with fixed **k** given by the parameter $\tilde{L} = \tilde{L}_* + \Omega L_0$ (\tilde{L}_* independent of L_0 , cf equation (3.21)), spatial rotation with the parameter $\phi = \phi_0$, and finally boost with the parameter $B = \Omega$. This can be rearranged as the sequence of **k**-fixed null rotation given by the parameter \tilde{L}_* , boost with $B = \Omega$, then **k**-fixed null rotation given by the parameter \tilde{L}_0 , and finally spatial rotation with $\phi = \phi_0$. The last two transformations exactly correspond to the freedom in the choice of the interpretation tetrad—the condition (3.8) determines the interpretation tetrad exactly up to such null rotation with **k** fixed, and a spatial rotation in the **m**- $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ plane. The parameters L_0 and ϕ_0 thus determine a *specific choice* of the interpretation tetrad which is usually given by some physical prescription in a finite domain of the spacetime.

It will be demonstrated below that the *asymptotic directional behaviour of the fields* (see section 4.3) *is independent of the parameter L*₀. It will depend on the parameter ϕ_0 only through a *phase* of the complex component of the field, and such dependence can be eliminated by considering just the magnitude of the field. We will return to the corresponding question of the phase ('polarization') dependence of the fields in the discussion of the results.

3.5. The reference tetrad and parametrization of null directions

In the following, we will need to identify the direction \mathbf{k}_i of the null geodesic and orientation of the associated interpretation tetrad near conformal infinity using suitable directional parameters. For this purpose we set up a reference tetrad. The *reference tetrad* \mathbf{k}_0 , \mathbf{l}_0 , \mathbf{m}_0 , \mathbf{m}_0 is any tetrad adjusted to \mathcal{I} which satisfies the coplanarity and normalization condition (3.7),

$$\mathbf{n} = \epsilon_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-\sigma \mathbf{k}_0 + \mathbf{l}_0).$$
(3.25)

R247

R248

Topical Review

Otherwise, the reference tetrad can be chosen *arbitrarily*, ergo conveniently. It may thus either respect the symmetry of the spacetime (by adapting the reference tetrad to the Killing vectors) or its specific algebraic structure (in which case it can be oriented along the principal null directions). The parameter $\epsilon_0 = \pm 1$ in (3.25) will be chosen in such a way that the vectors \mathbf{k}_0 and \mathbf{l}_0 are *future oriented*. For $\sigma = -1$, 0 this means that $\epsilon_0 = \pm 1$ on \mathcal{I}^+ and $\epsilon_0 = -1$ on \mathcal{I}^- . For $\sigma = +1$ the parameter ϵ_0 can be chosen either +1 or -1: it corresponds to \mathbf{k}_0 oriented outside or inside \mathcal{M} , cf figure 2.

We use the given reference tetrad \mathbf{k}_0 , \mathbf{l}_0 , $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}_0$ as a fixed basis with respect to which it is possible to define uniquely other directions, for example asymptotic directions along which various null geodesics approach a point *P* at \mathcal{I} , or the principal null directions, see section 4.2. It is natural to characterize such a general null direction \mathbf{k} by a *complex parameter R* in the following way: the direction \mathbf{k} is obtained (up to a rescaling) from \mathbf{k}_0 by the null rotation (3.4) with the parameter K = R,

$$\mathbf{k} \propto \mathbf{k}_0 + \bar{R} \mathbf{m}_0 + R \bar{\mathbf{m}}_0 + R \bar{R} \mathbf{l}_0. \tag{3.26}$$

The value $R = \infty$ is also permitted—this corresponds to **k** being oriented along **l**₀.

Let us mention that the reference tetrad introduced above is not well defined in conformal geometry—it is normalized using the physical metric. However, it could be rescaled *isotropically* by the *common* factor Ω^{-1} to obtain the associated *conformal reference tetrad* which is well defined in the conformal geometry. For convenience, in the following we will use the physically normalized reference tetrad instead of the conformally normalized one—see discussion at the end of section 2.2.

4. The fields and their asymptotic structure

Now we have all 'prerequisites' needed to analyse the asymptotic properties of the fields. We are mainly interested in gravitational and electromagnetic fields. However, the principal result—the asymptotic directional structure of the fields—can be derived for general fields of spin *s*. In all these cases we will study the dominant (radiative) component of the field as one approaches conformal infinity. For this purpose, it is useful to parametrize the fields using complex tetrad components which have special transformation properties.

4.1. The field components and their transformation properties

Following the notation of [12], gravitational field is characterized by the Weyl tensor C_{abcd} and can be parametrized by five complex coefficients

$$\begin{split} \Psi_0 &= \mathsf{C}_{abcd} k^a \mathbf{m}^b \mathbf{k}^c \mathbf{m}^d, \\ \Psi_1 &= \mathsf{C}_{abcd} k^a \mathbf{l}^b \mathbf{k}^c \mathbf{m}^d, \\ \Psi_2 &= \mathsf{C}_{abcd} k^a \mathbf{m}^b \tilde{\mathbf{m}}^c \mathbf{l}^d, \\ \Psi_3 &= \mathsf{C}_{abcd} \mathbf{l}^a \mathbf{k}^b \mathbf{l}^c \tilde{\mathbf{m}}^d, \\ \Psi_4 &= \mathsf{C}_{abcd} \mathbf{l}^a \tilde{\mathbf{m}}^b \mathbf{l}^c \tilde{\mathbf{m}}^d, \end{split}$$
(4.1)

whereas electromagnetic field is described by the tensor \mathbf{F}_{ab} which is parametrized by three complex coefficients

$$\begin{split} \Phi_0 &= \mathbf{F}_{ab} \mathbf{k}^a \mathbf{m}^b, \\ \Phi_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}_{ab} (\mathbf{k}^a \mathbf{l}^b - \mathbf{m}^a \tilde{\mathbf{m}}^b), \\ \Phi_2 &= \mathbf{F}_{ab} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}^a \mathbf{l}^b. \end{split}$$
(4.2)

R249

R250

Topical Review

By a field of spin s we understand field which transforms according to spin-s representation of the Lorentz group. It can be characterized by (2s + 1) complex components

$$\Upsilon_i$$
, $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2s$. (4.3)

A more detailed (spinor) description of such fields can be found in appendix B. The gravitational field Ψ_j or electromagnetic field Φ_j are special cases of (4.3) for s = 2, 1, cf (B.8)–(B.10).

These field components transform in a well-known way under special Lorentz transformations introduced above (see, e.g., [12] or appendix B). For a null rotation with **k** fixed, cf equation (3.3), the field transforms as

$$\Upsilon_{j} = \Upsilon_{j}^{o} + j\tilde{L}\Upsilon_{j-1}^{o} + \binom{j}{2}\tilde{L}^{2}\Upsilon_{j-2}^{o} + \binom{j}{3}\tilde{L}^{3}\Upsilon_{j-3}^{o} + \dots + \tilde{L}^{j}\Upsilon_{0}^{o}.$$
 (4.4)

Under a null rotation with I fixed, see equation (3.4), the transformation reads

$$\Gamma_{j} = \Upsilon_{j}^{0} + (2s - j)K\Upsilon_{j+1}^{0} + \binom{2s - j}{2}K^{2}\Upsilon_{j+2}^{0} + \dots + K^{2s - j}\Upsilon_{2s}^{0}.$$
 (4.5)

Under a boost in the **k-l** plane, and a spatial rotation in the **m-n** plane, given by equation (3.5), the components Υ_{f} transform as

$$\Upsilon_j = B^{s-j} \exp(\mathrm{i}(s-j)\phi)\Upsilon_j^0. \tag{4.6}$$

4.2. Principal null directions and algebraic classification

For gravitational, electromagnetic or any spin-s field there exist *principal null directions* (PNDs) which are privileged null directions **k** such that $\Upsilon_0 = 0$ in a null tetrad **k**, **l**, **m**, **(a** choice of **l**, **m**, **\mathbf{\tilde{m}}** is irrelevant). The PND **k** can be obtained from a reference tetrad **k**, **l**, **m**, $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}_0$ by a null rotation (3.26) given by a directional parameter $R \in \mathbb{C}$. We choose the remaining vectors **l**, **m**, **\mathbf{\tilde{m}} to be given by the same null rotation, i.e., by (3.4) with K = R. The condition \Upsilon_0 = 0 thus takes the form of an algebraic equation of the order 2s for the directional parameter R, see equation (4.5),**

$$R^{2s}\Upsilon_{2s}^{0} + \binom{2s}{2s-1}R^{2s-1}\Upsilon_{2s-1}^{0} + \dots + \binom{2s}{1}R\Upsilon_{1}^{0} + \Upsilon_{0}^{0} = 0.$$
(4.7)

In particular, for gravitational field it reduces to a quartic

$${}^{4}\Psi_{4}^{0} + 4R^{3}\Psi_{3}^{0} + 6R^{2}\Psi_{2}^{0} + 4R\Psi_{1}^{0} + \Psi_{0}^{0} = 0.$$
(4.8)

The complex roots R_n , n = 1, 2, ..., 2s, of equation (4.7) parametrize PNDs k_n with respect to the reference tetrad \mathbf{k}_0 , \mathbf{l}_0 , \mathbf{m}_0 , $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}_0$. The situation when $\Upsilon_{2s}^{\circ} = 0$ formally corresponds to an infinite value of one of the roots, say $R_1 = \infty$, in which case $\mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{l}_0$. There are four principal null directions for gravitational field, two for electromagnetic field, and 2s for spin-s field. According to whether some of these PNDs coincide, the fields are algebraically special and can be classified into various (Petrov) algebraic types [12, 33, 44].

In a generic situation Υ^{26}_{26} is non-vanishing and the polynomial on the left-hand side of equation (4.7) can be decomposed as

$$R^{2s} \Upsilon_{2s}^{0} + {\binom{2s}{1}} R^{2s-1} \Upsilon_{2s-1}^{0} + \dots + {\binom{2s}{2s-1}} R \Upsilon_{1}^{0} + \Upsilon_{0}^{0}$$

= $\Upsilon_{2s}^{0} (R - R_{1}) (R - R_{2}) \cdots (R - R_{2s}).$

(4.9)

By comparing the coefficients of various powers of *R* it is possible to express all Υ_{2}^{0} components in terms of Υ_{23}^{0} and the algebraically privileged principal null directions characterized by R_{n} . For example, the components of gravitational field can be written

$$\begin{split} \Psi_2^{0} &= -\frac{1}{2} \Psi_4^{0}(R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_4), \\ \Psi_2^{0} &= \frac{1}{6} \Psi_4^{0}(R_1 R_2 + R_1 R_3 + R_1 R_4 + R_2 R_3 + R_2 R_4 + R_3 R_4), \\ \Psi_1^{0} &= -\frac{1}{2} \Psi_4^{0}(R_1 R_2 R_3 + R_1 R_2 R_4 + R_1 R_3 R_4 + R_2 R_3 R_4), \\ \Psi_0^{0} &= \Psi_9^{0} R_1 R_2 R_3 R_4. \end{split}$$
(4.10)

Similar expressions apply to other fields; we write only the expression for the Υ^{0}_{0} component,

$${}^{0}_{0} = (-1)^{2s} \Upsilon^{0}_{2s} \prod_{j=1}^{2s} R_{j}.$$
 (4.11)

Finally, let us note that a rescaling of all field components by a common factor does not change the algebraic structure, i.e., the PNDs remain unchanged. This observation is useful when we study the algebraic structure of the fields near conformal infinity. As we will discuss in section 4.4, the field components Υ_{j}^{0} decay to zero near conformal infinity, see equation (4.18). However, the leading term of the field still carries information about its algebraic structure. In other words, asymptotically we define PNDs in terms of the leading order of the field.

4.3. Field components in the interpretation tetrad

Using the above quantities and relations we may now analyse the asymptotic behaviour of a general gravitational, electromagnetic or any spin-s field with respect to the interpretation tetrad near conformal infinity. To evaluate the field components Υ_j^1 in the interpretation tetrad \mathbf{k}_i , \mathbf{h}_i , \mathbf{m}_i , $\mathbf{\hat{m}}_i$ we employ its relation to the tetrad \mathbf{k}_b , \mathbf{h}_b , \mathbf{m}_b , which is asymptotically adjusted to \mathcal{I} , then the relation between this tetrad \mathbf{k}_b , \mathbf{l}_o , \mathbf{m}_o , $\mathbf{\hat{m}}_o$, $\mathbf{\hat{m}}_a$, $\mathbf{\hat{m}}_a$, and finally we perform the transformation to the reference tetrad \mathbf{k}_o , \mathbf{l}_o , \mathbf{m}_o , $\mathbf{\hat{m}}_o$. We thus express Υ_j^1 in terms of Υ_j^2 .

The tetrads $[\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \mathbf{m}_i, \mathbf{m}_i]$ and $[\mathbf{k}_b, \mathbf{h}_b, \mathbf{m}_b, \mathbf{m}_b]$ are related by the boost (3.15), i.e., $\mathbf{k}_i = \Omega \mathbf{k}_b, \mathbf{l}_i = \Omega^{-1} \mathbf{l}_b$, where $\Omega = B \approx \epsilon \eta^{-1}$, see equation (2.19). The next transformation to the auxiliary tetrad is given by the I-fixed null rotation and spatial rotation (3.17), with the parameters \tilde{L} and ϕ given by (3.22). As we have already demonstrated above, with $\phi_0 = 0$ these two tetrads asymptotically coincide. Using (4.6) and (4.4) we thus obtain

$$\Upsilon_{j}^{i} \approx (\epsilon \eta)^{j-s} \exp(i(s-j)\phi_{0})\Upsilon_{j}^{a}.$$
(4.12)

We observe that the field components are asymptotically independent of the parameter L_0 and they depend on the parameter ϕ_0 only through the phase. Because these parameters L_0 and ϕ_0 specify the choice of the interpretation tetrad, we have thus explicitly demonstrated that the magnitude of the leading term of field components is independent of a particular choice of the interpretation tetrad.

The specific phase behaviour of Υ_j^{i} under spatial rotations indicates that different field components have different polarization properties. The polarization can carry important physical data. However, to retrieve such information it would be necessary to fix the initial conditions for the interpretation tetrad somewhere in a finite domain of the physical spacetime. In the general situation which we study here, we are not able to fix the interpretation tetrad in such a complete way, and thus the polarization information contained in the phase of the field components is not accessible. Therefore, in the following we will concentrate on the magnitude of the field components, and for simplicity we choose $\phi_0 = 0$.

Considering that all $\Upsilon_3^{\rm d}$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2s$ are of the same order, cf equation (4.18), the expression (4.12) demonstrates the well-known *peeling-off property* of the fields according to which various tetrad components are proportional to different powers of the affine parameter η as one approaches conformal infinity along a null geodesic. The *dominant component* is j = 2s. Such a term $\Upsilon_3^{\rm d}$, represents the radiative part of the field. In particular, the dominant component of the gravitational field is characterized by $\Psi_4^{\rm d} \approx \eta^2 \Psi_4^{\rm d}$, the electromagnetic field by $\Phi_2^{\rm i} \approx \epsilon_0 \Phi_4^{\rm d}$ etc.

Finally, we express Υ_{2s}^{a} in terms of components Υ_{9}^{o} . Both the reference and the auxiliary tetrads are adjusted to \mathcal{I} and thus they only differ by a transformation which leaves the normal vector **n** fixed. Such a transformation can be obtained, e.g., by the null rotation (3.3) of the reference tetrad, followed by the null rotation (3.4), and the boost (3.5) with the parameters

$$L = \sigma R, \qquad K = \frac{\kappa}{1 - \sigma R \bar{R}}, \qquad B = \epsilon \epsilon_0 (1 - \sigma R \bar{R}). \tag{4.13}$$

(For a general transformation between two tetrads adjusted to \mathcal{I} we should also admit a spatial rotation but this only changes a phase of the field components which was discussed above.) It has an explicit form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{a}} &= \frac{\epsilon\epsilon_{\mathrm{o}}}{1 - \sigma R\bar{R}} (\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{o}} + \bar{R}\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{o}} + R\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathrm{o}} + R\bar{R}_{\mathrm{lo}}), \\ \mathbf{l}_{\mathrm{a}} &= \frac{\epsilon\epsilon_{\mathrm{o}}}{1 - \sigma R\bar{R}} (\sigma^{2} R\bar{R}\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{o}} + \sigma \bar{R}\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{o}} + \sigma R\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathrm{o}} + \mathbf{l}_{\mathrm{o}}), \\ \mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{a}} &= \frac{1}{1 - \sigma R\bar{R}} (\sigma R\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{o}} + \mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{o}} + \sigma R^{2}\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathrm{o}} + R\mathbf{l}_{\mathrm{o}}). \end{aligned}$$
(4.14)

Using (3.14) and (3.25) we easily check that $\epsilon \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-\sigma \tilde{k}_a + \tilde{l}_a) = \tilde{n}$, which is the condition (3.6). Moreover, the vector k_a satisfies (3.26), avoid represents the direction along which the null geodesic approaches conformal infinity: this direction is characterized by the complex directional parameter R.

It only remains to perform the transformation of the leading field component corresponding to (4.13). Using (4.4)–(4.6) we obtain

$$\Upsilon_{2s}^{a} = B^{-s} \bar{L}^{2s} \left[\bar{L}^{-2s} \Upsilon_{2s}^{0} + \binom{2s}{1} \bar{L}^{-2s+1} \Upsilon_{2s-1}^{0} + \binom{2s}{2} \bar{L}^{-2s+2} \Upsilon_{2s-2}^{0} + \dots + \Upsilon_{0}^{0} \right].$$
(4.15)

Applying now the identity (4.9), the expression in the bracket can be written as $\Upsilon_{2_c}^0(\tilde{L}^{-1} - R_1)(\tilde{L}^{-1} - R_2)\cdots (\tilde{L}^{-1} - R_{2_s})$, so that

$$\lambda_{2s}^{a} = \Upsilon_{2s}^{0} B^{-s} (1 - R_{1} \bar{L}) (1 - R_{2} \bar{L}) \cdots (1 - R_{2s} \bar{L}).$$
 (4.16)

Using (4.12), (4.13) we thus obtain explicitly

$$\Upsilon_{2s}^{i} \approx \Upsilon_{2s}^{0} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{0}\eta}{1 - \sigma R\bar{R}} \right)^{s} (1 - \sigma R_{1}\bar{R})(1 - \sigma R_{2}\bar{R}) \cdots (1 - \sigma R_{2s}\bar{R}).$$
(4.17)

4.4. Asymptotic behaviour of the field components in the reference tetrad

For a complete analysis of radiation it is important to identify the specific 'fall-off' of the field. The correct asymptotic behaviour can only be obtained by a detailed study of the field equations. There exists a wide spectrum of various results concerning this topic in the literature. As mentioned in the introduction, the decay behaviour of the fields is well understood in asymptotically flat spacetimes and there are some important results also in the case of a non-vanishing cosmological constant.

R251

R252

Topical Review

However, our goal in this work is to study the *directional dependence* of the leading term of the fields, not its *decay behaviour*. We will thus only assume the fall-off typical for *zero-rest-mass fields*, without engaging in a study of the field equations. Motivated by discussion of behaviour of fields with a consistent field equation ($s \leq 2$) in asymptotically flat spacetimes ([29, 33] or, e.g., [51, 62] for a gravitational field), we will assume ∞^{0}

$$\Upsilon_j^{\circ} \approx \frac{\Upsilon_{j*}^{\circ}}{\eta^{5+1}}, \qquad \Upsilon_{j*}^{\circ} = \text{constant.}$$
 (4.18)

For gravitational and electromagnetic fields this means that $\Psi_0^{\alpha} \approx \Psi_0^{\alpha} * \eta^{-3}$, $\Phi_0^{\alpha} \approx \Phi_0^{\alpha} * \eta^{-2}$. Recalling the behaviour (2.19) of the conformal factor and the fact that the tensor of an electromagnetic field and the Weyl tensor are conformally invariant, $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{ab} = \mathbf{F}_{ab}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{abc}^{\alpha} = \mathbf{C}_{abc}^{\alpha}$, the fall-off (4.18) follows from the condition that the conformal quantities $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{ab}$ and $\mathbf{d}_{abc}^{\alpha} = \Omega^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{abc}^{\alpha}$ are regular at infinity. For $\Lambda \neq 0$ such behaviour of a gravitational field can be obtained by these observations, in the following we will assume the behaviour (4.18) in a general situation.

Of course, some of the field components may decay faster even if the fall-off (4.18) is valid for a generic component. This happens when the reference tetrad is aligned along PNDs, as we will discuss in the next section. If at least one of the field components $\Upsilon^{\circ}_{\gamma}$ falls off as in (4.18) (i.e., at least one $\Upsilon^{\circ}_{\gamma^*}$ is non-vanishing) it is always possible to change the reference tetrad in such a way that all $\Upsilon^{\circ}_{\gamma^*} \neq 0$. When all field components $\Upsilon^{\circ}_{\gamma}$ decay faster than (4.18) we call such a field asymptotically of type 0, i.e., the field with a trivial algebraic structure.

Let us however emphasize again that the assumption (4.18) *is not crucial* for the asymptotic directional structure of the field. It influences the decay of the field, not its directional dependence. Because we are mainly interested in the analysis of the directional structure we will not study the behaviour (4.18) in more detail.

4.5. Asymptotic directional structure of radiation

Substituting (4.18) into equation (4.17) we finally obtain

$$\Upsilon_{2^{2}}^{i} \approx \frac{1}{\eta} \epsilon_{o}^{b} \Upsilon_{2^{2}*}^{0} \frac{(1 - \sigma R_{1} R)(1 - \sigma R_{2} R) \cdots (1 - \sigma R_{2^{k}} R)}{(1 - \sigma R \bar{R})^{s}}.$$
(4.19)

This expression fully characterizes the asymptotic behaviour on \mathcal{I} of the dominant component of any massless field of spin *s* in the normalized interpretation tetrad **k**, **l**, **m**_i, **m**_i, which is parallelly propagated along a null geodesic $z(\eta)$. Due to the remaining freedom corresponding to a spatial rotation (3.5) in the transverse $\mathbf{m}_i - \hat{\mathbf{m}}_i$ plane, only the modulus $|\Upsilon_{2s}^i|$ has an invariant meaning, the phase of Υ_{2s}^i describes a polarization. The field decays as η^{-1} , where η is the affine parameter, so we call expression (4.19) the *radiative part of the field*.

The complex parameter *R* represents the *direction* of the null geodesic along which a given point $P \in \mathcal{I}$ of conformal infinity is approached as $\eta \to \epsilon \infty$. Let us recall that the constants R_n characterize the *principal null directions*, i.e. the algebraic structure of the field at *P*. The directional structure of radiation is thus completely determined by the algebraic (Petrov) type of the field. However, the dependence of $\Upsilon_{2_6}^{i}$ on the direction *R* along which $P \in \mathcal{I}$ is approached occurs only if $\sigma \neq 0$, i.e., at a 'de Sitter-like' or 'anti-de Sitter-like' conformal infinity. For \mathcal{I} of 'Minkowskian' type which has a null character, $\sigma = 0$, this directional dependence completely vanishes.

The directional pattern of radiation (4.19) has been derived assuming that the field component Υ_{2s}^{0} is non-vanishing, cf (4.9). More precisely, we assume that this component does not vanish asymptotically faster than a typical field component, namely that $\Upsilon_{2s*}^{0} \neq 0$,

، ډ	Kev	
	Topical	

ew

see (4.18). The vanishing coefficient $\Upsilon_{2^\circ*}^{\circ*}$ indicates that the reference tetrad is asymptotically aligned along some PND. Indeed, considering the fact that by interchanging \mathbf{k}_{\circ} with \mathbf{l}_{\circ} the component Υ_{0}° goes to $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{2^\circ}^{\circ}$, the condition $\Upsilon_{2^\circ*}^{\circ*} = 0$ implies that the vector \mathbf{l}_{\circ} of the reference tetrad is the PND, say \mathbf{k}_{1} . In terms of the directional parameter this means that $R_{1} = \infty$. In such a case we have to use a different normalization factor to express the field components. With the help of relation (4.11), for $\Upsilon_{0}^{\circ} \neq 0$ we can write

$$\Gamma_{2_s}^{i} \approx \frac{1}{\eta} \epsilon_o^{s} \Gamma_{0*}^{0} \frac{\left(\sigma \bar{R} - R_1^{-1}\right) \left(\sigma \bar{R} - R_2^{-1}\right) \cdots \left(\sigma \bar{R} - R_{2s}^{-1}\right)}{(1 - \sigma R \bar{R})^s}.$$
(4.20)

This expression describes the same directional dependence as expression (4.19), it is only normalized using a different field component.

Expression (4.19) is useful if $\Upsilon_{0*}^{2,*} \neq 0$, expression (4.20) is applicable when $\Upsilon_{0*}^{2,*} \neq 0$. In situations when $\Upsilon_{0*}^{2} = \Upsilon_{2*}^{2,*} = 0$, so that *both* the vectors \mathbf{k}_{0} and \mathbf{l}_{0} are PNDs, another non-vanishing component $\Upsilon_{j*}^{2,*}$ has to be used for the normalization. A particular example of normalization using a different field component for the gravitational Petrov type D field will be discussed in section 5.4, see equation (5.23).

5. Discussion of the directional structure of radiation on \mathcal{I}

In this section we will discuss the general expression (4.19) for different values of $\sigma = -sign \Lambda$ in detail. For practical purposes, we will restrict the description to gravitational and electromagnetic fields; general spin-*s* field will be mentioned only for maximally degenerate field of algebraic type N.

5.1. Radiation on null I

For 'Minkowskian' conformal infinity we have $\sigma = 0$, $\mathbf{l}_0 \propto \mathbf{n}$, and the field thus has *no* directional structure. In such a case the radiative parts of the gravitational and electromagnetic fields (4.19) are uniquely given by

$$|\Psi_4^i| \approx \frac{|\Psi_{4^*}^o|}{|\eta|}, \qquad |\Phi_2^i| \approx \frac{|\Phi_{2^*}^o|}{|\eta|}, \tag{5.1}$$

i.e., they are the same for all null geodesics approaching a given point $P \in \mathcal{I}$. For (locally) asymptotically flat spacetimes it is thus possible to distinguish between the radiative and non-radiative fields. Radiation is absent at those points of null conformal infinity where the constants Ψ_{2*}^{0} or Φ_{2*}^{0} vanish. As we discussed, this occurs when the principal null direction is oriented along the vector $\mathbf{l}_{0} \propto \mathbf{n}$. This can be viewed as an invariant characterization of the absence of radiation near \mathcal{I} .

In section 4.5 we suggested that for $\Psi_{4,*}^{o} = 0$ we should use the alternative form of the directional pattern of radiation (4.20). However, in the case $\sigma = 0$ it reduces to

$$|\Psi_4^i| \approx \frac{|\Psi_0^{o*}|}{|\eta|} |R_1 R_2 R_3 R_4|^{-1}$$
(5.2)

with one of the R_n infinite. We thus again obtain $\Psi_4^i = 0$ in the order η^{-1} .

5.2. On the meaning of the peeling-off behaviour

Let us give here some general comments concerning the character of the fields near infinity which apply also to spacelike and timelike \mathcal{I} . Because for the Minkowskian infinity the leading term of the field is independent of the direction along which the infinity is approached,

R254

R253

one tends to attribute the invariant meaning to the components of the field with respect to the interpretation tetrad, say, to the components Ψ_1^i of the gravitational field. The peeling-off behaviour $\Psi_1^j \sim \eta^{j-5}$ could thus be rephrased that the Weyl tensor becomes asymptotically of type N—only the component Ψ_1^i 'survives' when one is approaching infinity. However, as pointed out in [33], such an interpretation can be misleading. The peeling-off property is a consequence of a delicate interplay between the decay behaviour (4.18) of the field and of the different asymptotic scaling of the vectors of the interpretation tetrad. Consequently, the asymptotic type N characterization of the Weyl tensor *is not invariant*—the Weyl tensor of type N should have one quadruply degenerate PND which should coincide with the vector k, of the interpretation tetrad, i.e., with the vector tangent to the geodesic along which the

infinity is approached. But this vector obviously depends on our choice and cannot thus be an

invariant characterization of the Weyl tensor.

The invariant asymptotic algebraic characterization of the field (asymptotic PNDs of the field) can be obtained by the conformal technique. As discussed already in section 4.2, PNDs do not depend on isotropic rescaling of the field and they can thus be defined using the leading term of the field tensor, i.e., using the field components with respect to the reference tetrad (or any other tetrad) which is related by an *isotropic* rescaling to a tetrad well defined in the sense of the conformal manifold $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$. Defining PNDs in this way, the field can be of a *general* type up to infinity. The PNDs defined at infinity can be used to define canonical reference tetrads as will be done in sections 5.4 and 5.5. For example, the *C*-metric spacetime is of Petrov type D everywhere, including at infinity, and its double degenerate PNDs at \mathcal{I} have been used to define the reference tetrad in the reference tet

Because the interpretation tetrad is *not* of the type described above (the vectors k_i and l_i scale differently with respect to the conformal manifold), the field components in this tetrad can exhibit apparent degeneracy typical for type N fields.

As we have found, the asymptotic algebraic structure of the field allows us to give a clear unambiguous characterization of the field near Minkowskian (null) infinity. The leading radiative term (along any null geodesic approaching \mathcal{I}) disappears if a PND is tangent to infinity. For spacelike and timelike infinities the leading term depends on a direction along which \mathcal{I} is approached, and it is absent only along some specific directions, given again by the orientation of PNDs as described in detail in the following sections.

The invariant characterization of the absence of radiation using PNDs raises a question of the relation between the algebraic structure of fields (orientation of PNDs on \mathcal{I}) and the structure of sources. For example, in the case of two accelerated black holes (the *C*-metric) the two (double degenerate) PNDs play the role of 'radial' directions from the holes, cf [102, 109]. It would be interesting to discover a similar relation between PNDs and sources in a more general situation. We will analyse this question in another work.

5.3. Parametrization of directions by (pseudo-)spherical angles

In order to characterize more lucidly the directions on spacelike or timelike \mathcal{I} , it is convenient to express the complex directional parameter R in terms of (pseudo-)spherical parameters.

At any point $P \in \mathcal{I}$ we have a reference null tetrad \mathbf{k}_0 , \mathbf{h}_0 , $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}_0$ which is adjusted to conformal infinity. Such a tetrad is associated with an orthonormal adjusted tetrad \mathbf{t}_0 , \mathbf{q}_0 , \mathbf{r}_0 , \mathbf{s}_0 , where \mathbf{t}_0 is a unit timelike vector and \mathbf{q}_0 , \mathbf{r}_0 , \mathbf{s}_0 are perpendicular spacelike unit vectors,

$$\mathbf{t}_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\mathbf{k}_{0} + \mathbf{l}_{0}), \qquad \mathbf{q}_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\mathbf{k}_{0} - \mathbf{l}_{0}),$$
$$\mathbf{r}_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\mathbf{m}_{0} + \mathbf{\tilde{m}}_{0}), \qquad \mathbf{s}_{0} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\mathbf{m}_{0} - \mathbf{\tilde{m}}_{0}), \qquad (5.3)$$

see (3.1). From the coplanarity and normalization condition (3.25) it follows that

Ľ

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{t}_{0} = \epsilon_{0} \mathbf{n} & \text{when } \mathcal{I} \text{ is spacelike } (\sigma = -1), \\ \mathbf{u}_{0} = -\epsilon_{0} \mathbf{n} & \text{when } \mathcal{I} \text{ is timelike } (\sigma = +1). \end{cases}$$
(5.4)

4

where \mathbf{n} is the normal to \mathcal{I} , cf figure 2. We can now project a null vector \mathbf{k} , whose direction is represented by the parameter R by (3.26), onto the corresponding conformal infinity.

In spacetimes with $\Lambda > 0$, for which \mathcal{I} is spacelike, we perform a normalized spatial projection to a three-dimensional space orthogonal to t_0 ,

$$\mathbf{q} = \frac{\mathbf{k} + (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{t}_0) \mathbf{t}_0}{|\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{t}_0|},\tag{5.5}$$

where $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{t}_0 = \mathbf{g}_{ab} \mathbf{k}^a \mathbf{t}_0^b$. The unit spatial direction **q** corresponding to **k** can be expressed in terms of standard spherical angles θ , ϕ , with respect to the reference tetrad, 9 4

$$\mathbf{a} = \cos\theta \,\mathbf{a}_{\rm c} + \sin\theta(\cos\phi \,\mathbf{r}_{\rm c} + \sin\phi \,\mathbf{s}_{\rm c}). \tag{5.6}$$

Substituting (3.26) into (5.5), and comparing with (5.6) we obtain

$$R = \tan\frac{\theta}{2}\exp(-i\phi).$$
(5.7)

 $\sigma = -1$ the orientation of the null vector **k** with respect to \mathcal{I} coincides with the orientation of Therefore, R is exactly the *stereographic representation* of the angles θ , ϕ . Additionally, for $\mathbf{k}_{0}, \epsilon = \epsilon_{0}, cf figure 2.$

Alternatively, in spacetimes with $\Lambda < 0$ for which \mathcal{I} is timelike the normalized projection of **k** onto \mathcal{I} is

$$\mathbf{t} = \frac{\mathbf{k} - (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q}_0) \mathbf{q}_0}{|\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q}_0|}.$$
(5.8)

The resulting unit timelike vector t is tangent to the Lorentzian (1 + 2) conformal infinity. We can analogously characterize t (and thus k) with respect to the reference tetrad as

$$\mathbf{t} = \cosh \psi \, \mathbf{t}_{\rm o} + \sinh \psi (\cos \phi \, \mathbf{r}_{\rm o} + \sin \phi \, \mathbf{s}_{\rm o}). \tag{5.9}$$

one ingoing and one outgoing null direction with the same parameters ψ and ϕ , which are distinguished by $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Substituting equation (3.26) into (5.8), and comparing with equation The parameters ψ , ϕ are *pseudo-spherical* parameters, $\psi \in (0, \infty)$ corresponding to a boost, and $\phi \in (-\pi, +\pi)$ being an angle. Their geometrical meaning is visualized in figure 3. However, these parameters do not specify the null direction ${\bf k}$ uniquely—there always exist (5.9) we express ψ and ϕ in terms of R as

$$\tanh \psi = \frac{2|R|}{1+|R|^2}, \qquad \phi = -\arg R.$$
(5.10)

Observing that sign $(1 - |R|^2)$ characterizes a difference in orientations of the vectors k and \mathbf{k}_0 with respect to infinity, $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 \operatorname{sign} (1 - |R|^2)$, we can write down the inverse relations,

\$ '

$$R = \begin{cases} \tanh \frac{\psi}{2} \exp(-i\phi) & \text{for } \epsilon = +\epsilon_0, \\ \cosh \frac{\psi}{2} \exp(-i\phi) & \text{for } \epsilon = -\epsilon_0. \end{cases}$$
(5.11)

We also allow an infinite value $R = \infty$ which corresponds to $\psi = 0, \epsilon = -\epsilon_0$, i.e., $\mathbf{k} \propto (\mathbf{t}_0 - \mathbf{q}_0)/\sqrt{2}$.

Of course such a parametrization can be applied to any null direction k. In particular, it also describe the principal null directions. The PNDs on a 'de Sitter-like' I are thus given by may characterize the direction \mathbf{k}_i of a null geodesic along which the infinity is approached, and the spherical angles θ_n , ϕ_n related to R_n by equation (5.7), whereas on an 'anti-de Sitter-like' \mathcal{I} by ψ_n , ϕ_n , ϵ_n which are given by (5.11).

R256

R255





Figure 3. Parametrization of null directions \mathbf{k} near timelike infinity \mathcal{I} . All null directions form three families: *outgoing* ($\epsilon = +1$, vector $\mathbf{k}^{(out)}$ in the figure), *ingoing* ($\epsilon = -1$, vector $\mathbf{k}^{(in)}$) and directions tangent to *I*. The direction k can be parametrized with respect to a reference tetrad t_0, q_0, r_0, s_0 by the boost ψ , angle ϕ and orientation ϵ , or by a complex number R, or by parameters ρ , ϕ . In the left diagram, the vectors \mathbf{t}_0 , \mathbf{q}_0 , \mathbf{r}_{ϕ} , where $\mathbf{r}_{\phi} = \cos \phi \mathbf{r}_0 + \sin \phi \mathbf{s}_0$, are depicted; in the right the direction $\mathbf{q}_0 = -\epsilon_0 \mathbf{n}$ is omitted. The parameters ψ , ϕ specify the normalized orthogonal projection t of k into \mathcal{I} , cf equations (5.8), (5.9). To parametrize k uniquely, we have to specify also its orientation ϵ with respect to \mathcal{I} . The parameter R is the Lorentzian stereographic representation of ψ , ϕ , ϵ , cf equations (5.11). Vectors t corresponding to all outgoing (or ingoing) null directions form a hyperbolic surface H. This can be radially mapped onto a two-dimensional disc tangent to the hyperboloid at t_0 , which can be parametrized by an angle ϕ and a radial coordinate $\rho = \tanh \psi$. In the exceptional case $\rho = 1$, i.e. $\psi \to \infty$, the vector $\mathbf{k} \propto \mathbf{t} + \mathbf{r}_{\phi}$ is tangent to \mathcal{I} . (This figure is in colour only in the electronic version)

5.4. Radiation on spacelike I

The asymptotic structure of gravitational and electromagnetic fields evaluated in the interpretation tetrad near a *de Sitter-like conformal infinity*, $\sigma = -1$ with $\mathbf{n} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{t}_0$, is given by (4.19) for s = 2 and s = 1, respectively,

$$\Psi_{4}^{i} \approx \frac{\Psi_{4}^{o}}{\eta} (1+|R|^{2})^{-2} \left(1-\frac{R_{1}}{R_{a}}\right) \left(1-\frac{R_{2}}{R_{a}}\right) \left(1-\frac{R_{3}}{R_{a}}\right) \left(1-\frac{R_{4}}{R_{a}}\right),$$
(5.12)

$$b_{2}^{i} \approx \epsilon_{0} \frac{\Phi_{2*}^{0}}{\eta} (1+|R|^{2})^{-1} \left(1-\frac{R_{1}}{R_{a}}\right) \left(1-\frac{R_{2}}{R_{a}}\right),$$
 (5.13)

where, using (5.7),

$$(1 + |R|^2)^{-1} = \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right),$$
 (5.14)

and the complex number R_{a} is

$$R_{\mathfrak{a}} = -\frac{1}{\tilde{R}} = -\cot\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\exp(-i\phi).$$
(5.15)

It characterizes a spatial direction *opposite* to the direction given by R, i.e., the *antipodal* direction with $\theta_{\alpha} = \pi - \theta$ and $\phi_{\alpha} = \phi + \pi$. The remaining freedom in the choice of the vectors \mathbf{m}_1 , $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}_1$ changes just a phase of the field components, so that only their modulus $|\Psi_4^{\perp}|$ or $|\Phi_2^{\perp}|$ has an invariant meaning.

In a general spacetime there exist *four* spatial directions at $P \in \mathcal{I}$ along which the radiative component of the gravitational field (5.12) *vanishes*, namely the directions satisfying $R_a = R_n$, n = 1, 2, 3, 4 (or *two* such directions for electromagnetic field (5.13)). These privileged null directions **k** are given by (3.26) with $R = (R_n)_a$. Spatial parts of them are thus exactly *opposite to the projections of the principal null directions onto* \mathcal{I} .

In *algebraically special* spacetimes some PNDs coincide, and expressions (5.12), (5.13) simplify. Moreover, it is always possible to choose the *canonical reference tetrad* aligned to the algebraic structure:

- (i) the vector \mathbf{q}_0 is oriented along the spatial projection of the *degenerate* (multiple) PND onto \mathcal{I} , say \mathbf{k}_4 , i.e. $\mathbf{k}_0 = \mathbf{k}_4$,
 - (ii) the q_0-r_0 plane is oriented so that it contains the spatial projection of one of the remaining PNDs, say k_1 (for type N spacetimes this choice is arbitrary).

Using such a canonical reference tetrad, the degenerate PND \mathbf{k}_1 is parametrized by $\theta_4 = 0$, i.e. $R_4 = 0$, see equations (5.6) and (5.7). The PND \mathbf{k}_1 has $\phi_1 = 0$, i.e. $R_1 = \tan(\theta_1/2)$ is a real constant.

Consequently, for the Petrov *type N* spacetimes (which have a quadruply degenerate PND), in the canonical reference tetrad there is $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = R_4 = 0$, so that the asymptotic behaviour of gravitational field (5.12) becomes

$$|\Psi_4^{\rm i}| \approx |\Psi_{4*}^{\rm o}| |\eta|^{-1} \cos^4 \frac{\theta}{2}.$$
 (5.16)

The corresponding directional structure of radiation is illustrated in figure 4(N). It is axisymmetric, with maximum value at $\theta = 0$ along the spatial projection of the quadruple PND onto \mathcal{I} . Along the opposite direction, $\theta = \pi$, the field vanishes. Analogously, for a spin-s field of type N (with all PNDs coinciding) we obtain

$$\left|\Upsilon_{2s}^{i}\right| \approx \left|\Upsilon_{2s}^{0}\right| \left|\eta\right|^{-1} \left|\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right|^{\frac{2s}{2}}.$$
(5.17)

In Petrov *type III* spacetimes, $R_1 = \tan \frac{\theta_1}{2}$, $R_2 = R_3 = R_4 = 0$, and (5.12) implies

$$\left|\Psi_{4}^{i}\right| \approx \left|\Psi_{4*}^{o}\right| |\eta|^{-1} \cos^{4} \frac{\theta}{2} \left|1 + \tan \frac{\theta_{1}}{2} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} \exp \left|\frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi}\right|.$$
(5.18)

This directional pattern is shown in figure 4(III). The field vanishes along $\theta = \pi$ and along $\theta = \pi - \theta_1$, $\phi = \pi$ which are spatial directions opposite to the PNDs.

The *type* D spacetimes admit two double degenerate PNDs, $R_1 = R_2 = \tan \frac{\theta_1}{2}$ and $R_3 = R_4 = 0$. The gravitational field near spacelike T thus takes the form

$$|\Psi_4^{\rm i}| \approx |\Psi_{4*}^{\rm o}| |\eta|^{-1} \cos^4 \frac{\theta}{2} \left| 1 + \tan \frac{\theta_1}{2} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} \operatorname{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} \right|^2,$$
(5.19)

with two planes of symmetry, see figure 4(D). This directional dependence agrees with that for the *C*-metric spacetime with $\Lambda > 0$ derived recently in [102].

For Petrov *type II* spacetimes, only two PNDs coincide so that $R_1 = \tan \frac{\theta_1}{2}$, $R_2 = \tan \frac{\theta_2}{2} \exp(-i\phi_2)$, $R_3 = R_4 = 0$. Asymptotic directional structure of the field,



Figure 4. Specific directional structure of radiation for spacetimes of Petrov types N, III, D, II and I. Directions in the diagrams are spatial directions tangent to a spacelike T. For each type, the radiative component $|V_4^{\dagger}|$ along a null geodesic is depicted in the corresponding spatial direction **q** parametrized by spherical angles θ , ϕ , see (5.6). [Degenerate] principal null directions (PNDs) are indicated by [multiple] bold arrows. Thick lines represent spatial directions (oPDDs) along which the tradition variations.

$$\nu_{4}^{i} \approx \left| \Psi_{4*}^{0} \right| |\eta|^{-1} \cos^{4} \frac{\theta}{2} \left| 1 + \tan \frac{\theta_{1}}{2} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi} \right| \left| 1 + \tan \frac{\theta_{2}}{2} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i(\phi - \phi_{2})} \right|,$$
(5.20)

is drawn in figure 4(II).

Finally, in the case of algebraically general type I spacetimes one needs five real parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \phi_3$ to characterize the directional dependence

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_4^i| &\approx |\Psi_{4*}^o| |\eta|^{-1} \cos^4 \frac{\theta}{2} \left| 1 + \tan \frac{\theta_1}{2} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} \operatorname{e}^{i\phi} \right| \\ &\times \left| 1 + \tan \frac{\theta_2}{2} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} \operatorname{e}^{i(\phi - \phi_2)} \right| \left| 1 + \tan \frac{\theta_3}{2} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} \operatorname{e}^{i(\phi - \phi_3)} \right|, \end{split}$$
(5.21)

figure 4(I), of the gravitational field with respect to the canonical reference tetrad.

Of course, for any *conformally flat* spacetime the radiation vanishes entirely because $\Psi_j^i = 0$ for all *j*. This is the case of, for example, the Friedman–Robertson–Walker solutions which admit \mathcal{I} .

There exist alternative choices of the reference tetrad, e.g., those which respect the symmetry of the radiation pattern. For spacetimes of *type D* the directional structure indicated in figure 4(D) admits two planes of symmetry. It is thus natural to choose the tetrad $\mathbf{q}_0', \mathbf{r}_0', \mathbf{s}_0'$ adapted to them: we require that one (double degenerate) PND has inclination θ_s with respect to \mathbf{q}_0' , the second PND has the *same* inclination with respect to $-\mathbf{q}_0'$ (i.e. $\theta_s = (\pi - \theta_1)/2$), and that the vector \mathbf{s}_0' is perpendicular to the plane spanned by these PNDs (see [118] for more details). With respect to this reference tetrad the PNDs are parametrized by the coefficients $R_1 = R_2 = \tan \frac{\theta_2}{2}$ and $R_3 = R_4 = \cot \frac{\theta_3}{2}$. Moreover, for type D there exists a

R257

natural normalization of the field which is different from that discussed above. One can evaluate the components $\Psi_{\rm s}^{\circ}$ in the *algebraically special null tetrad* with $\mathbf{k}_{\rm s}$ and $\mathbf{l}_{\rm s}$ given by the degenerate PNDs—it follows from the definition of PNDs that only the component $\Psi_{\rm s}^{\circ}$ would be non-vanishing. This component is independent of a choice of the tetrad vectors orthogonal to PNDs, and of the scaling of PNDs (assuming $\mathbf{k}_{\rm s} \cdot \mathbf{l}_{\rm s} = -1$), cf (4.6) with s = 2. We may thus use $\Psi_{\rm s}^{\circ}$ to normalize the directional structure of radiation. Using the relation

$$\Psi_{i}^{0'} = \frac{3}{2} \tan^2 \theta_{i} \Psi_{i}^{s}. \tag{5.22}$$

see [118], the radiation pattern (5.12) parametrized by angles θ' , ϕ' with respect to the reference tetrad \mathbf{f}_0' , \mathbf{g}_0' , \mathbf{r}_0' , \mathbf{s}_0' reads

$$\left|\Psi_{4}^{i}\right| \approx \frac{1}{\left|\eta\right|} \frac{3}{2} \left|\frac{\Psi_{2}^{s}}{\cos^{2}\theta_{s}}\right| \sin\theta' + \sin\theta_{s}\cos\phi' - i\sin\theta_{s}\cos\theta'\sin\phi'|^{2}.$$
 (5.23)

This coincides with the expression for the asymptotic directional structure of radiation in the C-metric spacetime with $\Lambda > 0$, as previously presented in [102].

For a completely general choice of the reference tetrad near a de Sitter-like conformal infinity, the dominant radiative term (5.12) of any gravitational field can asymptotically be written in terms of spherical angles θ , ϕ as

$$|\Psi_4^{i}| \approx \frac{|\Psi_4^{\circ}|}{|\eta|} \cos^4 \frac{\theta}{2} \prod_{n=1,2,3,4} \left| 1 + \tan \frac{\theta_n}{2} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i(\phi - \phi_n)} \right|,$$
(5.24)

where θ_n , ϕ_n identify the principal null directions \mathbf{k}_n with respect to the reference tetrad. In a similar way, when $\Psi_{4*}^0 = 0$, $\Psi_{0*}^0 \neq 0$ we obtain from (4.20)

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{4}^{i} &\approx \frac{|\Psi_{0}^{0*}|}{|\eta|} |1 + |R_{a}|^{2} |^{-2} \left|1 - \frac{R_{1a}}{R} \right| \left|1 - \frac{R_{2a}}{R} \right| \left|1 - \frac{R_{3a}}{R} \right| \left|1 - \frac{R_{4a}}{R} \right| \\ &= \frac{|\Psi_{0}^{0*}|}{|\eta|} \sin^{4} \frac{\theta}{2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{3} \frac{\theta}{4} \left|1 + \cot \frac{\theta}{2} \cot \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i(\phi - \phi_{n})} \right|. \end{split}$$
(5.25)

An analogous discussion also applies to electromagnetic field (5.13). Moreover, it turns out that the square of Φ_1^{i} is the magnitude of the Poynting vector with respect to the interpretation tetrad, $|\mathbf{S}_i| \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} |\Phi_1^{i}|^2$. If the two PNDs of the electromagnetic field coincide $(R_1 = R_2 = 0)$, the directional dependence of the Poynting vector at \mathcal{I} with respect to the canonical reference tetrad is the same as in equation (5.16), figure 4(N). If they differ $(R_1 = \tan \frac{6}{2}, R_2 = 0)$, the asymptotic directional structure of $|\mathbf{S}_1|$ is given by equation (5.19), illustrated in figure 4(D). The latter result was first obtained for the test field of uniformly accelerated charges in de Sitter spacetime [101] and then recovered in the context of the charges $(\mathbf{C}$ -metric spacetime [102].

The above discussion and explicit forms of the radiative directional patterns apply both to future conformal infinity \mathcal{I}^{+} and past \mathcal{I}^{-} . In particular, it means that not only *outgoing* radiation does not vanish in a generic direction, but also that the *ingoing* field has a radiative $(\sim \eta^{-1})$ term along a generic null geodesic coming from the past infinity. This result can be related to Penrose's discussion of the nature of an incoming field near a spacelike infinity [28, 100] which has been studied in more detail in [104] and identified as the insufficiency of purely retarded fields.

5.5. Radiation on timelike I

Now we shall explicitly analyse the dependence of radiation on the direction of a null geodesic near the 'anti-de Sitter-like', i.e. timelike, conformal infinity [117]. With respect to a suitable

R259

R260

Topical Review

reference tetrad t_0 , q_0 , r_0 , s_0 these directions are parametrized by the complex parameter *R*, or its 'Lorentzian angles' ψ , ϕ and the orientation ϵ , related to *R* by pseudo-stereographic representation, see (5.11) and figure 3. The directional structure of radiation is given by expression (4.19) for $\sigma = +1$,

$$\Psi_{4}^{i} \approx \frac{\Psi_{4}^{o}}{\eta} (1 - |R|^{2})^{-2} \left(1 - \frac{R_{1}}{R_{m}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{R_{2}}{R_{m}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{R_{3}}{R_{m}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{R_{4}}{R_{m}}\right),$$
(5.26)

$$\Phi_{2}^{i} \approx \epsilon_{0} \frac{\Phi_{2*}^{0}}{\eta} (1 - |R|^{2})^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{R_{1}}{R_{m}} \right) \left(1 - \frac{R_{2}}{R_{m}} \right).$$
(5.27)

Here, the complex number $R_{\rm m}$ is

$$R_{\rm m} = \bar{R}^{-1} = \coth^{\epsilon\epsilon_0}\left(\frac{\psi}{2}\right) \exp(-\mathrm{i}\phi), \qquad (5.28)$$

see (5.11). It characterizes a direction obtained from the direction *R* by a *reflection with respect* to \mathcal{I} , i.e., the *mirrored* direction with $\psi_m = \psi$, $\phi_m = \phi$ but opposite orientation $\epsilon_m = -\epsilon$. Near an anti-de Sitter-like conformal infinity, a generic gravitational field thus takes the asymptotic form

$$|\Psi_4^{i}| \approx \frac{|\Psi_4^{e_8}|}{|\eta|} \left(\frac{\cosh\psi + \epsilon\epsilon_0}{2}\right)^2 \prod_{n=1,2,3,4} \left|1 - \tanh^{\epsilon_n\epsilon_n} \left(\frac{\psi_n}{2}\right) \tanh^{\epsilon\epsilon_n} \left(\frac{\psi}{2}\right) e^{i(\phi - \phi_n)}\right|, \quad (5.29)$$

where $\psi_n, \phi_n, \epsilon_n$ identify the principal null directions \mathbf{k}_n , including their orientation with respect to \mathcal{I} .

Expression (5.26) has been derived assuming $\Psi_0^4 \neq 0$, i.e., $R_n \neq \infty$. However, to describe the PND oriented along \mathbf{I}_0 it is necessary to use a different component Ψ_0^{\prime} as a normalization factor. With $\Psi_0^0 = \Psi_0^4 R_1 R_2 R_3 R_4$ we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{4}^{i} &|\approx \frac{|\Psi_{0*}^{o}|}{|\eta|} |1 - |R_{m}|^{2} |^{-2} \left|1 - \frac{R_{1m}}{R}\right| \left|1 - \frac{R_{2m}}{R}\right| \left|1 - \frac{R_{3m}}{R}\right| \left|1 - \frac{R_{3m}}{R}\right| \left|1 - \frac{R_{4m}}{R}\right| \\ &= \frac{|\Psi_{0*}^{o}|}{|\eta|} \left(\frac{\cosh \psi - \epsilon \epsilon_{0}}{2}\right)^{2} \prod_{n=1,2,3,4} \left|1 - \coth^{\epsilon_{n} \epsilon_{0}} \left(\frac{\psi_{n}}{2}\right) \coth^{\epsilon_{\epsilon_{0}}} \left(\frac{\psi}{2}\right) e^{i(\phi - \phi_{n})} \right|. \quad (5.30) \end{split}$$

Interestingly, the radiation pattern has thus the same form if all PNDs are reflected, $R_n \to (R_n)_m$, and ingoing and outgoing directions switched, $R \to R_m$.

Both expressions (5.26) and (5.30) characterize the asymptotic behaviour of the fields near anti-de Sitter-like infinity. First, we observe from (5.26) that the radiation 'blows up' for directions with |R| = 1 (i.e., $\psi \to \infty$). These are null directions tangent to \mathcal{I} , and thus they do not represent a direction of any geodesic approaching \mathcal{I} from the 'interior' of spacetime. The reason for this divergent behaviour is 'kinematic': when we required the 'comparable' approach of geodesics to infinity (see discussion nearby (3.10)), we had fixed the component of k, normal to \mathcal{I} , equation (3.16). Clearly, such a condition implies an 'infinite' rescaling if k_i is tangent to \mathcal{I} which results in the divergence of $|\psi_4^i|$.

The divergence at |R| = 1 splits the radiation pattern into two components—the pattern for *outgoing* geodesics ($\epsilon = +1$) and that for *ingoing* geodesics ($\epsilon = -1$). These two different patterns are separately depicted in figures 5 and 6.

From equation (5.26) it is obvious that there are, in general, *four* directions along which the radiation vanishes, namely PNDs reflected with respect to \mathcal{I} , given by $R = (R_n)_m$. Outgoing PNDs give rise to zeros in the radiation pattern for ingoing null geodesics, and vice versa. A qualitative shape of the radiation pattern thus depends on

Table 1. All 51 quality. F conformal infinity. F directions, the specifi T. We denote outgoin their degeneracy by their degeneracy by their degeneracy by presented in the third and lingoing direction Type PND degene	N 4 III 3+1	D 2+2 II 2+1+1	I 1+1+1	 (i) degeneracy of the PNDs (P (ii) orientation of these PNDs principal null directions). 	Depending on these factors there (3 for Petrov type N spacetimes, 21 pairs of them are related by possibilities are summarized in not tangent to \mathcal{I} are shown in fig	found in figure 6. As we have said before, the spacetime. To simplify the radia to correlate the tetrad with PNDs In the case of timelike conforms	adjusted to PNDs is not very tradepending on orientation of the here. We will only mention the	detail the cases of PNDs tangen timelike infinity. For type N fields with the q align the vector k ₀ along this al ₁ The vector l ₀ is fixed by the adji
		$(IIIa) o^3 o \qquad (IIIb) o^3 i \qquad (IIIb) (IIb) (IIIb) (IIb) (I$			(IIa) $o^2 oo$ (IIb) $o^2 oi$ (IIc) $o^2 ii$		$ (la) ooo \qquad (lb) oooi \qquad (lc) ooii $	Figure 5. Directional structure of radiation near a timelike \mathcal{I} . All 11 qualitatively different shapes of the pattern when PNDs are not tangent to \mathcal{I} are shown (the remaining nine are related by a simple reflection with respect to \mathcal{I}). Each diagram consists of patterns for ingoing (left) and outgoing geodesics (right) $ \Psi_d ^4$ is drawn on the vertical axis, directions of geodesics are represented on the horizontal disc by coordinates ρ , ρ introduced in figure 3. $Reflected$ (degenerated) PNDs are indicated by fundiple) arrows under the discs. For PNDs that are not tangent to \mathcal{I} these are

ing, tangent and ingoing PNDs by the symbols o_i t and i_i respectively, and the corresponding power. The possibilities for each Petrov type which are line are obtained from those in the first line by the duality between outgoing or various algebraic Petrov types, given by the degeneracy of principal null ic structure is determined by the orientation of these PNDs with respect to atively different directional structures of gravitational radiation near a timelike

Topical Review

and ing	going directions, i.e.	by interchanging o with i .
Type	PND degeneracy	Different possible orientations of PNDs
z	4	04 14 14
Ξ	3 + 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
D	2 + 2	$\begin{array}{c} \sigma^2 \sigma^2 & \sigma^2 t^2 \\ \sigma^2 t^2 & t^2 t^2 \\ t^2 t^2 & t^2 t^2 \end{array}$
п	2+1+1	$o^2 o o \ o^2 o t \ o^2 o t \ o^2 o t \ o^2 t \ o^2 t \ t^2 o \ t^2 o t^2 t \ t^2 t t^2 t $
п	1+1+1+1	oooo ooot oooi ooit oott ottt ooii oitt tttt iiii iiit iito iio iitt ittt

strov type of the spacetime),

with respect to \mathcal{I} (the number of outgoing/tangent/ingoing

9 for type III, 6 for D, 18 for II and 15 for type I spacetimes); the duality of equations (5.26) and (5.30). All the different are 5, some examples of those with PNDs tangent to \mathcal{I} can be are 51 qualitatively different shapes of the radiation patterns table 1. The corresponding directional patterns with PNDs

simplest case of type N fields, and investigate in some more reference tetrad can be chosen to capture the geometry of the insparent-it splits to a lengthy discussion of separate cases t to $\ensuremath{\mathcal{I}}$, the presence of which is specific for spacetimes with ion pattern we can also adapt it to the algebraic structure, i.e., , as we did thoroughly for spacelike \mathcal{I} in the previous section. al infinity, however, the choice of canonical reference tetrads PNDs with respect to \mathcal{I} . We do not include such a discussion

uadruply degenerate PND, which is *not* tangent to \mathcal{I} , we can The vector \mathbf{l}_0 is fixed by the adjustment condition (3.25). (The spatial vectors \mathbf{m}_0 , $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}_0$ cannot be fixed canonically by the algebraic structure-they have to be specified by other means.) gebraically special direction, i.e., $\mathbf{k}_0 = \mathbf{k}_1 (= \mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{k}_3 = \mathbf{k}_4)$. The PNDs are then given by $R_n = 0$, i.e., $\psi_n = 0$ with orientations $\epsilon_n = \epsilon_0$, n = 1, 2, 3, 4. The directional dependence of radiation (5.29) thus reduces to

directions of vanishing radiation. The Petrov types (N, III, D, II, D) corresponding to the degeneracy of PNDs are indicated by labels of diagrams, the number of ingoing and outgoing PNDs is also

displayed using the notation of table 1.

R262

R261

Topical Review



Figure 6. Examples of directional structure of radiation near a timelike \mathcal{I} when PNDs are tangent to \mathcal{I} . Only the patterns for types N and D are shown. The notation and meaning of the diagrams are the same as in figure 5.

$$\left|\Psi_{4}^{i}\right| \approx \frac{\left|\Psi_{4}^{o}\right|}{\left|\eta\right|} \left(\frac{\cosh\psi + \epsilon\epsilon_{0}}{2}\right)^{2},\tag{5.31}$$

illustrated in figure 5(N). Similarly, the radiative component of a general spin-s field of type N would be

$$|\Upsilon_{2s}^{i}| \approx \frac{|\Upsilon_{2s}^{i}|}{|\eta|} \left(\frac{\cosh\psi + \epsilon\epsilon_{0}}{2}\right)^{s}.$$
(5.32)

It is possible to introduce naturally the reference tetrads adjusted to the algebraic structure for Petrov type D gravitational fields or, in general, for fields with two equivalent special algebraic directions as, e.g., for a generic electromagnetic field. Such a tetrad is analogous to that introduced above (5.23) near a spacelike \mathcal{I} . A detailed discussion of these tetrads and of the normalization of the field can be found in [118] (cf also (5.36)).

We now turn to a special situation specific for the fields near a timelike infinity \mathcal{I} . Up to now we have discussed principal null directions which are either *incoming* or *outgoing* from the spacetime. However, PNDs can also be *tangent* to \mathcal{I} , and in the following we will discuss the consequences of such special orientation of PNDs for the radiation pattern. We do not expect PNDs to be tangent to \mathcal{I} at generic points. However, they can be tangent on some lower-dimensional subspace such as the intersection of \mathcal{I} with Killing horizons—cf the anti-de Sitter *C*-metric [103]. These subspaces can be important, e.g., as in the context of the Randall–Sundrum model: a brane constructed from the *C*-metric reaches infinity with PNDs tangent both to it and to \mathcal{I} [119].

In the case when all PNDs *are tangent* to the conformal infinity, $R_n = \exp(-i\phi_n)$, the directional pattern (4.19) for a general spin-s field reduces to

$$\left|\Upsilon_{2s}^{i}\right| \approx \left|\Upsilon_{2s}^{0}\right| |\eta|^{-1} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (\cosh \psi - \sinh \psi \cos(\phi - \phi_{n}))^{1/2}.$$
 (5.33)

The field has, in general, no directions of vanishing radiation. It can only vanish along unphysical directions $R = R_n$ (unphysical because they are tangent to \mathcal{I}), provided the PND \mathbf{k}_n is at least triple degenerate.

For type N fields, when all PNDs are the same, we can choose the reference tetrad in such a way that $R_n = 1$, i.e., $\phi_n = 0$, and we obtain

$$\left|\Upsilon_{2s}^{i}\right| \approx \left|\Upsilon_{2s}^{0}\right| \left|\eta\right|^{-1} (\cosh\psi - \sinh\psi\cos\phi)^{s}.$$
(5.34)

In particular, for a gravitational field

$$\left|\Psi_{4}^{i}\right| \approx \left|\Psi_{4*}^{o}\right| \left|\eta\right|^{-1} \left(\cosh\psi - \sinh\psi\cos\phi\right)^{2}, \tag{5.35}$$

see figure 6(N).

R263

R264

Topical Review

For a gravitational field of Petrov type D with *both* double degenerate PNDs tangent to \mathcal{I} (figure 6(Da)), we can choose the reference tetrad such that $R_1 = R_2 = 1$ and $R_3 = R_4 = -1$. The radiation pattern then becomes

$$|\Psi_4^{\rm i}| \approx \frac{3}{2} |\Psi_{2*}^{\rm s}| |\eta|^{-1} (1 + \sinh^2 \psi \sin^2 \phi),$$
 (5.36)

where for normalization we have used the only non-vanishing field component Ψ_2^{δ} in the algebraically special tetrad aligned along both PNDs: this is related to the reference tetrad field component by $\Psi_q^{0} = \frac{3}{2} \Psi_s^{0}$, see [118]. As we have said, there is no direction (even an unphysical one) of vanishing radiation in this case. However, directionally dependent limits $R \rightarrow R_1$ and $R \rightarrow R_4$, in general, do not diverge (cf figure 6(Da)). Finally, for a gravitational field of type D with *only one* PND tangent to \mathcal{I} , figure 6(Db), we can choose the reference tetrad so that $R_1 = R_2 = 1$, $R_3 = R_4 = 0$,

$$\left|\Psi_4^{\rm i}\right| \approx \left|\Psi_4^{\rm o}\right| |\eta|^{-1} \frac{\cosh\psi + \epsilon\epsilon_{\rm o}}{2} (\cosh\psi - \sinh\psi\cos\phi). \tag{5.37}$$

To summarize, when \mathcal{I} is not null the radiation fields depend on the direction along which the conformal infinity is approached. Analogously to the $\Lambda > 0$ case [116] the radiation pattern for $\Lambda < 0$ has a universal character determined by the *algebraic type* of the fields [117]. However, new features occur when $\Lambda < 0$: both *outgoing* and *ingoing* patterns have to be studied, their shapes depend also on the *orientation of the PNDs* with respect to \mathcal{I} , and an interesting possibility of PNDs *tangent to* \mathcal{I} appears. Radiation vanishes only along directions which are reflections of PNDs *supt* respect to \mathcal{I} . In a *generic* direction it is *non-vanishing*. The absence of η^{-1} terms thus cannot be used to distinguish nonradiative sources: near an anti-de Sitter-like infinity the radiative component reflects not only properties of sources but also their relation to the observer.

6. Conclusions

The investigation of the asymptotic structure of general fields in spacetimes with a nonvanishing cosmological constant Λ is motivated, among others, by the fact that these spacetimes have been commonly used in various branches of theoretical research, e.g. in inflationary models, brane cosmologies, supergravity or string theories. Perhaps most importantly, the possible presence of a positive Λ is also indicated by recent observations.

An understanding of the nature of radiation in spacetimes with a non-vanishing Λ is not so developed as that in spacetimes with $\Lambda = 0$. Standard techniques used for asymptotically flat spacetimes (such as the Bondi–Sachs approach) cannot be applied, and generalizations of other methods lead to results which are 'less unique'. In particular, we have documented that for $\Lambda \neq 0$ the field components with respect to a parallelly transported interpretation tetrad depend on a null direction along which infinity is approached—the feature which is absent in the $\Lambda = 0$ case. In Penrose's words (cf discussion after equation (9.7.38) in [33]): "on varying geodesic through *P*, the different components Ψ_j^j get mingled with each other". We derived this directional structure of radiation explicitly and we demonstrated that it is determined by the algebraic structure of the field. The asymptotic behaviour near \mathcal{I} of the dominant component of any zero-rest-mass field of spin *s* is given by formula (4.19),

$$\Upsilon_{2s}^{i} \propto \eta^{-1} (1 - \sigma R \bar{R})^{-s} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \sigma R_{n} \bar{R}),$$

$$(6.1)$$

2.6

where η is the affine parameter. The coefficient $\sigma = -1, 0$, or +1 denotes the spacelike, null or timelike character of the conformal infinity; in (electro)vacuum spacetimes $\sigma = -\sin \Lambda$.

Topical Review R265	R266 Topical Review
The complex parameter R represents the direction of the outgoing/ingoing null geodesic	To summarize: the peeling-off behaviour of a field near a spacelike or timelike infinity is
along which a given point $P \in \mathcal{I}$ is approached as $\eta \to \pm \infty$. The complex constants R_η	not an invariant property of the field itself, but it is rather a statement about the behaviour of the field commonants evaluated in suitable tetrads monomoted merallally along null acodesics
the accurace the principal num uncertains, i.e. the argound sometime of the inclusion π_I . Over the system for \mathcal{I} of a 'Minkowskian' type ($\sigma = 0$) the directional dependence completely vanishes. The	The field components evaluated in subactor to the standard 'prepagated parametry atom geodesics. For the full description of the components, the standard 'peeling' needs to be supplemented
specific dependence of Υ_{2s}^{i} on the direction R of the geodesic occurs if $\sigma \neq 0$, i.e., near	by their directional dependence which was presented above. We hope that our results may
(anti-)de Sitter-like' conformal infinity. Interestingly, in all spacetimes which are not	give some clues to the understanding of radiation in spacetimes which are not asymptotically
conformally flat there are <i>at most 2s</i> directions along which the radiative part of the field	flat.
(0.1) vanishes. These are directions antipodal to the principal null directions in the case of a snacelike \mathcal{T} and mirror reflections of the DNDs with respect to \mathcal{T} when its character is	It is very difficult to obtain an explicit general relation between the matter distribution and the corresponding distant gravitational field since the non-linearity of the Finstein equations
timelike. Along all other directions the radiation does <i>not</i> vanish, even if the field corresponds	effectively allows gravitation to act as its own source. Therefore, it remains an onen problem
to a 'static' source.	to relate the structure of bounded sources to the principal null directions of the field at \mathcal{I} which
Our results supplement and refine the peeling-off behaviour of zero-rest-mass fields. The	essentially determines the radiation structure at spacelike or timelike conformal infinities.
'peeling' is a well-known property of the fields near conformal infinity, and therefore we will	Some insight in this direction could hopefully be obtained by investigating suitable exact
emphasize again its relation to the above derived asymptotic directional structure of radiation. For example, in classical works [23, 30] one can find its very suggestive formulation: the	model spacetimes.
curvature tensor expanded along null geodesics takes the form	Acknowledgments
$\Psi = N\eta^{-1} + III\eta^{-2} + II\eta^{-3} + I\eta^{-4} + \cdots, $ (6.2)	We are grateful to Jiří Bičák, who brought our attention to the problem of radiation under the
(see page 365 in [30] or equation (5.6) in [23]) where the terms N, III, II and I are algebraically	presence of a cosmological constant, for many valuable comments and suggestions. We also
special with quadruple, triple, double and non-degenerate PNDs, respectively. On this basis it $\frac{1}{2}$	thank Jerry Griffiths and Marcello Ortaggio for careful reading of the manuscript. This work
is commonly stated that the radiative component ($\sim \eta^{-1}$) becomes asymptotically of Petrov type N with one quadruply degenerate PND. Our discussion above, however, demonstrates	was supported by the grant GACK 202/02/0730 of the Czech Republic.
that such an interpretation is misleading or, at least, not precise. The separation of the terms	Annendiy A Asymntotic nolyhomogeneous exnansions
having different algebraic structure into different orders of the asymptotic expansion in η is	anotomica another for homo for another another another
not due to the inherent properties of the Weyl tensor itself, but rather due to the asymptotic	In section 2.3 we integrated equation (2.13) for a physical affine parameter, and we obtained
degeneracy of the tetrad with respect to which the Weyl tensor is evaluated. The coefficients	its expansion (2.17) in terms of the conformal affine parameter $\tilde{\eta}$. This can easily be inverted
in (6.2) are calculated in the <i>interpretation tetrad</i> which is parallelly transported along the	only in the leading order, $\tilde{\eta} = -1/\eta$. Here we derive the expansion of the conformal affine
null geodesic. We have seen that such a tetrad becomes infinitely boosted with respect to a	parameter $\tilde{\eta}$ in terms of η up to a higher order.
regular tetrad defined in terms of the conformal geometry (see, e.g., relations (3.18), (2.19)).	First, assuming smoothness of the conformal factor in the conformal affine parameter
The Weyl tensor evaluated in the tetrad which is defined using the conformal techniques (i.e., the field consistent in the conformation of the concorning in accordance to attain the	near \mathcal{I} , we have (cf equation (2.16))
the neta calculated in the conformat geometry and then appropriately rescaled to obtain the nobscient obtains $\omega = 2 (cf equation (4.18))$ and it does not exhibit	$\Omega = -\epsilon \tilde{\eta} + \Omega_2 \tilde{\eta}^2 + \Omega_3 \tilde{\eta}^3 + \cdots, $ (A.1)
any pecling-off behaviour. It is the transformation to the interpretation tetrad (by the infinite	where Ω_i are constants. Expanding Ω^{-2} , the integration of (2.13) then leads to
boost, see equation (4.12)) which gives rise to peeling-off of the components with a different	$n = -\frac{1}{+} (\gamma_{e} \Omega_{e} \ln \tilde{n} + n_{e}) + (3\Omega_{e}^{2} + \gamma_{e} \Omega_{e}) \tilde{n} + \dots $ (A.2)
algebraic structure.	$\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$
The field thus becomes asymptotically of type N only when viewed from the parallelly	(cf equation (2.17)), where η_0 is a constant of integration. This expression contains the
transported tetrad, with the algebraically special direction oriented along the tangent to the null	logarithmic term $\ln \eta $ which means that the relation between η and η is intrinsically non-
geodesic approaching minity. Aiready this dependence of the algebraicatly spectal direction, along which the field asymptotically aligns, on the direction of the geodesic, indicates that the	analytic and cannot thus be inverted as a standard power expansion. We have to look for an inverse expansion in a broader class of functions namely we admit functions which for small
asymptotic algebraic degeneracy suggested by (6.2) is not an invariant property of the field	$\hat{\xi}$ can be written as
but an effect resulting from specific relation between the field and the observer.	8
As we said, near a null conformal infinity the magnitude of leading coefficient $\sim \eta^{-1}$ in	$f(\xi) = \sum f_j(\ln^{-1} \xi) \xi^j, $ (A.3)
the expansion (6.2) actually does <i>not</i> depend on the direction of the null geodesic (see (6.1)	$j=j_{*}$
for $\sigma = 0$), and can thus be assigned a more invariant meaning—we may speak about non- radiative fields if this leading term is missing, and about radiative fields otherwise. However,	where $j, j_* \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the 'coefficient' $f_j(\ln^{-1} \xi)$ in the power expansion is an (infinite)
for a <i>spacelike or timelike</i> conformal infinity we have found that the magnitude of the leading	polynomial of the reciprocal logarities $x = m - \varsigma $. More precisely, $f_j(x)$ is a function which is analytic (with a possible pole of a finite order $-k_*$ if $k_* < 0$) at $x = 0$,
term <i>does</i> depend substantially on the direction R of the geodesic. Interestingly, such a	8
dependence can be explicitly described in terms of the principal null directions of the field,	$f_j(x) = \sum f_{j,k} x^k. \tag{A.4}$
see (0.1) and the discussion in sections 2.4 and 2.3.	$k = k_*$

Inspired by [90], we may call such an expansion polyhomogeneous. The expansion (A.3) with the leading coefficient $f_{j_s}(x)$ regular and non-vanishing at x = 0 can be substituted into another polyhomogeneous expansion and the result remains again in the class of polyhomogeneous expansions

inverse relation as a polyhomogeneous expansion in the *small* parameter $\varepsilon = -\eta^{-1}$ (i.e., in Expansion (A.2) is exactly of the form (A.3) for a small parameter $\tilde{\eta}$. We can seek the the reciprocal physical affine parameter; notice the difference between ε and ϵ):

$$\tilde{\eta} = \varepsilon + \tilde{\eta}_2 (\ln^{-1} |\varepsilon|) \varepsilon^2 + \tilde{\eta}_3 (\ln^{-1} |\varepsilon|) \varepsilon^3 + \cdots.$$
(A.5)

Substituting into (A.2), expanding logarithmic terms, and requiring that the resulting expansion should lead to the single term $\eta = -\varepsilon^{-1}$, we find

$$(2\epsilon\Omega_2 \ln|\varepsilon| + \eta_0)\varepsilon^{4} + (4\Omega_2^{2}\ln^{2}|\varepsilon| + 4\Omega_2(\epsilon\eta_0 + \Omega_2) \ln|\varepsilon| + \eta_0^{2} + 2\epsilon\eta_0\Omega_2 - 3\Omega_2^{2} - 2\epsilon\Omega_3)\varepsilon^{3} + \cdots.$$
(A.6)

Thus, the conformal factor (A.1) is

 $\tilde{\eta} = \varepsilon -$

$$\Omega = -\epsilon\varepsilon + (2\Omega_2 \ln|\varepsilon| + \epsilon\eta_0 + \Omega_2)\varepsilon^2 - \epsilon(4\Omega_2^2 \ln^2|\varepsilon| + 4\Omega_2^2(\epsilon\eta_0 + 2\Omega_2) \ln|\varepsilon|$$

$$+ \eta_0^2 + 4\epsilon \eta_0 \Omega_2 - 3\Omega_2^2 - 3\epsilon \Omega_3)\epsilon^3 + \cdots.$$
(A.7)

Integrating now equation (3.19) for parameter L, in which we expand Ω and the right-hand side in parameter $\tilde{\eta}$, see equations (A.1) and (3.20), we obtain

$$L = M_1 \ln |\tilde{\eta}| + L_0 + (M_2 + 2\epsilon M_1 \Omega_2)\tilde{\eta} + \frac{1}{2} (M_3 + 2\epsilon M_2 \Omega_2 + M_1 (3\Omega_2^2 + 2\epsilon \Omega_3))\tilde{\eta}^2 + \cdots,$$
(A.8)

and expressing this in terms of the reciprocal physical affine parameter using (A.6) T = T

$$M_1 \ln |\varepsilon| + L_0 + (-2\epsilon M_1 \Omega_2 \ln |\varepsilon| + M_2 - M_1 \eta_0 + 2\epsilon M_1 \Omega_2)\varepsilon$$
$$= -LOM O^2 \ln^2 |\varepsilon| = -2\epsilon M_2 - M_2 |\Omega_2 \ln |\varepsilon|$$

$$+ \left(2M_1\Omega_2^2 \ln^2 |\varepsilon| - 2\epsilon(M_2 - M_1\eta_0)\Omega_2 \ln |\varepsilon| + \frac{1}{2}(M_3 - 2M_2(\eta_0 - \epsilon\Omega_2) + M_1(\eta_0^2 - 3\Omega_2^2 - 2\epsilon\Omega_3))\right)\varepsilon^2 + \cdots.$$

(A.9)

Moreover, the coefficients Ω_i , M_i in expansions (2.16) and (3.20) can be expressed in terms of derivatives of Ω and $\mathbf{\tilde{m}}_{a}^{\alpha} \mathbf{d}_{a} \Omega$ with respect of $\tilde{\eta}$. Namely, Ω_{2} and M_{1} are given by

$$\Omega_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Omega}{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\eta}^2} \right|_{\tilde{\eta}=0} = \ell^2 \big(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}} \tilde{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{a}} \Omega \big) \Big|_{T}, \tag{A.10}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{1} = \sqrt{2}\ell \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\eta}} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathrm{a}}^{a} \mathbf{d}_{a} \Omega \right) \Big|_{\widehat{\eta}=0} = 2\ell^{2} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{k}}_{a}^{b} \widehat{\mathbf{m}}_{a}^{a} \widetilde{\nabla}_{b} \mathbf{d}_{a} \Omega \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{I}}, \tag{A.11}$$

where we used (3.11) and (3.12). Employing equations (2.3) and (2.8) we obtain

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{b} \mathbf{d}_{a} \Omega = \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{ab} \tilde{\Box} \Omega + \frac{1}{2} \Omega \Big[\Big(\mathbf{Ri} c_{ab} - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{g}_{ab} \Big) - \Big(\mathbf{Ri} \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_{ab} - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\mathbf{R}} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{ab} \Big) \Big].$$
(A.12)

Consequently,

$$\Omega_2 = \frac{1}{2} \ell^2 \left(\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_b^k \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_a^u \left[\left(\mathbf{Ri}_{cub} - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{R}_{gab} \right) - \left(\mathbf{Ri}_{cub} - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}ab} \right) \right] \right) \Big|_T, \tag{A.13}$$

$$M_{1} = \ell^{2} \left(\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{a}^{b} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{a}^{a} \left[\left(\mathbf{Ri} c_{ab} - \frac{1}{4} R \mathbf{g}_{ab} \right) - \left(\mathbf{R} \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{ab} - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{R} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{ab} \right) \right] \right)|_{T}, \tag{A.14}$$

brackets, $\Omega(\tilde{\mathbf{Ric}}_{ab} - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\mathbf{Ric}}_{ab})$, vanish on \mathcal{I} . The first terms can be expressed as the specific We assume regularity of the conformal geometry near infinity so that the second terms in tetrad components of the traceless Ricci tensor [12], namely

$$\Phi_{00}^{a} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{Ric}_{ab} - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{Rg}_{ab}) \mathbf{k}^{b} \mathbf{k}^{a}, \qquad \Phi_{01}^{a} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{Ric}_{ab} - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{Rg}_{ab}) \mathbf{k}^{b} \mathbf{m}^{a}, \qquad (A.15)$$

R268

R267

Topical Review

which in view of Einstein equations (2.9) are proportional to the corresponding components of the energy-momentum tensor. We thus obtain

$$\Omega_2 = \ell^2 (\Omega^{-1} \Phi_{00}^{a}) |_T \sim (\tilde{\eta}^{-1} \Phi_{00}^{a}) |_{\tilde{\eta}=0},$$
(A.16)

$$M_1 = 2\ell^2 (\Omega^{-1} \Phi_{01}^a) \Big|_{\tau} \sim \left(\tilde{\eta}^{-1} \Phi_{01}^a \right) \Big|_{\tilde{\eta}=0}, \tag{A.17}$$

vacuum spacetimes. Moreover, Ω_2 and M_1 are zero also in non-vacuum cases such that near where Φ_{00}^a and Φ_{01}^a are evaluated with respect to the tetrad (3.14). These vanish identically for the conformal infinity the matter field decays faster than $\sim \tilde{n}$. It corresponds to the situation when Penrose's asymptotic Einstein condition (equation (2.20), cf (9.6.21) of [33]) is satisfied. With $\Omega_2 = 0$, $M_1 = 0$ the logarithmic terms in expansions (A.6)–(A.9) disappear.

Appendix B. Tetrads and fields in spinor formalism

Following, e.g., [33], the field of any spin $s = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \cdots$ can be represented using the two-component symmetric spinor \mathbf{T} with 2s lower indices. To fix conventions for various signs and prefactors which alter in the literature we first summarize some general relations for spinors and their relation to tangent vectors.

Two-component spinors at a point x form two mutually conjugated complex vector with skew-symmetric metrics ϵ_{AB} and $\bar{\epsilon}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}$ respectively, and with their inverses ϵ^{AB} and $\bar{\epsilon}^{\bar{A}\bar{B}}$ $\alpha^{A\bar{A}} = \bar{\alpha}^{\bar{A}A}$) with metric $-\epsilon_{AB\bar{E}\bar{A}\bar{B}}$ is isometric to the space of tangent vectors with metric spaces $S_x M$ and $\bar{S}_x M$ of dimension two. We use capital Latin letters for indices of spinors from $S_x M$ and letters with a bar for the conjugated spinors. Spinor spaces are equipped (such that, e.g., $\epsilon^{AM} \epsilon_{BM} = \delta_B^A$). These metrics are used for lowering and raising indices: $\psi^A = \epsilon^{AM} \psi_M$, $\psi_A = \psi^M \epsilon_{MA}$. The space of real bi-spinors (i.e., spinors $\alpha^{A\bar{A}}$ such that spacetime \mathbf{g}_{ab} through the *soldering form* $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{a}_{A\bar{A}}$. The relation of both metrics is

$$\mathbf{g}^{ab} = -\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{a}_{A\bar{A}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{b}_{B\bar{B}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{AB}\bar{\epsilon}^{\bar{A}B}\,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\bar{A}\bar{B}}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} = -\mathbf{g}_{ab}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{a}_{A\bar{A}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{b}_{B\bar{B}}. \tag{B.1}$$

A spinor frame o, ι is called *normalized* if it satisfies

$$\epsilon^{AB} = o^A \iota^B - \iota^A o^B, \qquad \text{i.e.,} \quad o^A \iota^B \epsilon_{AB} = 1. \tag{B.2}$$

We can associate a normalized spinor frame o, ι with any null tetrad **k**, **l**, **m**, $\mathbf{\bar{m}}$ in the following way:

$${}^{a} = \sigma^{a}{}_{A\bar{A}} \bar{\sigma}^{A} \bar{\sigma}^{\bar{A}}, \qquad \mathbf{m}^{a} = \sigma^{a}{}_{A\bar{A}} \bar{\sigma}^{A} \bar{\sigma}^{\bar{A}},$$

$${}^{i} = \sigma^{a}{}_{A\bar{A}} \iota^{A} \bar{\iota}^{\bar{A}}, \qquad \mathbf{\bar{m}}^{a} = \sigma^{a}{}_{A\bar{A}} \iota^{A} \bar{\sigma}^{\bar{A}}.$$

$$(B.3)$$

the normalized spinor frame which leave (B.2) unchanged. Namely, for null rotation with k Special Lorentz transformations (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) correspond to transformations of fixed we have

$$o = o_0, \qquad \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0, \qquad \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_0 + L\mathbf{k}_0, \qquad (\mathbf{B}.4)$$
$$\iota = \iota_0 + \tilde{L}o_0, \qquad \mathbf{l} = \mathbf{l}_0 + \tilde{L}\mathbf{m}_0 + L\mathbf{m} + L\tilde{L}\mathbf{k}, \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{m}} = \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_0 + \tilde{L}\mathbf{k}_0, \qquad (\mathbf{B}.4)$$

and for null rotation with I fixed,

$$o = o_0 + K c_0$$
, $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0 + \vec{K} \mathbf{m}_0 + K \mathbf{m} + \vec{K} \vec{K} \mathbf{k}$, $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_0 + \vec{K} \mathbf{k}_0$,

ş

$$= \stackrel{\circ}{}_{0_0} + \stackrel{\circ}{}_{0_0} \stackrel{\circ}{}_{0_0} + \stackrel{}$$

$$o = B^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(i\frac{\phi}{2}\right)o_0, \qquad \mathbf{k} = B\mathbf{k}_0, \qquad \mathbf{m} = \exp(i\phi)\mathbf{m}_0,$$
$$\iota = B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-i\frac{\phi}{2}\right)c_0, \qquad \mathbf{l} = B^{-1}\mathbf{l}_0, \qquad \mathbf{\bar{m}} = \exp(-i\phi)\mathbf{\bar{m}}_0.$$

(B.6)

Topical Review R269	R270 Topical Review
As we have said, the field of spin s can be represented by a spinor $\Upsilon_{A_1\cdots A_{2n}}$ which is	[8] Robinson I and Trautman A 1960 Spherical gravitational waves Phys. Rev. Lett. 4 431–2 [0] Robinson I and Trautman A 1967 Scorne sub-rical cravitational waves in general relativity. Proc. R. Cor. Lond.
symmetric in an induces. The space of such symmetric symmetric spinors forms a representation space for the irreducible representation of type $(0, s)$ of the SL(2C) group, or of its s1(2C) Lie	12 AOLISMI AND ALL AND
algebra which is isomorphic to Lie algebra $ao(1, 3)$ of the Lorenz group. Field equations for the zero-rest-mass field of spin s are usually written in the form	[10] BODIOF W B and Swammaryan N S 1704 AII exact solution for unitormy accelerated particles in general relativity Z. Phys. 177 240-56 [11] Brick I 1968 Gravitational radiation from uniformly accelerated particles in ceneral relativity Proc. R Soc.
$\epsilon^{MN} \nabla_{M^{\vec{a}}} \Upsilon_{NA,\dots,A_{r}} = 0, \text{with } \nabla_{A^{\vec{a}}} = \sigma^{a}_{A^{\vec{a}}} \nabla_{A^{\vec{a}}}. \tag{B.7}$	Lond. A 302 201-24
It is well known [33] that such an equation is not consistent for $s > 2$ in a general curved	[12] Stephant H et al 2002 ExactSolutions of Einstein's Field Equations 2nd edn (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
background, and there are restrictions on curvature to achieve consistency for $s > 1$. However,	[13] Bičák J 1985 On exact radiative solutions representing finite sources Galaxies, Axisymmetric Systems and Relativity ed M A H MacCaluum (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) pp 91–124
the exact form of the field Υ from some unspecified theory which prescribes the behaviour of we may obtain the field Υ from some unspecified theory which prescribes the behaviour of	[14] Bičák J 1987 Radiative properties of spacetimes with the axial and boost symmetries Gravitation and Geometry (A volume in honour of Ivor Robinson) ed W Rindler and A Trautman (Naples: Bibliopolis) pp 55–69
the field. The examples are spinors Ψ_{ABCD} and Φ_{AB} of spins 2 and 1 which represent the	[15] Bičák J and Schmidt B G 1989 Asymptotically flat radiative space-times with boost-rotation symmetry: the general structure <i>Phys. Rev.</i> D 40 1827–53
gravitational and electromagnetic fields, respectively. These spinors are related to the Weyl tensor C_{AAA} as	[16] Bonnor W B, Griffiths J B and MacCallum M A H 1994 Physical interpretation of vacuum solutions of Einstein's equations. Part II. Time-dependent solutions Gen. Rel. Grav. 26 687–729
$\sum_{C,h''} \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} = \sigma_{\sigma}{}^{A\bar{A}} \sigma_{\sigma}{}^{B\bar{B}} \overline{\sigma}_{\sigma}{}^{C\bar{C}} \overline{\sigma}_{\sigma}{}^{D\bar{D}} (\Psi_{ARCD} \bar{\epsilon}_{\bar{a}\bar{a}\bar{e}\bar{c}\bar{c}\bar{n}} + \bar{\Psi}_{\bar{a}\bar{a}\bar{e}\bar{c}\bar{c}\bar{n}} \epsilon_{AR\bar{e}CD}).$	[17] Bičák J 1997 Radiative spacetimes: exact approaches Relativistic Gravitation and Gravitational Radiation, Les Houches 1995 ed J A Marck and J P Lasota (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) pp 67–87
$\Psi_{ARCD} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{a}^{A}\bar{a}\sigma_{b}^{R}\bar{a}\sigma_{c}^{C}\bar{c}\sigma^{d}n\bar{n}\bar{D}C_{abcd}\bar{e}\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{e}\bar{c}\bar{D},$ (B.8) (B.8)	[18] Bičák J 2000 Selected solutions of Einstein's field equations: Their role in general relativity and astrophysics Einstein's Field Equations and Their Physical Implications vol 540, ed B G Schmidt (Berlin: Springer)
and to the electromagnetic tensor \mathbf{F}_{ab} as	pp 1–126
$\mathbf{F}_{-1} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{-1}^{-AA} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{-1}^{-BB} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{-1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{-1}^{-E} + \boldsymbol{\tilde{\sigma}}_{-1}^{-E} + \boldsymbol{\tilde{\sigma}}_{-1}^{-E})$	[19] BICak J and Krious P 2005 Radiative neids in spacetimes with Minkowski and de Suffer asymptotics Recent Developments in Gravity (Proc. 10th Greek Relativity Meeting, Chalkidiki, May 2002) ed K D Kokkotas and
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N Stergioulas (Singapore: World Scientific) pp 3–25
$\mathbf{\Psi}_{AB}=ar{2}\mathbf{\sigma}^{'}_{Aar{A}}\mathbf{\sigma}^{'}_{Bar{B}}\mathbf{I}_{ab}\epsilon_{Aar{B}}$	[20] BONGI H 1960 Uravitational waves in general relativity Nature 186 535 [21] BONGI H, van der Burg M G J and Metzner A W K 1962 Gravitational waves in general relativity: VII. Waves
The field Υ has $2s + 1$ independent components. In the normalized spinor frame o, ι these can be identified as	from axi-symmetric isolated systems <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond.</i> A 269 21–52 1221 Sachs R 1961 Gravitational waves in general relativity: VI The ontroon endiation <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond</i>
$\Upsilon_{i} = \Upsilon_{A,\dots,A,A,\dots,A}, \mu^{A_{1}},\dots,\mu^{A_{j}}, \sigma^{A_{j+1}},\dots,\sigma^{A_{2k}}, \qquad i = 0, 1,\dots,2_{N} (B,10)$	A 264 309–38
$-j = -\alpha [\frac{1}{2} \alpha \beta \beta + \frac{1}{2} \alpha \beta \beta + \frac{1}{2} \alpha \beta \beta + \frac{1}{2} \alpha \beta +$	[23] Sachs R.K. 1962 Gravitational waves in general relativity: VII. Waves in asymptotically flat space-time <i>Proc.</i> P. Soc. Lond. A 770 102–26.
buckness use transformations (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{T}) , (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{T}) and (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{U}) of the spinor frames into (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{U}) we immediately obtain the transformation properties of the field components. Namely, we get	[24] van der Burg 0.5. J 900 Gravitational waves in general relativity X. Asymptotic expansions for the Einstein-
	Maxwell Reld Proc. K. 2001. A 310 221–50 Navnon FT and Damixea B 1067 An anniceative to receivitational rediation by a method of evity coefficients
$\Upsilon_{j} = \Upsilon_{j}^{0} + {\binom{J}{1}}\tilde{L}\Upsilon_{j-1}^{0} + {\binom{J}{2}}\tilde{L}^{2}\Upsilon_{j-2}^{0} + {\binom{J}{3}}\tilde{L}^{3}\Upsilon_{j-3}^{0} + \dots + \tilde{L}^{j}\Upsilon_{0}^{0} (B.11)$	$L^{2/3}$ rewritair 2.1 and 1 curves N 1702 Au approach to gravitational radiation by a incluiou of spin coefficients J. Math. Phys. 3 566–78
for the null rotation with k fixed, and	[26] Newman E T and Unti T W J 1962 Behavior of asymptotically flat empty spaces J. Math. Phys. 3 891–901 1271 Democes P 1963. Accordicio: necessries of fields and successings Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 65, 68
(2s - i) $(2s - i)$	[28] Perrose R 1964 Conformal treatment of infinity Relativity, Groups and Topology, Les Houches 1963
$\Upsilon_{j} = \Upsilon_{j}^{0} + \begin{pmatrix} & z \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} K \Upsilon_{j+1}^{0} + \begin{pmatrix} & z \\ & 2 \end{pmatrix} K^{z} \Upsilon_{j+2}^{0} + \dots + K^{2s-1} \Upsilon_{2s}^{0} $ (B.12)	ed C DeWitt and B DeWitt (New York: Gordon and Breach) pp 563–84
for the null rotation with I fixed. Finally, for the boost and the rotation we obtain	[29] FERIOSE K 1905 ZEFO FEST-mass fields including gravitation: asymptotic benaviour 1705; K. 506; Lond. A 204 159–203
$\Upsilon_i = R^{s-j} \exp(i(s-i)\phi)\Upsilon_0^2. \tag{B.13}$	[30] Pirani F A E 1965 Introduction to gravitational radiation theory <i>Branders Lectures on General Relativity</i>
	ed S Deser and K w Ford (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Frenuce-Hall) pp 249-572 [31] Geroch R P 1977 Asymptotic structure of space-time Asymptotic Structure of Space-Time ed F P Esposito and
References	L written (New York: Prenum) pp 1–100 [32] Newman E T and Tod K P 1980 Asymptotically flat space-times General Relativity and Gravitation vol 2,
[1] Einstein A 1916 Näherungsweise Integration der Feldgleichungen der Gravitation Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Sitz.	ed A Held (New York: Plenum) pp 1–36 [33] Penrose R and Rindler W 1984, 1986 <i>Spinors and Space-Time</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
688–96 [2] Finetain & 1918 [Ther Gravitationwellen Prouce Abod Wice Sitr 154–67	[34] Friedrich H 1992 Asymptotic structure of space-time <i>Recent Advances in General Relativity</i> ed A I Janish and TP Dorder Recol: Brichönseet
[2] LIBSCH A 1719 COCI DIGATHOLOW MALL FEBSS, AMAR. PLSS. 5112, 137-04 [3] Weyl H 1919 Raum-Zeit-Materie (Berlin: Springer)	J N. FORCH (JASSA: DIMMAGNED) 2010 DAMAGNED (2010) 2010 DAMAGNED (2010) 2010 DAMAGNED (2010) 2010 DAMAGNED (201
[4] Eddington A S 1923 The propagation of gravitational waves Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 102 268–82 [5] Bondi H. Pirani F A E and Rohinson I 1959 Gravitational waves in conceral relativity. III. Exact plane waves	[36] Friedrich H 1998 Einstein's equation and conformal structure The Geometric Universe: Science, Geometry, and the Work of Roser Pennose ed S A Hussett et al (Oxford: Oxford University Press) np 81–98
Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 251 519-33	[37] Sachs R K 1962 Aymptotic symmetries in gravitational theory <i>Phys. Rev.</i> 128 2851–64
[6] Jordan P, Ehlers J and Kundt W 1960 Strenge Lösungen der Feldgleichungen der Allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie	[38] van der Burg M G J 1966 Gravitational waves in general relativity IX. Conserved quantities <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond.</i>
Akad. Wiss. Lit. Manz. Abhandl., MathNat. KI. no 2 [7] Ehlers J and Kundt W 1962 Exact solutions of the gravitational field equations Gravitation: an Introduction to	A 294 112–22 [39] Bičák J and Pravdová A 1998 Symmetries of asymptotically flat electro-vacuum spacetimes and radiation
Current Research ed L Witten (New York: Wiley) pp 49–101	J. Math. Phys. 39 6011-39

 [76] Kánnár J 1996 Hyperboloidal initial data for the vacuum Einstein equations with cosmological constant <i>Class</i>. <i>Quantum Grav.</i> 13 3075–84 [77] <i>Quantum Grav.</i> 13 3075–84 [77] Corvino J 2000 Salar curvature deformation and a gluing construction for the Einstein constraint equations <i>Commun. Math. Phys.</i> 214 137–89 [78] Corvino J and Schoen R M 2003 On the asymptotics for the vacuum Einstein constraint equations <i>Preprint</i> gr-qc/0301071 [79] Chnáciel P T and Delay E 2002 Existence of non-trivial, vacuum, asymptotically simple space-times <i>Class. Quantum Grav.</i> 19 L71–9 [70] Triáctiel P T and Delay E 2002 <i>Class. Quantum Grav.</i> 19 3389 (erratum) [80] Friedrich H 2003 Spin-2 fields on Minkowski space near space-like and null infinity <i>Class. Quantum Grav.</i> 20 	 101–17 [81] Friedrich H 1998 Einstein's equation and geometric asymptotics <i>Gravitation and Relativity: At the Turn of the Millenium (Proc. GR-15 Conference</i>) ed N Dadhich and J Narlikar (Pune: Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics Press) [82] Rendall A D 2002 Theorems on existence and global dynamics for the Einstein equations <i>Liv. Rev. Rel.</i> 5 2002–6 http://www.livingreviews.org/Int-2002-6 [83] Pravda V and Pravdová A 2000 Boost-rotation symmetric spacetimes—review <i>Czech. J. Phys.</i> 50 333–75 	 [84] Ashtekar A and Dray T 1981 On the existence of solutions to Einstein's equation with non-zero Bondi news <i>Commun. Math. Phys.</i> 79 581-9 [85] Andersson L and Chruściel P T 1993 On hyperboloidal Cauchy data for vacuum Einstein equations and obstructions to smoothness of 'null infinity' <i>Phys. Rev. Lett.</i> 70 2829-32 [86] Andersson L and Chruściel P T 1994 On 'hyperboloidal' Cauchy data for vacuum Einstein equations and obstructions to smoothness of Scrit <i>Commun. Math. Phys.</i> 161 533-68 [87] Winicour J 1985 Loganthmic saymptoti fatances <i>Faual. Phys.</i> 165 503-66 [88] Damour T 1986 Analytical calculations of gravitational radiation <i>Proc. 4th Marcel Grossmann Meeting</i> vol A 	 ed R Ruffini (Amsterdam: North-Holland) p 365 [89] Valiente Kroon J A 2004 A new class of obstructions to the smoothness of null infinity <i>Commun. Math. Phys.</i> 244 133–56 [90] Chnásiel P T, MacCallum M A H and Singleton P B 1995 Gravitational waves in general relativity XIV. Bondi expansions and the polyhomogeneity of <i>J Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.</i> A 350 113–41 [91] Valiente Kroon J A 2002 Polyhomogeneous expansions close to null and spatial infinity <i>The Conformal Structure of Space-Time. Geometry, Audivir, Nutmerics Lecture Notes in Physics</i> vol 604) ed. J Frauendiener and H Friedrich (Berlin: Springer) pp 135–59 	 [92] Trautman A 1958 Radiation and boundary conditions in the theory of gravitation <i>Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys.</i> V1 407–12 [93] Newman E T and Penrose R 1965 10 exact gravitationally-conserved quantities <i>Phys. Rev. Lett.</i> 15 231–3 [94] Newman E T and Penrose R 1968 New conservation laws for zero rest-mass fields in asymptotically flat space-time <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond.</i> 305 175–204 [95] Exton A R, Newman E T and Penrose R 1969 Conserved quantities in the Einstein–Maxwell theory <i>J. Math. Phys.</i> 10 1566–70 [96] Robinson D C 1969 Conserved quantities of Newman and Penrose <i>J. Math. Phys.</i> 10 1745–53 	 [97] Glass E N and Goldberg J N 1970 Newman-Penrose constants and their invariant transformations J. Math. <i>Phys.</i> 11 3400–13 [98] Valiente Kroon J A 1998 Conserved quantities for polyhomogeneous spacetimes <i>Class. Quantum Grav.</i> 15 2479–91 [99] Valiente Kroon J A 2000 Polyhomogeneity and zero-rest-mass fields with applications to Newman-Penrose constants <i>Class. Quantum Grav.</i> 17 665–21 [100] Penrose R 1967 Cosmological boundary conditions for zero rest-mass fields <i>The Nature of Time</i> ed T Gold 	 (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press) pp 42–54 [101] Bičák J and Krtouš P 2002 The fields of uniformly accelerated charges in de Sitter spacetime <i>Phys. Rev. Lett.</i> 8 211101 [102] Krtouš P 2003 Radiation from accelerated black holes in de Sitter universe <i>Phys. Rev.</i> D 68 024005 [103] Podolský J, Ortaggio M and Krtouš P 2003 Radiation from accelerated black holes in an anti-de Sitter universe <i>Phys. Rev.</i> D 68 024005 [103] Podolský J, Ortaggio M and Krtouš P 2003 Radiation from accelerated black holes in an anti-de Sitter universe <i>Phys. Rev.</i> D 68 1024004 [104] Bičák J and Knouš P 2001 Accelerated sources in de Sitter spacetime and the insufficiency of retarded fields <i>Phys. Rev.</i> D 64 124020 [105] Avis S J, Isham C J and Storey D 1978 Quantum field theory in anti-de Sitter space-time <i>Phys. Rev.</i> D 18 3565–76
 [40] Goldberg J N and Kerr R P 1964 Asymptotic properties of the electromagnetic field <i>J. Math. Phys.</i> 5 172–6 [41] Petrov A Z 1954 Classification of spaces defined by gravitational fields <i>Sci. Not. Kazan. State Univ.</i> 114 55 [42] Pirani F A E 1957 Invariant formulation of gravitational radiation theory <i>Phys. Rev.</i> 105 1089–99 [43] Debever R 1959 Tenseur de super-énergie, tenseur de Riemann: cas singuliers <i>C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris</i> 249 1744–6 [44] Pernose R 1960 A spinor approach to general relativity <i>Ann. Phys., NY</i> 10 171–201 [45] Janish A I and Newman I T 1965 Structure of gravitational sources <i>J. Math. Phys.</i> 6 902–14 [46] Szekeres P 1965 The gravitational compass <i>J. Math. Phys.</i> 6 133–91 [47] Bičák J and Podolský J 1999 Gravitational waves in vacuum spacetimes with cosmological constant. II: Deviation of geodesics and interpretation of non-twisting type N solutions <i>J. Math. Phys.</i> 4 506–17 [48] Penrose R 1966 Structure of space-time <i>Battelle Recontres</i> ed B DeWitt and J A Wheeler (New York: Benjamin) 	 pp 121-235 [49] Winicour JH and Tamburino L A 1965 Lorentz-covariant gravitational energy-momentum linkages <i>Phys. Rev. Lett.</i> 15 601–5 [50] Tamburino L A and Winicour JH 1966 Gravitational fields in finite and conformal Bondi frames <i>Phys. Rev.</i> 150 1039–53 [51] Friedrich H 2002 Conformal Einstein evolution <i>The Conformal Structure of Space-Time: Geometry, Analysis, Numerics (Lecture Notes in Physics</i> vol 604) ed J Frauendiener and H Friedrich (Berlin: Springer) pp 1–50 	 [52] Ashtekar A and Magnon A 1984 Asymptotically anti-de Sitter space-times <i>Class. Quantum Grav.</i> 1L39–44 [53] Ashtekar A and Das S 2000 Asymptotically anti-de Sitter spacetimes: conserved quantities <i>Class. Quantum Grav.</i> 171,17–30 [54] Winicour J 1068 Some total invariance of asymptotically flat space-times <i>J. Math. Phys.</i> 9 861–7 [55] Persides S 1979 A definition of asymptotically Minkowskian space-times <i>J. Math. Phys.</i> 20 1731–40 [56] Schmidt B G 1987 Gravitational radiation near spatial and null infinity <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond.</i> A 410 201–8 [57] Chuxétel P T, Jezierski J and MacCallum M A H 1998 Uniqueness of the Trautman–Bondi mass <i>Phys. Rev. D</i> 	 580 Tatel J and Pukas S 2000 Comparison of the Bondi–Sachs and Penrose approaches to asymptotic flatness <i>Class</i>. [38] Tatel J and Pukas S 2000 Comparison of the Bondi–Sachs and Penrose approaches to asymptotic flatness <i>Class</i>. <i>Quantum Grav.</i> 17 1559–70 [59] Tatel J 2000 Bondi mass in terms of the Penrose conformal factor <i>Class</i>. <i>Quantum Grav.</i> 17 4397–408 [60] Hawking S W and Ellis G F R 1973 <i>The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) [61] Wald R M 1984 <i>General Relativity</i> (Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press) [62] Frauendiener J 2004 Conformal infinity <i>Liv. Rev. Rel.</i> 7 2004–1 http://www.livingreviews.org/Inr-2004-1 [63] Frauendiener J 1081 (The asymptotic characteristic initial value mochan wite fracteriev. Souther as a second complement of the relativity. 	 an initial value problem for a first-order quasilinear symmetric hyperbolic system <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond.</i> A 378 401–21 [64] Friedrich H 1986 On the existence of <i>n</i>-geodesically complete or future complete solutions of Einstein's field equations with smooth asymptotic structure <i>Commun. Math. Phys.</i> 107 587–609 [65] Friedrich H 1983 Cauchy problems for the conformal vacuum field equations in general relativity <i>Commun. Math. Phys.</i> 119 51–73 [66] Friedrich H 1998 On static and radiative space-times <i>Commun. Math. Phys.</i> 119 51–73 [67] Friedrich H 1991 On the global existence and the asymptotic behavior of solutions to the Einstein–Maxwell– 	 Yang-Mills equations. J. Diffr. Geom. 34 275–345 [68] Friedrich H 1995 Einstein equations and conformal structure: existence of anti-de Sitter-type space-times J. Geom. Phys. 17 125–84 (69) Friedrich H 1998 Gravitational fields near space-like and null infinity J Geom. Phys. 24 83–163 [70] Cutter C and Wald R M 1999 Existence of radiating Einstein–Maxwell solutions which are C[∞] on all of T⁺ and T⁻ Class. Quantum Grav. 6453–66 [71] Christochulan D and Khinerman S 1093 The Global Nonlinear Stability of the Minkowski Sparse (Princeton) 	 NI: Princeton University Press) NI: Princeton University Press) [72] Klainerman S and Nicolò F 1999 On local and global aspects of the Cauchy problem in general relativity <i>Class</i>. [72] Klainerman S and Nicolò F 2003 Preling properties of asymptotically flat solutions to the Einstein vacuum equations and Nicolò F 2003 Preling properties of asymptotically flat solutions to the Einstein vacuum equations class. <i>Quantum Grav.</i> 20 3215–57 [74] Andersson L. Chruściel P T and Friedrich H 1992 On the regularity of solutions to the Yamabe equation and the existence of smooth hyperboloidal initial data for Einstein's field equations <i>Commun. Math. Phys.</i> 149 587–612 [75] Andersson L and Chruściel P T 1996 On asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the constraint equations in general relativity with hyperboloidal boundary conditions <i>Diss. Math.</i> 355 1–100

R271

R272

Topical Review

Topical Review

- Henneaux M and Teitelboim C 1985 Asymptotically anti-de Sitter spaces Commun. Math. Phys. 98 391-424 [106] Hawking S W 1983 The boundary conditions for gauged supergravity *Phys. Lett.* B 126 175–7 [107] Henneaux M and Teitelboim C 1985 A summarization of a superscient of a summarization of the summarization of the
 - Bičák J and Krtouš P Fields of accelerated sources: Born in de Sitter (to be submitted) [108]
- [109] Kinnersley W and Walker M 1970 Uniformly accelerating charged mass in general relativity Phys. Rev. D 2 1359-70
- [110] Bičák J and Pravda V 1999 Spinning C-metric: radiative spacetime with accelerating, rotating black holes Phys. Rev. D 60 044004
- [111] Plebański J and Demiański M 1976 Rotating charged and uniformly accelerated mass in general relativity Ann. Phys., NY 98 98-127
 - [112] Podolský J and Griffiths J B 2001 Uniformly accelerating black holes in a de Sitter universe Phys. Rev. D **63** 024006
- [113] Podolský J 2002 Accelerating black holes in anti-de Sitter universe Czech. J. Phys. 52 1–10 [114] Dias O J C and Lemos J P S 2003 Pair of accelerated black holes in an anti-de Sitter background: The AdS C
- [115] Dias O J C and Lemos J P S 2003 Pair of accelerated black holes in a de Sitter background: the dS C metric metric Phys. Rev. D 67 064001
- Phys. Rev. D 67 084018
 - [116] Krtouš P, Podolský J and Bičák J 2003 Gravitational and electromagnetic fields near a de Sitter-like infinity Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 061101
- [117] Krtouš P and Podolský J 2004 Gravitational and electromagnetic fields near an anti-de Sitter-like infinity *Phys.* Rev. D 69 084023
 - [118] Krtouš P and Podolský J Asymptotic directional structure of radiation for fields of algebraic type D Czech. J. Phys. (submitted)
 - [119] Emparan R, Horowitz G T and Myers R C 2000 Exact description of black holes on branes II: comparison with BTZ black holes and black strings J. High Energy Phys. JHEP01(2000)021