Melvin universe as a limit of the C-metric

Lenka Havrdová* and Pavel Krtouš†
Institute of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, V Holešovičkách 2, 180 00 Prague 8, Czech Republic

(Dated: September 26, 2006)

It is demonstrated that the Melvin universe representing the spacetime with a strong 'homogeneous' electric field can by obtained from the spacetime of two accelerated charged black holes by a suitable limiting procedure. The behavior of various invariantly defined geometrical quantities in this limit is also studied.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb, 04.40.Nr

I. INTRODUCTION

It is a simple exercise to show that the homogeneous electric field in Minkowski spacetime can be obtained from the Born solution representing test fields of two uniformly accelerated charged particles by enlarging the distance between these particles accompanied with increasing the charges.

One would thus expect that a similar limit of a 'homogeneous' field could be obtained also for a fully gravitationally interacting electromagnetic field and strong sources. The non-test analogue of the Born solution is the charged C-metric representing two uniformly accelerated charged black holes [1, 2] (cf. [3] for review). The gravitationally interacting 'homogeneous' electric field is described by the Melvin universe [4, 5, 6]. However, because such a field is infinitely extended, the gravitational interaction strongly deforms the spacetime and nontrivially changes its asymptotical structure. The limit of the finite sources is thus not completely straightforward. Most of the naïve limits of the C-metric lead to degenerate results or to empty Minkowski spacetime [7].

Let us note that the homogeneous field in Minkowski space can be also obtained by different limiting procedures, some of which have a strong field analogy, see e.g. [8, 9]. Our work complements this effort and demonstrates that the limit of the distant strong charged accelerated sources exists, however it is not a trivial generalization of the test case.

In the next section we present a suitable way to scale the parameters and coordinates of the C-metric to obtain the desired limit. In Sec. III it is shown that the metric obtained indeed corresponds to the Melvin universe, and in Sec. IV the behavior of various physical and geometrical quantities is studied.

II. SCALING THE C-METRIC

Following [10] we write the C-metric in the form

$$\boldsymbol{g} = \frac{1}{a^2(x+y)^2}\Big(-F\mathbf{d}t^2 + \frac{1}{F}\mathbf{d}y^2 + \frac{1}{G}\mathbf{d}x^2 + G\mathbf{d}\phi^2\Big)\,, \tag{1}$$

with $t\in\mathbb{R}$, $x\in(-1,1)$, $y<-x$, $\phi\in(-C\pi,C\pi)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} F &= (y^2-1)(1-2may+e^2a^2y^2)\,,\\ G &= (1-x^2)(1+2max+e^2a^2x^2)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

*Electronic address: lenkahavrdova@seznam.cz
†Electronic address: Pavel.Krtous@mff.cuni.cz

The four roots y_c, y_a, y_o, y_i of the function F are

$$y_c = -1\ ,\quad y_a = 1\ ,\quad y_o = \frac{1}{ae^2}(m - \sqrt{m^2 - e^2})\ ,\quad y_i = \frac{1}{ae^2}(m + \sqrt{m^2 - e^2})\ . \tag{3}$$

The metric solves the Maxwell-Einstein equations with electromagnetic field given by

$$\boldsymbol{F} = e\,\mathbf{d}y \wedge \mathbf{d}t\ . \tag{4}$$

The C-metric (1) describes two uniformly accelerated charged black holes moving in opposite direction along the symmetry axis. The constants a, m, e, and C parametrize acceleration, mass, charge and conicity of the symmetry axis. The coordinate t represents time of static observers around the black holes. Near the holes, y is an (inverse) radial coordinate, which far away from the holes has a bi-spherical character (cf. [11]). The coordinate x is a (cosine of) angle measured from the symmetry axis, and ϕ is the rotational angle around the axis. The black holes are causally separated by the acceleration horizon $y = 1$, the outer and inner black hole horizons are given by $y = y_o$ and $y = y_i$, respectively. The conformal infinity is given by the condition $y = -x$. The properties of C-metric were studied, e.g., in [2, 3, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16], the global structure was thoroughly discussed and visualised recently in [11].

To obtain the desired limit of a 'homogeneous' field we have to specify the behavior of the metric parameters a, m, e, C, and of the coordinates. We fix the acceleration parameter a and the conicity parameter C unchanged during the limit. The behavior of m and e is prescribed implicitly in terms of the roots (3) as

$$y_o = 1 + \tilde{y}_o\varepsilon\ ,\quad y_i = 1 + \tilde{y}_i\varepsilon\ , \tag{5}$$

where $\tilde{y}_o < \tilde{y}_i$ are constants parametrizing the limiting procedure, and ε is a small parameter which will be sent to zero in the limit. Since the coefficients of the metric functions (2) are related, the behavior of the roots of F determines also the behavior of the roots of G.

Simultaneously we introduce rescaled coordinates $\{\tau, \upsilon, \xi, \varphi\}$

$$t = \frac{1}{\tilde{y}_o\tilde{y}_i}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\,\tau\ ,\quad y = 1 + \tilde{y}_o\tilde{y}_i\,\varepsilon^2\,\upsilon\ ,\quad x = \xi\ ,\quad \phi = \frac{1}{1{+}2ma{+}e^2a^2}\,\varphi\ . \tag{6}$$

If we redefine the conicity parameter $c = C(1 + 2ma + e^2a^2)$, the range of coordinate φ is $\varphi \in (-c\pi, c\pi)$. The coordinate φ is rescaled in such a way that c gives the actual conicity of the axis between the black holes. The axis is regular if $c = 1$.

According to (6), the coordinate y is rescaled by the factor $\sim \varepsilon^2$ around the value $y = 1$. The limit is thus done in the domain close to the acceleration horizon. The values y_o and y_i are rescaled only as $\sim \varepsilon$ (cf. eq. (5)) and thus the corresponding values υ_o, υ_i of the rescaled coordinate υ behave as $\sim \varepsilon^{-1}$. Therefore, the horizons υ_o, υ_i disappear in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$. This corresponds to the intuition gathered in the limit of the Born solution mentioned in the Introduction: the homogeneous electric field should be obtained in the middle between very distant sources. The fact that black holes are indeed 'pushed' away in the limit is also confirmed by the evaluation of their physical distance in Eq. (16) below.

The coordinate x is not scaled and the upper bound on υ corresponding to the condition $y < -x$ thus also becomes trivial, $\upsilon < \infty$, in the limit. Finally, the three roots of the function G degenerate to the value $x = -1$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

After the transformations (5) and (6) and sending $\varepsilon \to 0$ the metric (1) and the electromagnetic field (4) become[1]

$$\boldsymbol{g} = \frac{1}{a^2(1{+}\xi)^2}\Big(-2\upsilon\,\mathbf{d}\tau^2 + \frac{1}{2\upsilon}\,\mathbf{d}\upsilon^2 + \frac{1}{(1{-}\xi)(1{+}\xi)^3}\,\mathbf{d}\xi^2\Big) + \frac{1}{16a^2}(1{-}\xi^2)\,\mathbf{d}\varphi^2\ , \tag{7}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{F} = e\,\mathbf{d}\upsilon \wedge \mathbf{d}\tau = E\frac{(1+\xi)^2}{4}\,\boldsymbol{e}^\upsilon \wedge \boldsymbol{e}^\tau\ . \tag{8}$$

[1] Notice that $m \to 1/a$, $e \to 1/a$, and $E \to 4a$ in the limit, cf. Eqs. (13) below.

Here $\boldsymbol{e}^{\upsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}^{\tau}$ are normalized 1-forms proportional to $\mathbf{d}\upsilon$, and $\mathbf{d}\tau$ and $E = 4ea^2$ is the value of the electromagnetic field at the bifurcation $\upsilon = 0$, $\xi = 1$ of the acceleration horizon.

III. MELVIN UNIVERSE

The metric (7) still inherits the boost-rotational structure of the C-metric in the τ-υ plane. To demonstrate that it describes the Melvin universe, we have to transform it into coordinates which exhibit more properly the symmetries of the Melvin universe. Therefore we perform an additional coordinate transformations introducing the Melvin coordinates[2] $\{t, z, \rho, \varphi\}$:

$$\upsilon = 2a^2(-t^2 + z^2) , \quad \tanh^{\operatorname{sgn} \upsilon} \tau = \frac{t}{z} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\xi = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{E}{2}\rho\right)^2}{1 + \left(\frac{E}{2}\rho\right)^2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \left(\frac{E}{2}\rho\right)^2 = \frac{1-\xi}{1+\xi} . \tag{10}$$

Let us observe that the transformation (9) is essentially the transformation from Rindler-like coordinates τ and $\zeta \propto \sqrt{|\upsilon|}$ to Minkowski-like coordinates t and z. It is the transformation from the frame of uniformly accelerated observers, which is natural for the C-metric, into the frame of globally static observers more natural for the Melvin universe. The coordinate ρ could be also given as $\frac{E}{2}\rho = \tan\frac{\vartheta}{2}$, where $\xi = \cos\vartheta$.

The transformations (9) and (10) lead to

$$\boldsymbol{g} = \left(1+\frac{E^2}{4}\rho^2\right)^2 \left(-\mathbf{d}t^2 + \mathbf{d}z^2 + \mathbf{d}\rho^2\right) + \left(1+\frac{E^2}{4}\rho^2\right)^{-2} \rho^2\, \mathbf{d}\varphi^2 , \tag{11}$$

$$\boldsymbol{F} = E\, \mathbf{d}z \wedge \mathbf{d}t = \frac{E}{\left(1+\frac{E^2}{4}\rho^2\right)^2}\, \boldsymbol{e}^z \wedge \boldsymbol{e}^t , \tag{12}$$

with the coordinate ranges $t, z \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $\varphi \in (-c\pi, c\pi)$. These are respectively the metric and electromagnetic field of the Melvin universe [4, 5, 6] representing the strong 'homogenous' electric field oriented along the symmetry axis. The field is 'homogeneous' in the sense that it does not change under translations along the direction of the field. Indeed, the metric and the field are invariant under the action of the static, translational, and rotational Killing vectors $\boldsymbol{\partial}_t$, $\boldsymbol{\partial}_z$, and $\boldsymbol{\partial}_\varphi$, respectively. However, the field changes in the direction orthogonal to the symmetry axis. The constant E measures the electromagnetic field on the axis and c the conicity of the axis.

IV. GEOMETRICAL QUANTITIES

As a consequence of the expressions (5) we obtain the following behavior of the metric parameters:

$$\begin{gathered} m^2a^2 = 1 - (\tilde{y}_\mathrm{o} + \tilde{y}_\mathrm{i})\, \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) , \quad e^2a^2 = 1 - (\tilde{y}_\mathrm{o} + \tilde{y}_\mathrm{i})\, \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) , \\ a\sqrt{m^2 - e^2} \approx \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{y}_\mathrm{i} - \tilde{y}_\mathrm{o})\, \varepsilon , \quad E \approx 4a , \quad C \approx \frac{c}{4} . \end{gathered} \tag{13}$$

---

[2] The Melvin coordinate t is different from the C-metric coordinate t used in (1).

However, it is more interesting to evaluate geometrically invariant quantities. The area and surface gravity[3] of the outer black hole horizon are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{o}} &= \frac{4\pi C}{a^2}\frac{1}{{y_{\mathrm{o}}}^2-1} \approx \frac{\pi c}{2a^2\tilde{y}_{\mathrm{o}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\;, \\ \kappa_{\mathrm{o}} &= \frac{1}{2}a\,({y_{\mathrm{o}}}^2-1)\Big(\frac{1}{y_{\mathrm{o}}}-\frac{1}{y_{\mathrm{i}}}\Big) \approx \tilde{y}_{\mathrm{o}}(\tilde{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\tilde{y}_{\mathrm{o}})\,\varepsilon^2\;. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The surface gravity of the acceleration horizon is

$$\kappa_{\mathrm{a}} = a(1-2ma+e^2a^2) \approx a(2\tilde{y}_{\mathrm{o}}^2+2\tilde{y}_{\mathrm{i}}^2+\tilde{y}_{\mathrm{o}}\tilde{y}_{\mathrm{i}})\,\varepsilon^2\;. \tag{15}$$

Total charge evaluated using the Gauss law is simply $Q_{\mathrm{tot}} = Ce \approx c/E$. As we already mentioned, the conicity of the axis $x=1$ between the black holes is given by the parameter c. It transforms to the conicity of the axis of the Melvin universe as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The conicity of the outer axes, which join the black holes with infinity, vanishes in the limit. Simultaneously, these axes are pushed away to infinity. Finally, the distance of the black hole from the acceleration horizon measured along the axis (half of the distance between the holes) is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{a}\int_1^{y_{\mathrm{o}}}\frac{1}{(1+y)\sqrt{F}}\,dy \approx \frac{1}{a\sqrt{2\tilde{y}_{\mathrm{i}}}}\,\mathrm{K}\Big(\frac{\tilde{y}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\tilde{y}_{\mathrm{o}}}\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\;, \tag{16}$$

where $\mathrm{K}(w)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind.

We see that as $\varepsilon \to 0$ the black holes are pushed far away from each other, the surface of the outer horizons increases to infinity, the surface gravity of both the acceleration and the outer horizons vanish, and the total charge remains finite.

V. SUMMARY

We have shown that the fully gravitationally interacting 'homogeneous' electric field can be obtained as the limit of the spacetime with localized uniformly accelerated sources. Similarly to the limit of the Born solution (mentioned in the Introduction) the distance between the sources increases to infinity.

However, unlike the Born solution limit, the total charge of the sources remains finite. This is related to the fact that strong 'homogeneous' electric field is gravitationally bounded to the vicinity of the symmetry axis and decays as $\rho \to \infty$, cf. Eq. (12). The total electric flux through the plane orthogonal to the axis is thus finite.

A more delicate question is the behavior of the mass of the black holes.[4] Relating the black hole mass to the area of the outer black hole horizon, we have shown that it grows to infinity.

Notice also that the resulting field (11), (12) does not depend on details of the limiting procedure—the factors $\tilde{y}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\tilde{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ introduced in (5), which parametrize how the limit is achieved, disappear completely at the end.

A similar limit can also be performed in the presence of a negative cosmological constant [7]. Rescaling the C-metric with $\Lambda < 0$ and with an over-critical acceleration (cf. [17]) leads to a spacetime with an electromagnetic string in the anti-de Sitter universe [18].

---

[3] The surface gravity κ depends on the normalization of the Killing vector. Here we use the Killing vector $a\boldsymbol{\partial}_t$.

[4] It is relatively easy to identify the 'net force' ma acting on the accelerated black hole in terms of invariantly defined quantities (e.g., taking a difference of tensions—proportional to the conicities—of the strings on the both side of black holes). However, it is not a simple task to invariantly distinguish the physical mass and the physical acceleration of the holes. The parameters m and a used in the metric (1) are conventional, they depend on a particular choice of the form of the C-metric.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the grant GAČR 202/06/0041.

---

[1] T. Levi-Civita, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Cl. Sci. Fis., Mat. Nat., Rend. **26**, 307 (1917).
[2] W. Kinnersley and M. Walker, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 1359 (1970).
[3] V. Pravda and A. Pravdová, Czech. J. Phys. **50**, 333 (2000), gr-qc/0003067.
[4] M. A. Melvin, Phys. Lett. **8**, 65 (1964).
[5] M. A. Melvin, Phys. Rev. **139**, B225 (1965).
[6] K. S. Thorne, Phys. Rev. **139**, B244 (1965).
[7] L. Havrdová, diploma thesis, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic (2006), in Czech.
[8] R. Emparan and M. Gutperle, JHEP **0112**, 023 (2001), hep-th/0111177.
[9] G. W. Gibbons and C. A. R. Herdeiro, Class. Quantum Grav. **18**, 1677 (2001), hep-th/0101229.
[10] K. Hong and E. Teo, Class. Quantum Grav. **20**, 3269 (2003), gr-qc/0305089.
[11] J. B. Griffiths, P. Krtouš, and J. Podolsky, Class. Quantum Grav. **23**, 6745 (2006), gr-qc/0609056.
[12] A. Ashtekar and T. Dray, Commun. Math. Phys. **79**, 581 (1981).
[13] T. Dray, Gen. Rel. Grav. **14**, 109 (1982).
[14] H. Farhoosh and R. L. Zimmerman, J. Math. Phys. **20**, 2272 (1979).
[15] J. Bičák, in *Galaxies, Axisymmetric Systems and Relativity*, edited by M. A. H. MacCaluum (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1985), pp. 91–124.
[16] P. S. Letelier and S. R. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 064005 (2001), gr-qc/9809089.
[17] P. Krtouš, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 124019 (2005), gr-qc/0510101.
[18] O. J. C. Dias and J. P. S. Lemos, Class. Quantum Grav. **19**, 2265 (2002), hep-th/0110202.