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#### Abstract

We continue our previous study of cylindrically symmetric, static electrovacuum spacetimes generated by a magnetic field, involving optionally the cosmological constant, and investigate several classes of exact solutions. These spacetimes are due to magnetic fields that are perpendicular to the axis of symmetry.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Electromagnetic fields play an important role not only in astrophysics (they are prominent in neutron stars and affect entire galaxies) and cosmology (where the primordial fields give rise to the observed intergalactic magnetic fields) but also in mathematical general relativity. Indeed, one of the first exact nonvacuum solutions of Einstein equations was the Reissner-Nordström spacetime featuring a black hole endowed with both mass and electric charge. Another of the many interesting exact solutions of Einstein-Maxwell equations is the Bonnor-Melvin universe [1,2] that, unlike the Reissner-Nordström solution, is cylindrically symmetric. It describes the gravitational field generated by an axial magnetic field permeating the whole spacetime and it can be thought of as due to azimuthal current on the surface of a coaxial cylinder enveloping part of the spacetime.

Although cylindrical symmetry requires objects of infinite extension and thus is not of direct interest in astrophysics, it still plays an important role in the collapse of rotating objects that can produce spindlelike structures (see, e.g., $[3,4]$ ) approximated in their vicinity by cylindrical symmetry. On a bigger scale, one has the cosmic strings, which might have arisen due to phase transitions in the early universe and which are locally cylindrically symmetric, see [5]. Another reason for imposing cylindrical symmetry is that it is generally difficult to find exact solutions of Einstein equa-tions-enforcing any symmetry on the gravitational field reduces them to a more tractable system and any exact solution provides us with an insight into what might be relevant in a more realistic situation. In this paper, we thus study several exact solutions of Einstein-Maxwell equations closely related to the Bonnor-Melvin solution through their symmetry and the presence of the electromagnetic field. One cannot simply apply the hoop conjecture [6,7] to an infinite object and, indeed, we often end up with naked singularities although we also discuss solutions featuring a singularity

[^0]covered by a horizon. Our solutions are not asymptotically flat-they cannot be since they are translationally invariant along their axis. We thus look at their behavior along the radial cylindrical coordinate instead. One is of a finite proper extent and the rest is asymptotically either Minkowski, de Sitter, or anti-de Sitter according to the value of the cosmological constant, which we generally include in our calculations.

The paper consists of several sections: we first set the stage by defining our coordinate system and the general form of the metric with the corresponding Einstein-Maxwell equations. At this point we still assume a magnetic field aligned with the symmetry axis. In Sec. III we examine the case with a vanishing cosmological constant, concluding that, apart from the Bonnor-Melvin case, it leads us to a spacetime with a magnetic field perpendicular to the axis and we discuss its properties. We thus continue with Sec. IV giving the set of Einstein-Maxwell equations describing spacetimes with a radial magnetic field. Secs. V, VI, and VII then study solutions with a homogeneous magnetic field and an inhomogeneous magnetic field with and without the cosmological constant, respectively. We conclude with some open questions and summarize our results.

## II. THE SETTING

Following our previous paper [8], where we generalized the Bonnor-Melvin solution to yield a homogeneous magnetic field and include the cosmological constant, and the solutions allowing a variation of the magnetic field $[9,10]$, which we found independently in [11], we write the metric of a general static, cylindrically symmetric spacetime as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-\exp A(r) \mathrm{d} t^{2}+\mathrm{d} r^{2}+\exp B(r) \mathrm{d} z^{2}+\exp C(r) \mathrm{d} \varphi^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$is the proper radial distance, $t, z \in \mathbb{R}$ are temporal and azimuthal coordinates, and $\varphi \in[0,2 \pi)$ measures the angle around the axis of symmetry but we give it
the dimension of length for the metric to be consistent. We are looking for a self-consistent solution generated by a magnetic field aligned with the axis of symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=H(r) \mathrm{d} r \wedge \mathrm{~d} \varphi \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}=2 H^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-C}=: 2 f^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we defined a new quantity, $f(r)$. Einstein-Maxwell equations can then be reduced to the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\prime \prime \prime} f^{\prime}-2\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+f^{\prime \prime}\left(6 f\left(\Lambda-f^{2}\right)+\frac{\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(11 f^{2}-9 \Lambda\right)-4 f^{2}\left(\Lambda-f^{2}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

with primes denoting derivatives with respect to the radial coordinate, $r$, while the metric functions are obtained by integration and read

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{\prime}=-\frac{f^{\prime}}{f} \pm \sqrt{4 \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-7\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}-4\left(\Lambda-f^{2}\right)}  \tag{5}\\
& B^{\prime}=-\frac{f^{\prime}}{f} \mp \sqrt{4 \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-7\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}-4\left(\Lambda-f^{2}\right)}  \tag{6}\\
& C^{\prime}=-2 \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}+4 \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}+4 \frac{f}{f^{\prime}}\left(\Lambda-f^{2}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since (4) does not involve the independent variable, we can reduce the order of the equation to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
w \ddot{w} & -\dot{w}^{2}+\left[\frac{w}{f}-6 f\left(f^{2}-\Lambda\right)\right] \dot{w} \\
& -8\left(f^{2}-\Lambda\right)^{2} f^{2}+2 w\left(11 f^{2}-9 \Lambda\right)=0 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

with dots denoting derivatives with respect to $f$ and $w(f):=[\mathrm{d} f(r) / \mathrm{d} r]^{2}$. We now explore the solutions of the above set of equations.

## III. THE OTHER NONCOSMOLOGICAL SOLUTION

Let us begin by reexamining the noncosmological case. We set $\Lambda=0$ and solve (8) to obtain an exact solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=-4 f^{4}+4 \alpha f^{3} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is different from the Bonnor-Melvin metric with $w=-4 f^{4}+\gamma f^{7 / 2}$ [1,2], see the discussion in [11]. Since $w=\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}$, we can separate variables to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha^{2} r^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This solves Einstein equations but there is a problem with the square root in (5) and (6) since it is taken from a negative number as

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-7\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}+4 f^{2}=-\frac{4 \alpha^{2}}{1+\alpha^{2} r^{2}}<0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we end up with a complex metric. To work around this, we take $\alpha$ to be imaginary and factor the imaginary unit out, $\alpha \rightarrow i \alpha$. This yields a real metric

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}= & \left(1-\alpha^{2} r^{2}\right) \exp (2 \arcsin \alpha r) \mathrm{d} t^{2}+\mathrm{d} r^{2} \\
& -\left(1-\alpha^{2} r^{2}\right) \exp (-2 \arcsin \alpha r) \mathrm{d} z^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \varphi^{2}}{1-\alpha^{2} r^{2}}, \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

but, instead, we get an imaginary $f$ through (10)-it corresponds to the components of the Maxwell tensor with $F_{r \varphi}=f \sqrt{g_{\varphi \varphi}}=i \alpha /\left(1-\alpha^{2} r^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}$. It then suggests itself to use a Wick rotation $\varphi \rightarrow i t, t \rightarrow \varphi, z \rightarrow i z$ to return to real values and correct metric signature

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}= & -\frac{\mathrm{d} t^{2}}{1-\alpha^{2} r^{2}}+\mathrm{d} r^{2}+\left(1-\alpha^{2} r^{2}\right) \\
& \times\left[\exp (-2 \arcsin \alpha r) \mathrm{d} z^{2}+\exp (2 \arcsin \alpha r) \mathrm{d} \varphi^{2}\right] . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

The Maxwell field becomes real and purely electric with $F_{t r}=\alpha /\left(1-\alpha^{2} r^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}$ and $A_{t}=-\alpha r / \sqrt{1-\alpha^{2} r^{2}}$, which is no longer axial and becomes radial instead. Using the dual rotation, we finally obtain a purely magnetic field

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{z \varphi}=\alpha, \quad A_{\varphi}=\alpha z \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This exact solution is a special case of (3.16) in [12]. Let us now investigate its properties in more detail. The coordinate $r$ ranges from $-1 /|\alpha|$ to $1 /|\alpha|$ with the Kretschmann scalar

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{8 \alpha^{4}\left(4 \alpha^{4} r^{4}+2 \alpha^{2} r^{2}+1\right)}{\left(\alpha^{2} r^{2}-1\right)^{4}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

diverging at the end points of the interval, which thus are physical singularities of the spacetime. Likewise, the Maxwell invariant reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}=\frac{2 \alpha^{2}}{\left(1-\alpha^{2} r^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is apparent from the plot of the azimuthal metric coefficient $g_{\varphi \varphi}$, see Fig. 1, that $\alpha r= \pm 1$ are axes where the circumference of hoops around them vanishes. A better picture is that of the globe with standard geographic


FIG. 1. Metric components (13) as functions of the radial coordinate.
coordinates—latitude ( $r$ ) and longitude ( $\varphi$ ). At the poles the proper length along the axis vanishes as well so that they resemble points rather than lines. In view of the fact that this is an electrovacuum solution, its source must reside within the two point singularities so that the magnetic field is analogous to that of two opposite magnetic monopoles. The corresponding Penrose diagram is presented in Fig. 2.


FIG. 2. Conformal diagram of the spacetime due to the metric (13). Each point represents a cylindrical surface. The singularities located at $\alpha r_{ \pm}= \pm 1$ and indicated by wavy lines are in fact pointlike as their proper length along the $z$ axis vanishes. Lines of constant $r$ are solid and lines of constant $t$ are dotted.

The solution only has the three obvious Killing vector fields corresponding to the staticity and cylindrical symmetry. It is further invariant under the exchange $r \leftrightarrow-r$, $z \leftrightarrow \varphi$. Applying $r \leftrightarrow-r, \alpha \leftrightarrow-\alpha$ or exchanging $\varphi \leftrightarrow z$, the metric remains unchanged while the magnetic field changes sign. The spacetime is type I everywhere apart from $r=0$, where it is type O , and $\alpha r= \pm 1 / \sqrt{10}$, where it is type D. The flat space limit is achieved simply by taking $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

Using Cartesian-like coordinates with $r^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{z x}=-\frac{\alpha y}{r^{2}}=-B_{y}, \quad F_{z y}=\frac{\alpha x}{r^{2}}=B_{x} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
\vec{B}=\alpha \frac{\vec{e}_{r}}{r}
$$

which shows the magnetic field is cylindrically radial.
Transforming metric (13) to a new radial coordinate with $1-\alpha^{2} r^{2}=: \cos ^{2}(\alpha \rho)$ and $\alpha \rho \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2]$ (the end points are the two singular axes), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}= & -\frac{\mathrm{d} t^{2}}{\cos ^{2}(\alpha \rho)}+\cos ^{2}(\alpha \rho) \mathrm{d} \rho^{2} \\
& +\cos ^{2}(\alpha \rho)\left[e^{-2 \alpha \rho} \mathrm{~d} z^{2}+e^{2 \alpha \rho} \mathrm{~d} \varphi^{2}\right] \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

with still

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{z \varphi}=\alpha \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us look at the motion of test particles in the gravitational and electromagnetic fields due to (18). For uncharged particles following general geodesics, we can derive an effective potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\rho):=-\frac{1}{2 g_{\rho \rho}}\left[\delta-\frac{E^{2}}{g_{t t}}-\frac{Z^{2}}{g_{z z}}-\frac{L^{2}}{g_{\varphi \varphi}}\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $g_{\alpha \beta}$ covariant metric coefficients, $E, Z, L$ constants of motion due to the Killing vector fields $\partial / \partial t, \partial / \partial z, \partial / \partial \varphi$, and $\delta=-1,0$ for massive particles and photons, respectively. The above potential governs geodetic motion through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \dot{\rho}^{2}=-V(\rho) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that there are radial turning points for geodetic particles where $V(\rho)=0$. Apart from radial null geodesics, the potential diverges at $\alpha \rho= \pm \pi / 2$ and, consequently, only radially moving photons can reach the singularities while all massive particles are pushed away from the singularities, which thus act repulsively, similarly to, e.g., the Kerr-Newman-(anti-)de Sitter solution [13]. Moreover, all timelike radial geodesics oscillate through $\rho=0$. For massive
particles, circular orbits in the planes perpendicular to the axis can only occur for a finite range of radii, $\alpha \rho \in(0, \arctan (1 / 2))$. The lower end point of the interval yields a static massive particle (this applies even to charged particles) while the upper endpoint corresponds to circular photonic geodesics. Massive particles can also spiral along the cylinder located at $\rho=0$ (its circumference is finite). All these paths are stable under radial perturbations.

It is of interest that we lose some of the symmetry for charged particles: particles moving originally within planes perpendicular to the axes with $\dot{\varphi} \neq 0$ are pushed along the axes. Consequently, there are no circular electrogeodesics. Purely radial motion can be integrated analytically but the resulting formulas are rather unwieldy. We no longer have the integral of motion $Z$ originally due to the Killing field $\partial / \partial z$ since the electromagnetic four potential contains $z$ and charged particles even exhibit chaotic motion for certain initial conditions unlike in the original BonnorMelvin spacetime.

The gravitational and magnetic fields (18) and (19) can be thought of as due to infinitely thin cylindrical shells of charged matter aligned with the axes. We studied the case where we replace part of the spacetime (13) by either Minkowski or the standard Bonnor-Melvin solution. We can always find such a combination of parameters that the resulting 3D induced energy-momentum tensor can be interpreted as due to four counterspiralling streams of massive and charged particles. However, we cannot cut out both axes at the same time in this way and the ensuing spacetime thus always contains a singularity.

## IV. SELF-GRAVITATING RADIAL MAGNETIC FIELD

Inspired by our previous considerations, we now return to the original system (1) but endowed with a radial magnetic field

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=H(r) \exp \frac{B(r)}{2} \mathrm{~d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} \varphi \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}=2 H^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-C}=: 2 f^{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we again introduced $f(r)$, while we still find $\star F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}=0$. Einstein-Maxwell equations now read

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2\left(B^{\prime \prime}+C^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left(B^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(C^{\prime}\right)^{2}+B^{\prime} C^{\prime}+4 \Lambda+4 f^{2}=0 \\
2\left(A^{\prime \prime}+C^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(C^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A^{\prime} C^{\prime}+4 \Lambda-4 f^{2}=0 \\
2\left(A^{\prime \prime}+B^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(B^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A^{\prime} B^{\prime}+4 \Lambda-4 f^{2}=0 \\
A^{\prime} B^{\prime}+A^{\prime} C^{\prime}+B^{\prime} C^{\prime}+4 \Lambda+4 f^{2}=0 \tag{27}
\end{array}
$$

Proceeding along the lines of the axial case, we differentiate (27), multiply it by 2 , and subtract from it $A^{\prime}(24)+B^{\prime}(25)+$ $C^{\prime}$ (26) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 16 f f^{\prime}+4 f^{2}(-A+B+C)^{\prime} \\
& \quad-(A+B+C)^{\prime}\left(4 \Lambda+A^{\prime} B^{\prime}+A^{\prime} C^{\prime}+B^{\prime} C^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where we substitute for the last bracket from (27) to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f^{\prime}+f(B+C)^{\prime}=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be integrated to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\frac{B+C}{2}} f=\text { const. } \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

## V. "HOMOGENEOUS" SOLUTION WITH $\Lambda<0$

Following our previous work [8], we start with the "homogeneous" case $f=$ const., which yields immediately $B$ and $C$ constant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{2}=-\Lambda>0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a negative cosmological constant. The only remaining Einstein equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 A^{\prime \prime}+A^{\prime 2}+8 \Lambda=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=2 \log [\alpha \cosh \sqrt{-2 \Lambda}(r-R)] \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rescaling $t$ and $z$ and shifting $r$, this translates into
$\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-\cosh ^{2}(\sqrt{-2 \Lambda} r) \mathrm{d} t^{2}+\mathrm{d} r^{2}+\mathrm{d} z^{2}+\sigma^{2} \mathrm{~d} \varphi^{2}$,
generally with conicity due to the presence of $\sigma$, while the magnetic field reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(r)=\sigma \sqrt{-\Lambda}, \quad F_{z \varphi}=\sigma \sqrt{-\Lambda}, \quad A_{\varphi}=\sigma \sqrt{-\Lambda} z \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is in fact an $\mathrm{AdS}_{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{2}$ space, or the "exceptional electrovacuum type D Kundt metric with cosmological constant" investigated by Plebański and Hacyan [14], see also [15]. It is completely analogous to the homogeneous axial solution we have discussed previously in [8], and it describes an electromagnetic field held together entirely by its own gravity. See Fig. 3 for the corresponding Penrose diagram.


FIG. 3. Conformal diagram of the homogeneous spacetime (34). Each point represents a cylindrical surface (or a planar one, depending on the definition of the coordinate $\varphi$ ). Solid and dotted lines represent constant $r$ and $t$, respectively.

## VI. "INHOMOGENEOUS" SYMMETRIC SOLUTION WITH A NONVANISHING $\Lambda$

To deal with the nonhomogeneous case, we first assume a symmetric metric with $B=C$ to simplify Einstein equations (24)-(27). In analogy with our previous work [11], we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}= & -\frac{\gamma f^{\frac{7}{2}}+4 f^{4}-\frac{4}{3} \Lambda f^{2}}{f^{3}} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d} f^{2}}{\gamma f^{\frac{7}{2}}+4 f^{4}-\frac{4}{3} \Lambda f^{2}} \\
& +\frac{1}{f}\left(\mathrm{~d} z^{2}+\beta^{2} \mathrm{~d} \varphi^{2}\right), \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

while the magnetic field reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(f)=\beta \sqrt{f}, \quad F_{z \varphi}=\beta, \quad A_{\varphi}=\beta z \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The units of the coordinates and variables appearing in (36) are $[f]=m^{-1},[t]=m^{3 / 2},[z]=[\varphi]=m^{1 / 2}$ with $[\gamma]=$ $m^{-1 / 2},[\beta]=1,[\Lambda]=m^{-2}$. Unlike with an axial magnetic field, the coordinate $f$ now admits the range $f \in(0, \infty)$ with $\operatorname{sgn}\left(g_{t t}\right)=-\operatorname{sgn}\left(g_{f f}\right)$ and the required +2 signature of the metric (36) thus admits both signs of $g_{f f}$, reminiscent of the spherical black-hole solutions of the Reissner-Nordström-(anti-)de Sitter family. Changing $f \rightarrow 1 / r^{2}$ and rescaling time, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-\mathfrak{M}(r) \mathrm{d} t^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d} r^{2}}{\mathfrak{M}(r)}+r^{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} z^{2}+\beta^{2} \mathrm{~d} \varphi^{2}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the same Maxwell field and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}(r)=\frac{1}{r^{2}}+\frac{\Gamma}{r}-\frac{\Lambda}{3} r^{2} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma:=\gamma / 4$ and $[r]=m^{1 / 2}$. The metric coincides with (2.3) of [16] where the authors only discuss a negative cosmological constant but, in fact, both signs of $\Lambda$ are admissible. Let us explore its properties in more detail.

The spacetime has an axis at $r=0$ where the circumferential radius of hoops $r, z, t=$ const. vanishes. The axis is conicity free and at a finite proper distance from any point. The Kretschmann scalar

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{12 \Gamma^{2}}{r^{6}}+\frac{48 \Gamma}{r^{7}}+\frac{56}{r^{8}}+\frac{8}{3} \Lambda^{2} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

diverges at the axis which thus represents a singular source generating the magnetic field and splitting the admissible range of $r$ into positive and negative values-each range corresponds to an independent spacetime. However, $r$ always occurs squared in (38) apart from $\Gamma / r$ so that we can restrict ourselves to $r>0$ and both signs of $\Gamma$.


FIG. 4. A Penrose diagram for the metric (38) with $\Lambda>0$. There is always a single cosmological horizon located at $r_{H}$. Wavy, solid, and dotted lines represent singularities and lines of constant $r$ and $t$, respectively.


FIG. 5. Penrose diagrams for the metric (38) with $\Lambda<0$. Each point again represents a cylindrical surface and the wavy lines are singularities. Solid and dotted lines stand for lines of constant $r$ and $t$, respectively. Depending on the parameters of the solution, we can have two horizons, one double horizon or no horizons at all. (a) A naked singularity with $\Gamma>\Gamma_{\mathrm{deg}}$. (b) The degenerate case featuring a double horizon at $r_{H}$, with $\Gamma=\Gamma_{\text {deg. }}$. To obtain a geodesically complete spacetime, we attach additional copies of this basic block at the top and bottom of the block along the horizon $r_{H}$. (c) The most general case with inner and outer black-string horizons at $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, respectively, with $\Gamma<\Gamma_{\mathrm{deg}}$. Again, to get a full spacetime, we add copies of the basic block at the top and bottom along $r_{2}$.

The solution is a warped product of a 2D black-hole spacetime (see, e.g., [17]) and $I R_{2}$ and it is type D apart from the hypersurface $r=-2 / \Gamma$ (for a negative $\Gamma$ ) where it is type O . The magnetic field $F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}=2 / r^{4}$ vanishes in the asymptotic region $r \rightarrow \infty$ where the solution approaches (anti-)de Sitter according to the sign of the cosmological constant.

The roots of the master function $\mathfrak{M}$ determine the positions of horizons-we convert $\mathfrak{M}$ to a single fraction and examine its numerator $3+3 \Gamma r-\Lambda r^{4}$, which has a single extremum. For $\Lambda>0$, there is thus always a single root corresponding to the cosmological horizon. For $\Lambda<0$, there are either none or two horizons or a single degenerate horizon if $\Gamma>\Gamma_{\text {deg }}, \Gamma<\Gamma_{\text {deg }}$, and $\Gamma=\Gamma_{\text {deg }}$, respectively, with $\Gamma_{\operatorname{deg}}:=-4 / 3(-\Lambda)^{1 / 4}$. This critical value thus separates spacetimes with an inner and an outer black-string horizons from those with a naked singularity. It yields a special case where the master function has a single double root at $r=(-\Lambda)^{-1 / 4}$. It is of interest that this solution
extends for the entire range $f=1 / r^{2} \in(0, \infty)$ and it is thus different from the homogeneous solution (34), which only admits this particular value of $f=\sqrt{-\Lambda}$. The proper radial distance to the root is infinite while the circumferential radius is finite. The root corresponds to a degenerate horizon separating the asymptotic region $r \rightarrow \infty$ from the axis at $r=0$.

In Figs. 4 and 5, we present Penrose diagrams for all possible causal structures of the spacetime.

## VII. "INHOMOGENEOUS" SYMMETRIC SOLUTION WITH A VANISHING $\Lambda$

We now turn our attention to the limiting case of (38) when $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$, which we obtain simply by setting $\Lambda=0$ in (39). The form of the metric does not change with the master function now simply reading

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}(r)=\frac{1}{r^{2}}+\frac{\Gamma}{r}, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 6. Penrose diagrams for the metric (38) with (41), i.e., $\Lambda=0$. Each point again represents a cylindrical surface, the wavy lines are singularities, solid lines represent constant $r$ and dotted lines constant $t$. (a) A single black-string horizon with $\Gamma<0$ located at $r_{H}=-1 / \Gamma$. (b) A naked singularity with $\Gamma \geq 0$.
but the spacetime's properties have changed considerably. We now have $\mathfrak{M}(r) \rightarrow 0$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$ but the metric does not tend to the Minkowski flat metric since the Riemann tensor does not vanish. Both Maxwell and Kretschmann scalars vanish at radial infinity and they only diverge at $r=0$, which is thus still the location of a singular axis of a vanishing proper length. The possible horizon structure is also modified: we now have no horizons for $\Gamma \geq 0$ and the spacetime is static everywhere, and there is exactly one horizon for $\Gamma<0$ located at $r=-1 / \Gamma$, see Fig. 6 for the corresponding Penrose diagrams.

The spacetime is again type D almost everywhere except for $r=-2 / \Gamma$ (for a negative $\Gamma$ ) where it becomes type O , which further sets it apart from the solution (13) discussed above. However, it is not the singularity-free BonnorMelvin solution either since the axis is always singular here. There still are four Killing vector fields. The solution is a special case of (3.14) in [12] and of (27) in [18; there is a typo in relation (31)].

The case of $\Lambda=\Gamma=0$ is also interesting: the metric is conformastatic

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-\frac{\mathrm{d} t^{2}}{r^{2}}+r^{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} r^{2}+\mathrm{d} z^{2}+\beta^{2} \mathrm{~d} \varphi^{2}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we still keep our magnetic field. There are no horizons and no new Killing vectors appear. The spacetime is type D everywhere. It is a special case of (3.15) in [12] with $q=1, b=0$.

## VIII. FINAL CONSIDERATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Let us briefly visit the most general case of $B \neq C$ regarding the system (24)-(27). It turns out that the equations still can be separated similarly to the axial case to produce a single third-order equation for $f$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\prime \prime \prime} f^{\prime}-2\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+f^{\prime \prime}\left(6 f\left(\Lambda+f^{2}\right)+\frac{\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f}\right) \\
& \quad-\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(11 f^{2}+9 \Lambda\right)-4 f^{2}\left(\Lambda+f^{2}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

After solving this equation we use $f$ to find the metric functions from the following expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\prime} & =-2 \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}+4 \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}+4 \frac{f}{f^{\prime}}\left(\Lambda+f^{2}\right)  \tag{44}\\
B^{\prime} & =-\frac{f^{\prime}}{f} \pm \sqrt{4 \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-7\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}-4\left(\Lambda+f^{2}\right)}  \tag{45}\\
C^{\prime} & =-\frac{f^{\prime}}{f} \mp \sqrt{4 \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-7\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}-4\left(\Lambda+f^{2}\right)} \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

If the square root in (45) and (46) vanishes, we obtain the previous, symmetric solution. Since (43) does not involve the independent variable, we can reduce the order of the equation by one similarly to (8) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
w \ddot{w} & -\dot{w}^{2}+\left[\frac{w}{f}+6 f\left(f^{2}+\Lambda\right)\right] \dot{w} \\
& -8\left(f^{2}+\Lambda\right)^{2} f^{2}-2 w\left(11 f^{2}+9 \Lambda\right)=0 \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

with dots denoting derivatives with respect to $f$ now and $w(f):=[\mathrm{d} f(r) / \mathrm{d} r]^{2}$. Unfortunately, we have so far not been able to solve the above equations analytically. They seem to require a numerical approach, which thus goes beyond the methods we preferred in the present text. We stress that the spacetimes resulting as solutions of both the above equation and (8) are not included in [12] since its authors consider either the cosmological constant, or an electromagnetic field but never the two together.

In this paper we studied a system of Einstein-Maxwell equations describing the gravitational and magnetic fields of a cylindrically symmetric static system where the magnetic field is perpendicular to the axis of symmetry. We explored in detail the properties of several classes of solutions both with and without a cosmological constant and pointed out a possible way forward by reducing the set of Einstein-Maxwell equations to a single, second-order nonlinear differential equation.
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